

Administrative Plan—Public Comment by RAB Member—Housing Commission Meeting 21 Oct 2021

Mi X <emsmail135@gmail.com>

Wed 10/20/2021 12:09 PM

To: SmHousing Mailbox <SmHousing.Mailbox@SMGOV.NET>; Melinda Espinoza <Melinda.Espinoza@santamonica.gov>

Cc: Renee.Buchanan@santamonica.gov <Renee.Buchanan@santamonica.gov>; Josh Hamilton <Josh.Hamilton@santamonica.gov>; mike.soloff@mto.com <mike.soloff@mto.com>; Richard Hilton <Richard.Hilton@SMGOV.NET>; Carl Hansen <Carl.Hansen@santamonica.gov>; Leonora Camner <Leonora.Camner@santamonica.gov>; Andy Agle <Andy.Agle@santamonica.gov>; James Kemper <James.Kemper@santamonica.gov>

EXTERNAL

20 October 2021

To whom it may concern,

In light of the current Housing Element, I'm writing to bring several Santa Monica housing problems to your attention. These discriminatory decisions and practices disproportionately affect poor and disabled people, undermining civil rights, discouraging diversity, concentrating poverty, and eroding health and wellbeing in Santa Monica. I write to you in the hope that you will hold the City of Santa Monica accountable for their actions and inaction and improve the city for all its residents.

I am a disabled Housing Choice Voucher holder who ported to Santa Monica from Oregon on 19 May 2021, excited to relocate to such a progressive, accessible city. However, after struggling with the Santa Monica Housing Authority, nonresponsive ADA/Section 504 and other city staff, inaccessible housing, discrimination by property owners and managers, limiting my housing search to impoverished and unhealthy areas, and appealing to dozens of government offices and nonprofits across the country for information and assistance, I realized that characterization of Santa Monica was premature.

There are numerous housing problems in the City of Santa Monica:

- Lack of transparency at the Santa Monica Housing Authority
- SMHA policy decisions resulting in disability discrimination and poverty concentration
- SMHA staff ignorance and violation of ADA law and HUD and SMHA policy concerning disabled people
- Lack of knowledgeable and engaged City of Santa Monica ADA/Section 504 Coordinator and Supervisor
- Lack of accessible housing units in Santa Monica
- Lack of information about accessible housing units in Santa Monica
- Santa Monica property owners' and managers' ignorance and/or violation of city and state laws prohibiting source of income discrimination

First, the lack of transparency at the Santa Monica Housing Authority.

SMHA operates opaquely, in darkness, communicating sporadically if at all, and with insufficient oversight. There is no dedicated SMHA website or publicly available list of staff members with their roles and contact information. The only contact information available is a general phone number and email address for

SMHA, but communication by these means is often ignored. The Staff Assistant won't connect housing clients with other SMHA staff. I scoured the internet for information about SMHA, finally discovering two staff members' names in the minutes of past housing board meetings. One of those individuals, Senior Administrative Analyst Anna Topolewski, seems to make all SMHA decisions concerning housing clients, but will not speak with me. I have contacted James Kemper, who I believe to be Ms. Topolewski's supervisor, several times with no response. Lack of transparency at SMHA impedes the work of efficiently and equitably housing Santa Monica's most vulnerable residents.

Second, SMHA policy decisions resulting in disability discrimination and poverty concentration.

This issue concerns the basis upon which SMHA chooses to establish its payment standards, and requires some explanation. All Housing Choice Voucher payment standards are based on an area's Fair Market Rent (FMR). Normally, HUD requires housing authorities to establish payment standards that are 90-110% of their area's FMR. However, in recognition of the economic diversity of some metro areas—where a single FMR may result in either insufficient or excessive payment standards—HUD also designates some places as being comprised of Small Areas, where Small Area Fair Market Rents (SAFMR) are based on zip code subsets of a larger metro area. The HUD Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale, CA Metro Area is one such metro area. This means housing authorities here can opt to use a hyperlocal SAFMR, instead of a less accurate metro FMR, when establishing their payment standards.

The City of Santa Monica is part of the HUD Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale, CA Metro Area. That's fortunate, because rents in Santa Monica are far higher than the metro average. For example, the FY2021 Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale, CA Metro Area FMR for a two bedroom apartment is \$2058. The FY2021 SAFMR for a two bedroom apartment in the City of Santa Monica (zip codes 90401-90405) is \$2728. That's an increase of nearly \$700. So if SMHA chose to use the local SAFMR as the basis for their payment standard, the SMHA payment standard could range from \$2455.20 to \$3000.80.

However, SMHA does not base its payment standard on the SAFMR. Instead, it received a HUD federal waiver for a payment standard exception of up to 170% (instead of 90-110%) of the metro FMR. As SMHA set its payment standard for a two bedroom apartment at \$2640, they chose a payment standard of approximately 128% of the metro FMR. Why does this matter, if SMHA payment standards are indeed higher than the metro area? Why does the mechanism they use to establish their payment standards matter?

It matters for two reasons. First, because this arbitrary payment standard is too low to rent an apartment in most Santa Monica neighborhoods. By choosing to base their payment standards on the metro FMR instead of the local SAFMR, SMHA concentrates housing clients in a few impoverished neighborhoods. When searching for an apartment, I quickly learned that I could probably only use my housing voucher to rent an apartment near the highway, with poorer air quality, less tree cover (and thus hotter temperatures), lower walkability, and access to fewer goods, services, and other amenities. The federal Housing Choice Voucher program is designed to integrate poor people into communities, not silo them in already depressed areas.

The second reason it matters is because this SMHA policy decision denies disabled people equal access to the federal Housing Voucher Program, violating their civil rights. Disabled people commonly have difficulty finding accessible housing within existing payment standards anywhere. This hardship is so common that both HUD and the SMHA Administrative Plan outline an appropriate response: granting a payment standard increase up to 120% FMR as a reasonable accommodation of a disability. In most places in the country, FMR is roughly equivalent to the payment standard, so granting a 120% or 140% increase as a reasonable accommodation is a meaningful act that allows disabled people to live in apartments that cost 20-40% more.

However, because SMHA uses a federal waiver to base its payment standards on the metro FMR instead of the SAFMR, their payment standards are not roughly equivalent to the metro FMR. The payment standards in Santa Monica begin at approximately 128% FMR, meaning SMHA cannot grant a payment standard increase as a reasonable accommodation of a disability. In exceptional cases, a disabled person may request up to 140% FMR, but this requires approval outside of SMHA, by HUD. The process is stricter, takes longer, and is far less commonly successful. And even if successful, the approval of a payment standard increase of 140% FMR is only a 12% payment standard increase in Santa Monica—elsewhere, it increases the payment standard by 30-50%. This is not equitable to disabled housing clients in Santa Monica, denying them the same reasonable accommodations extended to disabled housing clients everywhere else. SMHA needs to stop basing their payment standards on the metro FMR and begin using the SAFMR for Santa Monica (zip codes 90401-90405) immediately.

(And to underscore the problem of SMHA opacity, please note that I only obtained this policy information with considerable difficulty and dozens of communications to staff throughout HUD and the US government.)

Third, SMHA staff ignorance and violation of ADA law and HUD and SMHA policy concerning disabled people.

All SMHA staff I have spoken with seem to have no knowledge of the Americans with Disabilities Act and HUD and SMHA policies regarding the rights of disabled people, all of which they are bound to follow. Senior Administrative Analyst Anna Topolewski, who seems to make all SMHA decisions concerning housing clients and with whom I have only corresponded by email, seems to have at least some knowledge of these laws and policies, but repeatedly violates them. Her supervisor, James Kemper, has been entirely nonresponsive.

In an early phone call to SMHA (in which I had to enter random extension numbers in order to finally reach a staff person), I spoke with a housing specialist named Isabel who has worked for SMHA for thirty years. When I asked, she told me that SMHA doesn't provide payment standard exceptions as a reasonable accommodation of a disability because their payment standards are already very high. When I explained the potential difficulty of finding accessible housing, Isabel told me there are plenty of buildings with elevators. That is not how accessibility works.

Shortly after that call I emailed SMHA Staff Assistant Gabrielle Maher, who fields all incoming communications. I expressed concern about arranging for reasonable accommodations and asked to speak with the staff person who specializes in disability accommodations. She declined, instead directing me to fill out SMHA's Reasonable Accommodation Request form. I completed the form, requesting a two bedroom voucher as a reasonable accommodation to accommodate my medical and disability equipment. To make a long and grueling story short, after submitting the form and supporting documentation it took four months to receive a denial from SMHA. It took additional weeks to reverse that unfounded denial on appeal.

My second reasonable accommodation was approved relatively quickly. However, I requested an increased payment standard of 120% FMR, reasonably believing the FMR would approximate the existing payment standard. While my requested exception was technically approved, it was effectively denied when my adjusted payment standard was lowered by almost \$200. SMHA refused to discuss the discrepancy with me, refused to explain that they had opted out of the SAFMR I mistakenly referred to, provided no possible alternative accommodations, and refused to discuss alternative accommodations with me.

The ADA requires SMHA to engage in a cooperative, collaborative, flexible, and timely reasonable accommodation process. Reasonable accommodation requests need not be submitted using a particular form, their approval or denial must be issued quickly, and any denial should be followed by discussion of possible alternative accommodations. Dialogue and transparency are important. Staff's good faith engagement in the process is important.

Despite federal law and HUD and SMHA policy, SMHA does none of these things. I have not been able to discuss my reasonable accommodation requests with staff. Despite policy and precedent, more than one staff person told me SMHA doesn't provide what I requested. I was required to submit my requests using SMHA's problematic reasonable accommodation request form. My first decision took four months to receive and was not supported by the facts; reversing that decision took additional weeks. SMHA staff has never engaged meaningfully in the reasonable accommodation process. Their consistent violation of ADA law has deprived me of equal access to the federal Housing Choice Voucher program, making me currently homeless. All SMHA staff must undergo immediate and comprehensive training in ADA requirements and HUD and SMHA policies concerning disabled people.

Fourth, lack of a knowledgeable and engaged City of Santa Monica ADA/Section 504 Coordinator and Supervisor.

To make matters worse, the City of Santa Monica has no meaningful ADA/Section 504 Coordinator or Supervisor. Mirna Medina, the designated ADA/Section 504 Coordinator, and Oles Gordeev, ADA/Section 504 Supervisor, are almost entirely nonresponsive and provide no actual assistance with ADA/Section 504 problems in Santa Monica. I have contacted both of them multiple times to request help with urgent ADA violations by SMHA and have only ever received two insufficient, perfunctory responses from Ms. Medina. I have since learned that the people filling these roles have other full time jobs and only attend to ADA/Section 504 issues part time. I was initially pleased to learn that Santa Monica has an ADA/Section 504 Coordinator, as ADA compliance is vital and often overlooked. However, after numerous unsuccessful attempts to engage the ADA/Section 504 team's assistance, I must conclude that Santa Monica does not prioritize ADA/Section 504 adherence, and hence does not prioritize disabled people's equal access to Santa Monica. This is particularly egregious, as these positions are supposed to offer recourse to disabled people whose rights have already been violated in Santa Monica. The City of Santa Monica must immediately appoint a qualified full time ADA/Section 504 Coordinator and assign their supervision to another qualified staff person.

Fifth, lack of accessible housing units in Santa Monica.

Despite efforts and lip service to the contrary, Santa Monica is not an accessible city. The first need of any resident is housing, and there are astonishingly few accessible housing units in the city, much less fully ADA compliant units. Exterior stairs are ubiquitous in the vast majority of Santa Monica's older housing stock. More recently constructed housing units are predominantly smaller, with interior entrances, both of which can obstruct access. Throughout Santa Monica, especially in areas where poverty is most concentrated and housing clients are expected to live, sidewalks are cracked, buckled, and uneven. Exterior pathways to smaller apartment buildings are often narrow or overgrown. I began familiarizing myself with the rental housing market in Santa Monica before I even ported my voucher here. I have been actively searching for an accessible apartment for nearly six months. In all that time, I have found only a few places that were mostly accessible to me, and then only because I am able to climb 3-4 steps. I lost each of them in turn due to rent higher than the payment standard and/or source of income discrimination. Disabled people cannot call Santa Monica home if they have no place to live. Santa Monica must actively work to increase the accessibility of older housing stock, supporting and incentivizing property owners' cooperation as needed, and increase the diversity of accessible configurations in newer housing construction, supporting stakeholders as needed.

Sixth, lack of information about accessible housing units in Santa Monica.

Santa Monica clearly lacks sufficient accessible housing, but it also lacks information about accessible units that do exist. SMHA does not maintain a list of accessible housing, nor could they refer me to someplace that does. The Disability Community Resource Center does not maintain a list of accessible housing either, though staff I spoke with thought they should. Even searching on my own proved burdensome because property owners and rental agents do not include basic accessibility information in their apartment listings, like whether an apartment is an upper or lower unit. And of those few who specify a unit is on the ground floor, none mention that accessing their property requires climbing a flight of stairs from street level.

Seventh, Santa Monica property owners' and managers' ignorance and/or violation of city and state laws prohibiting source of income discrimination.

I secured my Housing Choice Voucher by applying to waitlists in any city in the country I thought I could live in for at least a year, which is the amount of time you must live in a housing jurisdiction before you can transfer your voucher to another jurisdiction. After three years of research and phone calls and filling out forms, I finally came to the top of the waiting list in Lane County, Oregon. I was especially delighted because, at the time, Oregon was the only state in the country that had laws protecting against source of income discrimination. While finding housing within a paltry payment standard was difficult, at least I wouldn't have to fight landlords to accept my voucher.

In deciding to move to Santa Monica, its inclusion in a small list of cities and counties in the country that had source of income discrimination protection laws was a contributing factor. Not only was it a just law, but its enactment signaled to me that Santa Monica valued diversity, fairness, and inclusion. By the time I was ready to move here, the entire state of California had followed suit. However, upon arrival in Santa Monica I quickly learned that most property owners, managers, and rental agents were either unaware of these laws or broke them knowingly. I lost several potential homes as a result of source of income discrimination. In some cases I know this because I meet every other criterion for tenancy. In other cases I know this because screeners actually expressed their unwillingness to rent to someone with a housing voucher.

Source of income discrimination laws are important. However, their impact is dulled if their adoption is not accompanied by widespread public education and reinforcement. Individual housing clients should not individually have to appeal to each property owner and rental agent to accept their housing voucher, alternately educating, advocating, and tiptoeing around these gatekeepers. Local and state governments should actively campaign to change public perception of housing assistance and those who need it. Imagine billboards, television spots, and internet ads featuring realistic portrayals of regular people who are poor and just looking for a home. Eventually such efforts would likely shift public opinion so that discriminatory property managers might feel ashamed of their prejudice—or at least its visibility—instead of emboldened. Anything that can be done to expedite voucher processing would also instill confidence in property owners and managers regarding participation in the Housing Choice Voucher program.

Santa Monica residents, particularly poor and disabled residents, struggle with daunting housing problems. In my short time in Santa Monica, I have personally experienced all the housing problems outlined above. The discrimination, inaccessibility, unaffordable rents, and lack of accountability I have described cause

real and lasting harm. I ask you to use your position to amplify these concerns and act swiftly and boldly to ensure the City of Santa Monica remedies them. Until we have safe, affordable, equitable housing, the people of Santa Monica will continue to suffer, and both Santa Monica and California will continue to suffer the loss of the untold talent, engagement, and growth that these systemic housing burdens quash.

Thank you for your attention to these significant and pressing concerns.

Sincerely,
Michelle Gray



THEPEOPLECONCERN

Because everyone should be housed, healthy and safe.
OPCC & LAMP COMMUNITY UNITED

October 21, 2021

Housing Commission, City of Santa Monica
1685 Main St
Santa Monica, CA 90401

Re: Agenda Item 3A - 1318 Fourth Street Affordable Housing Development Team Selection

Dear Housing Commission:

On behalf of The People Concern, I am writing in support of the mixed-use, affordable housing development proposed by EAH Housing, Inc. located at 1318 Fourth Street. Affordable housing is urgently needed in Santa Monica. As a housing and social services provider working in the City of Santa Monica for nearly six decades, The People Concern helps our most vulnerable neighbors find permanent housing so that they can rebuild their lives. Our agency is committed to ensuring that our formerly homeless neighbors are successful in their new homes – 93% of the people we support in housing never experience homelessness again.

For this project, The People Concern will provide program participants in the Permanent Supportive Housing Special Needs Units with on-site intensive case management and wrap-around services including linkage to benefits, employment services, substance use services, mental health care and more. In close collaboration with EAH and The People Concern, program participants will benefit from transparent and trauma-informed communication around expectations, education and opportunities for support to ensure they retain housing and thrive in their community. In our decades of experience with permanent supportive housing, we have learned that housing is the single most stabilizing factor in people's lives.

The severe shortage of affordable and supportive housing contributes to the housing and homelessness crisis across Los Angeles. This project aligns with Santa Monica's commitment to preserving and expanding affordable housing and serving our City's most vulnerable neighbors.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

John Maceri
Chief Executive Officer
The People Concern



Community Corp.
of SANTA MONICA

October 21, 2021

Chair Buchanan and Members of the Santa Monica Housing Commission
City of Santa Monica
1685 Main Street
Santa Monica, CA 90401

**Re: Parking Structure 3 finalists
Request support for Community Corporation/Metropolitan Pacific project**

Dear Chair Buchanan and Members of the Housing Commission:

Community Corporation of Santa Monica ("Community Corp") is a Santa Monica-based non-profit organization that restores, builds, and manages affordable housing for people of modest means. By building and operating quality affordable housing, we improve neighborhoods, create an environment where people can thrive, and positively affect thousands of lives. Since 1982, we have developed over 1,800 affordable homes in close to 100 buildings throughout Santa Monica. We appreciate our long-standing partnership with the City of Santa Monica to help the City meet its affordable housing goals.

We would like to ask for the Housing Commission's support of the joint proposal issued by Community Corporation and Metropolitan Pacific for development of the Parking Structure 3 project. Although staff has recommended EAH to develop the property, we would like to highlight some points for your consideration.

All three finalists are reputable, long-standing nonprofit affordable housing providers; however, NONE of the other organizations are located in Santa Monica, nor have any buildings operating in Santa Monica. EAH does have one building that just finished and is within the first year of stabilization. The Parking Structure 3 project is a high-profile, complex project. We believe the deeper level of local expertise, and being headquartered locally, is critically important for a project of this magnitude and complexity. There are many community stakeholder groups and dynamics that we are familiar with, and we have several buildings (including our office) already located in downtown Santa Monica. Community Corp. has a deep understanding of the area's community stakeholders, and due to our downtown location, we would be able to much more closely monitor the project. We understand the City may want to expand their developer pool, but it would make sense to work with the most experienced Santa Monica developer on a project of this magnitude. In addition, both the EAH and Community Corp teams have selected the same experienced supportive service provider, The People Concern. In addition, Community Corp. has, for decades, provided all its own property management, maintenance and services and we are thoroughly integrated and experienced for operating this type of housing project. Community Corp. serves many voucher holders and people with special needs already in our buildings, and we partner with People Concern, St. Joseph's and many other local service providers to ensure everyone is stably housed.

1423 SECOND STREET, SUITE B, SANTA MONICA, CA 90401 T 310. 394.8487 F 310.395.4336



Equal Housing Opportunity





Community Corp.

of SANTA MONICA

Parking Structure 3
October 21, 2021
Page 2

In terms of project proposed by the Community Corp./Metropolitan Pacific team, we specifically designed the project with no studio/efficiency units. We believe 1, 2 and 3 bedrooms are superior and more desirable unit types for our residents. The other proposals include significant numbers of studios, which do not offer the flexibility for people with special needs that may have a child or caregiver, and we feel the 1-bedroom is a much more suitable unit type. Our proposal also includes three-bedroom units, 25% of the total, so that families will reside in the downtown and enliven the district. This is something we have heard from DTSM stakeholders as an important aspect of the development – to bring more vibrancy to the neighborhood. In addition, based on our experience, studio/efficiency units experience the highest turnover of any unit type in our portfolio, at about 50%, and do not create the type of stability that we believe is needed in a downtown development.

One exciting aspect of our proposed project is a partnership with Brooklyn Bowl, an experienced provider of community recreation activities and live audience entertainment. They have many venues operating in mixed-use buildings in urban areas and will bring an exciting, entertaining use to the downtown area, which is important to DTSM stakeholders. Brooklyn Bowl offers a variety of musical engagement opportunities, including family concerts, which will be wonderful for our residents and the larger community. We have taken acoustical mitigation into account into the design and, with the noise mitigation measures we expect to include, we do not believe noise from the commercial areas of the project will affect the residential units 2 floors above. Brooklyn bowl is fully committed to being a partner with the community. We will dive more deeply into the how Brooklyn Bowl will interact with its neighbors and community at large during the design and community stakeholder engagement process.

We hope that the Housing staff and Housing Commission will consider this information in recommending the selection of the development team for Parking Structure 3. Community Corp. and Metropolitan Pacific are ready and able to create a groundbreaking mixed-used development that will not only serve the needs of many low-income residents, but also the surrounding community.

Regards,

Tara Barauskas
Executive Director

Cc: James Kemper, Housing Division Manager

1423 SECOND STREET, SUITE B, SANTA MONICA, CA 90401 T 310. 394.8487 F 310.395.4336



Equal Housing Opportunity



Michael W. Folonis Architects

Santa Monica Housing Commission
Attn: Housing Commissioners
City of Santa Monica
1685 Main Street
Santa Monica, CA, 90401

10/21/21

Chair Buchanan and Members of the Santa Monica Housing Commission

The following is a synopsis and analysis of the CCSM/Metropolitan Pacific Mixed-Use Affordable Housing Concept as compared with the 3 other top proposals. Prepared by Michael W. Folonis Architects.

Project Programs – Below is a synopsis of the main program components of the EAH, CCSM/Metro and Abode proposals. All 4 proposals contain a similar amount of total square footage dedicated to housing, but the unit counts, unit sizes, and square footage dedicated to housing services vary significantly. 3 of the proposals contain the same amount of supportive housing while the Abode project has more units dedicated to this part of the program with fewer units overall. The commercial components of the proposals vary considerably. The programs are as follows:

	EAH 2	EAH1	CCSM/MP	Abode
SRO/Efficiency unit	63	32	0	51
1-Bdr.	63	27	55	22
2-Bdr.	23	29	34	33
3-Bdr.	0	31	30	0
Manager’s Unit	1	1	1	1
Total Units	150	120	120	107
Community Space	2,350	2,350	2,069	2,128
Laundry	600	600	960	600

Office
1524 Cloverfield Blvd. Suite D.
Santa Monica, California , 90404

Contact
310.899.3920 | folonisarchitects.com

Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business (SDVOSB)
Disabled Veteran Business Enterprise (DVBE)
Small Business Enterprise (SBE)

	EAH 2	EAH1	CCSM/MP	Abode
Support Serv./Mngt.	530	530	1,555	3,179
Mech/Trash	600	600	1,065	1,831
Non-Profit Space	1,630	1,630	TBD	3,500
Parking	68	68	28	52
Retail Ground Flr.	19,600	19,600	21,207	16,578
Comm. Basement	0	0	24,715	0

The CCSM project does not include SRO/Efficiency units because in our experience, the demand for SRO's is substantially lower than for 1 bedroom the units we have included. In the CCSM portfolio of affordable units, the SRO unit average turnover of 50%. This leads to substantially lower stability in the overall community. We believe 1-bedroom units are a far superior unit type for the population being served. For example, 1-bedroom units allow a single mother with a child, or someone that needs a caregiver, enough space to establish a stable lifestyle.

The EAH Option 2 includes an additional 30 units in the same sized building. This is accomplished by eliminating all of the 3-bedroom units and 6 of the 2-bedroom units and by adding 31 SRO/Efficiency units and 36 1-bedroom units. We believe the lack of the 3-bedroom units and reduction of 2-bedroom units compromises the ability to house a diverse mix of households in the project.

Housing Amenities – The CCSM design includes two separate community rooms strategically placed within the housing component on two different floors. This will encourage a community atmosphere and provide spaces to host cooking classes, workouts, educational programs, community meetings

and other related community activities that are close to the tenants' homes, thereby encouraging tenant participation. Additionally, multiple caseworker and residential management offices are provided in immediate proximity to the units and the community spaces. These offices were designed after consultation with our main supportive services provider, The People Concern, as well as CCSM's staff. Permanent supportive housing staff offices are strategically located to allow for ease of tenant access to staff, which is critical for the type of supportive programs proposed. We believe this proximity is necessary to promote the kind of interaction between staff and client that brings together the community and its service provider.

Livability – With the CCSM design, light and air is available from both sides of the units. This is accomplished by the elimination of double-loaded corridors, a concept that our firm, Michael Folonis Architects, has been committed to for decades. Access to each unit is taken directly from egress balconies that provide natural light, air and views, and that are open to the outdoors. By eliminating double-loaded corridors, the project is not only more livable, it is more sustainable as well, obviating the need for mechanical air conditioning in corridors and providing natural light and ventilation to all living units, office spaces, and both community rooms.

Outdoor gathering spaces – In the CCSM design, Outdoor spaces are provided on throughout the housing component and on multiple floors, enhancing livability and allowing ample locations for tenants to gather in semi-private outdoor areas. The project's design is focused on outdoor spaces for tenants young and old, including an approximately 1,000 square foot designated play area which is surrounded by 3-bedroom family units. There is over 7,500 square feet of quality outdoor community spaces, the majority of which are open to the sky. These include:

- 3,150 square feet of second floor courtyard
- 1,336 square feet north linear courtyard
- 1,419 square feet south linear courtyard
- 1,628 square feet of community patios spread amongst four different areas and floors

Rational use of side yards – The CCSM design assumes that current and future buildings on the north and south sides of the project will be built to a zero lot-line setback. We believe designs with units using a 10-foot side-yard setback and looking directly into adjacent buildings is not conducive to quality living, particularly units on the lower floors. Tenants deserve views, good natural light, and natural ventilation.

Active alley for tenants and pedestrians – The City is looking to activate the alleys in downtown, including the 3rd Court Alley, with appropriate community-serving commercial spaces. After accommodating the required driveway to the below grade parking garage, residential and commercial refuse and recycling areas, the two transformers in an enclosed vault that will be required by Southern California Edison, and loading spaces for the commercial areas, the project accommodates alley-facing commercial spaces and a landscaped pedestrian plaza that will stimulate and activate the alley. Commercial premises facing the plaza will allow more unique tenants and non-profits to operate in space that will have significantly lower rents.

Ground floor and Basement Commercial Component – The uses proposed for the commercial portion of the project are critical to the success of the entire development. The commercial area must house uses that have a strong basis for survival and that can weather the current and future vagaries of the commercial real estate market in downtown. The CCSM proposal includes a large recreation and entertainment premises that was designed into the project in response to the demonstrated need for such a use in downtown. After much study by DTSM, Inc. and others, the need for more recreational and entertainment venues in downtown has been thoroughly established. With the commitment of Brooklyn Bowl, the CCSM project is guaranteed an excellent, well financed, tenant with a proven history, that will bring a much-needed recreation venue to the area, enhancing the vibrancy of downtown, and providing critical financial support for the overall development. Brooklyn Bowl is known for its commitment to the communities in which they operate, hiring locally, and featuring local performing

artists and musicians on their stage. In addition, Brooklyn Bowl provides consistent support for local arts organizations through their in-house programs, and donates their facilities for charity events numerous times a year.

Brooklyn Bowl operates in urban, mixed-use developments in many locations and has a strong track record of positive neighborhood relations. Concerns that were brought up regarding potential noise that might be generated by the Santa Monica venue were thoroughly vetted with acoustical consultants. With everything but the restaurant portion of the venue situated below-grade, and two large concrete slabs separating the venue from the residential use two floors above, any noise generated will be contained within the venue.

With Brooklyn Bowl as the anchor tenant, we expect to fill the remaining ground floor commercial storefronts with additional neighborhood serving uses, including lower rent premises at the rear of the development for local businesses and/or non-profits.

Thank you for taking the time to review our comments and please feel free to ask any questions about the project design.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "Michael W. Folonis, FAIA". The signature is stylized and fluid, with the letters "M", "W", and "F" being particularly prominent. The "FAIA" is written in a smaller, more formal script to the right of the main signature.

Michael W. Folonis, FAIA
Founder and Design Director

Item 3.A. - 1318 Fourth Street Affordable Housing

Marc Verville <marc_verville@yahoo.com>

Thu 10/21/2021 11:11 AM

To: SmHousing Mailbox <SmHousing.Mailbox@SMGOV.NET>; Melinda Espinoza <Melinda.Espinoza@santamonica.gov>

Cc: Renee.Buchanan@santamonica.gov <Renee.Buchanan@santamonica.gov>; Josh Hamilton <Josh.Hamilton@santamonica.gov>; mike.soloff@mto.com <mike.soloff@mto.com>; Richard Hilton <Richard.Hilton@SMGOV.NET>; Carl Hansen <Carl.Hansen@santamonica.gov>; Leonora Camner <Leonora.Camner@santamonica.gov>; James Kemper <James.Kemper@santamonica.gov>

EXTERNAL

Dear Housing Commission Members,

In reviewing the documentation for this item which proposes the elimination of the core downtown commercial supporting infrastructure that is Parking Structure 3, it's clear that no due diligence has either been requested or performed on the potential business impact to the revenue generating potential of the Promenade and the surrounding business district, which happens to be the city's most iconic commercial district.

To be clear, the total cost of this defective initiative is not only the lost parking revenues, it is the multi-year opportunity cost of the loss in visitor traffic from elimination of one of the most convenient downtown parking structures in the city (which will not be replaced by the target demographic in the residential units) and the fact that as a residential project, it will generate additional city expenses in fire, police, maintenance and sanitation. The business loss will compound over time, severely hindering, if not prohibiting, the district's ability to recover and strategically reposition itself as an asset for the entire city for the next 20 to 30 years.

The opportunity cost to the city of the dismantling of the Promenade's supporting commercial infrastructure will dwarf whatever benefits are thought to accrue from the demolition of this site and conversion to residential. To date, there has been no real effort expended to put together a go forward business plan for the Promenade and the surrounding business district by either DTSM or the City. That failure to quantify the future cannot be used as a baseline for determination of what infrastructure to maintain for the downtown district. To do so is compounding mismanagement on top of planning failure.

Moreover, the absurd upside-down financial implications of this initiative will deprive the city of the much-needed resources that are required to actually execute it's housing and other top agenda policies.

To move forward with such a project without any understanding or consideration of the larger impacts to the city's objectives and its residents reflects nothing short of completely unacceptable mismanagement of the city's irreplaceable assets.

Respectfully

Marc L. Verville

Public Comment - Housing Commission Meeting 10/21/21 - Agenda Item 3-B

Shawn Casey O'Brien <irishsob@gmail.com>

Thu 10/21/2021 11:15 AM

To: SmHousing Mailbox <SmHousing.Mailbox@SMGOV.NET>

EXTERNAL

Dear Council Members,

My name is Shawn Casey O'Brien and I have been a resident of Santa Monica for over 20 years, all that time I have lived here at the beach in a project based Section 8 building.

Imagine my shock when I learned last year that the City of Santa Monica has been in violation of a federal law that mandates that all Section 8 housing requires the formation of Resident Advisory Boards to interface with the City Council in order to promote and protect the housing and other civil rights of all Section 8 tenants and their families.

Such a long term violation of Federal law can not be called a mere oversight, as the Santa Monica City Attorney's office has a well versed Housing Attorney who knows housing law and thus there is no excuse why Mr. Rhodes and the City Council has, and continues, to violate the rights of senior and disabled citizens who live in subsidized housing in this city.

Federal law also mandates that these RABs have members who are elected by their fellow tenants and not appointed by the Mayor or Council Members as a ruse in order to cover up years of violations of the rights of subsidized tenants throughout the city.

As such, I request that you do the right thing and put in place duly elected RABs or face the legal consequences of your continuing violation of the civil and housing rights of Santa Monica citizens who live in federally subsidized housing.

Shawn Casey O'Brien
3356 Barnard Way
Santa Monica, CA

FW: Item 3B – Administrative Plan

SmHousing Mailbox <SmHousing.Mailbox@SMGOV.NET>

Thu 10/21/2021 11:49 AM

To: Melinda Espinoza <Melinda.Espinoza@santamonica.gov>; Anna Topolewski <Anna.Topolewski@santamonica.gov>

From: Michael L <housingrightsusa@gmail.com>**Sent:** Thursday, October 21, 2021 11:48 AM**To:** SmHousing Mailbox <SmHousing.Mailbox@SMGOV.NET>**Cc:** renee.buchanan@santamonica.gov; Josh Hamilton <Josh.Hamilton@santamonica.gov>; mike.soloff@mto.com; Richard Hilton <Richard.Hilton@SMGOV.NET>; Carl Hansen <Carl.Hansen@santamonica.gov>; Leonora Camner <Leonora.Camner@santamonica.gov>; Andy Agle <Andy.Agle@santamonica.gov>; James Kemper <James.Kemper@santamonica.gov>; David White <David.White@santamonica.gov>; zurawska@yahoo.com**Subject:** Item 3B – Administrative Plan**EXTERNAL**

This public comment regarding the Santa Monica Housing Authority FY21/22 Administrative Plan is being submitted jointly by RAB member Michael Louis and community advocate Olga Zurawska.

We object to the Plan in its entirety being approved by HUD on the grounds that SMHA has not allowed for it to go through a valid RAB process. In violation of HUD guidelines which spell out the PHA responsibilities toward the RAB (enclosed), SMHA has refused to assist the RAB in organizing a meeting where members could have a meaningful collective discussion and decision-making process regarding the Plan.

Additionally, SMHA has misled the RAB by sending out a letter which stated SMHA was eliciting comments regarding the proposed amendments only, as opposed to the entire Plan. The Santa Monica RAB was never informed that they had the opportunity to comment on the entire Administrative Plan.

SMHA has a well-documented history of obstructing voucher holder participation in developing the Administrative Plan. In fact, SMHA did not even consider complying with the federal requirement to establish a RAB until advocates started demanding it in November 2019, and met with prolonged resistance on the part of SMHA. A proper RAB which includes all Housing Choice Voucher holders was not established until 2021.

Below is an outline of some of the concerns we have regarding the SMHA Administrative Plan:

A. Tenant Participation/Representation, Validity of the Plan Approval Process

1. SMHA has been impeding and frustrating RAB organizing efforts. Several emails requesting that SMHA fulfill their responsibilities towards the RAB as spelled out in the HUD guidelines remain unanswered. (see enclosed HUD guidelines)
2. SMHA claims that there are two RAB representatives on the SMHA Board, Elizabeth Cocharan and Donna Brown, but those two individuals have not been responding to email communications from RAB members or community members. No alternative contact information for those alleged RAB representatives has been publicly available. Those representatives, having not met with the RAB, are not bona fide RAB representatives. RAB members have no way to confirm that those individuals even exist. Therefore these two individuals are RAB representatives in name only.
3. Why is there no SMHAB discussion of Administrative Plan scheduled until December, which is after the public comment period is closed and apparently after the Plan is supposed to be submitted to HUD? This situation is a repeat of what happened last year, and it makes one question the validity of the process beyond a mere paper-shuffling exercise.

B. SMHA Mismanagement/Lack of Transparency

1. Key SMHA positions have not been filled or they exist on paper only:
 - a. Executive Director of SMHA position exists on paper only. Apparently, our City Manager has this position. Per Chief People Officer Lori Gentles, there is no job description for the Executive Director of SMHA position.
 - b. Housing Manager – the position was eliminated approximately a year and a half ago after Ms. Collins retired.
 - c. Landlord Liaison – the position does not exist in Santa Monica even though it is much needed and could be funded by HUD.
2. There is no organizational chart for SMHA, per Andy Agle, who claims that SMHA is part of the City's Housing Department. It is not clear what the chain of command is within SMHA, which makes it difficult for applicants and clients to navigate the agency effectively.
3. There is no designated SMHA web page with information as to:
 - . programs
 - a. structure
 - b. staff with contact information,
 - c. reasonable Accommodation Forms,
 - d. grievance Forms or information etc.

This creates a barrier for applicants and clients to access the agency and utilize its services effectively.

4. The housing waitlist (coded to preserve privacy) must be made public to maintain transparency and integrity of the process. According to a recent SMDP article, even SMHA staff are not sure how the waitlist works: <https://www.smdp.com/the-road-to-affordable-housing-is-paved-with-confusion/208377>

5. An audit of all affordable units within the City is needed, with special attention to disability-accessible units. It should be transparent where the affordable and accessible units are located, and whether they are occupied by eligible tenants. This would help maintain fairness and reduce the risk of waste, mismanagement and fraud.
6. SMHA issues Housing Choice Voucher certificates to all voucher holders even though separate voucher certificates exist for other programs, e.g. CoC. This misleads the program participants as to what program they are in, and what their rights are.

C. Lack of a real ADA Coordinator

The 2020 Assessment of Fair Housing performed by Diane Glauber recommended a designated ADA Coordinator whose duties would include locating ADA accessible units and assisting applicants and clients with housing-related reasonable accommodation requests. Currently, the position of “ADA Coordinator” is in reality a Risk Management position, without a separate ADA Coordinator job description. The goal of the current ADA Coordinator position appears to be one of shielding the City from financial and legal liability, rather than assisting individuals who live with disabilities. The current “ADA Coordinator,” Ms. Mirna Medina is known to ignore requests for assistance regarding housing related ADA matters.

D. SMHA should opt in to using the current Small Area FMRs

Currently, SMHA is using an outdated, five-year-old uniform exception payment standard across the City. The current exception payment standard in effect causes voucher holders to be concentrated in low-income parts of the city, which runs counter to advancing fair housing. Instead, SMHA should opt in to using Small Area FMRs, which are tailored to each zip code and allow for higher payments in high rent areas.

E. Lack of objective legal assistance regarding SMHA issues

SMHA applicants and clients have no one to turn to for assistance regarding consumer rights or compliance with HUD regulations. The City Attorney’s office refuses to provide assistance, citing a conflict of interest. They refer consumers to LAFLA, but the Santa Monica office of LAFLA has an apparent conflict as well, because it is **fully** funded by the City (as noted on LAFLA’s IRS Form 990). Unfortunately, the Santa Monica office of LAFLA does not disclose this apparent conflict of interest to SMHA clients, and there are doubts as to the impartiality of LAFLA in representing the most pertinent interests of SMHA clients.

F. Are waitlist priorities in compliance with CA law?

California Health and Safety Code 34322.2.

Each authority shall adopt and promulgate regulations establishing a plan for selection of applicants to assure equal opportunity and nondiscrimination on grounds of race, color, or national origin. The plan shall include standards for eligibility, procedures for prompt notification of eligibility or disqualification, and procedures for maintaining a waiting list of eligible applicants for whom vacancies are not immediately available. Eligible applicants shall be offered available vacancies in order of application, subject to the following:

- (a) Preference categories shall be established to give priority to persons **displaced by public or private action.**

(b) Priority shall be given **within each preference category to families of veterans and servicemen.**
 (...)”

Are all persons who became homeless in Santa Monica for any reason given a priority now, or is it only individuals who have been Ellised?

G. Home ownership program for voucher holders – on April 27, 2021, Housing Program Manager stated at the meeting of the SMHA Board that he does not recommend administering the program because it would be taxing on the staff and the property prices are high. However, such a program is needed particularly where property prices are high, and SMHA staff could be expanded to include another position, which I understand HUD pays for.

H. An extensive record of abysmal SMHA customer service and lack of responsiveness This shows a complete disregard for the needs and rights of applicants and clients. Improving customer service was a goal listed in last years’ Plan, but no progress has been made by SMHA.

With all the issues mentioned above, including the apparent willful negligence to fill key positions and create job descriptions for them, is it any wonder that the catastrophic housing/homeless crisis and widespread disability and housing rights violations remain unattended to in the City of Santa Monica?

Thank you for considering our public comment.

– Michael Louis and Olga Zurawska

“PHA's Responsibility to the RAB

What are the PHAs' Responsibilities?

PHAs have the responsibility to ensure that the RAB can adequately serve its function including:

- PHAs must give the RABs sufficient time to review and make recommendations on the Plan. RABs will be able to contribute best if they are provided with adequate information regarding the PHA's programs and the policies included in the Five-Year and Annual PHA Plan.
- The PHAs should give RABs advance notice of meetings scheduled to discuss areas of the Plan (generally, at least 48 hours, or more depending on the meeting agenda).
- RABs should also be provided with any existing documents that would assist them to make productive recommendations during the working meetings.
- PHAs should provide the RABs with reasonable means to carry out their functions such as making available a meeting place for discussing programs with the residents. RABs should also have access to any other communication tools such as a telephone, writing

material, or computers that may facilitate their contacts with other resident households or to obtain further information on the programs.

At what stage in the planning process must PHAs involve the RABs?

The role of the RABs is to assist and make recommendations regarding the development of the PHA Plan and any significant amendments or modifications to it. RABs should be involved in the planning process as soon as it is feasible and must be given sufficient time to fully participate in the process so that they can carry out their proper role and provide representation that is meaningful and relevant to the development of the Plan. The PHA and the RAB should develop a reasonable timetable to promote participation, including adequate notice of meetings. To facilitate productive meetings, PHAs may do preliminary work prior to involving the RABs, such as gathering and compiling data and materials to help residents participate in the process, including some initial recommendations. A PHA must consider the recommendations of the RABs and make revisions to drafts or to the Plan which it deems appropriate.

Public Notice and Comment Period Requirements

The PHA governing body is required to convene a public hearing to discuss their Five-Year and/or Annual Plan and to prompt comments from the public regarding their proposed activities. PHAs must consider, in consultation with the RABs, all the comments received at the public hearing.

PHAs are required to carry out the following steps at least forty-five (45) days prior to the scheduled public hearing:

- Publish a notice indicating that a public hearing to present the Plan and further public comments will be held including time, date and location. The notice should also indicate where the Plan and pertaining documents will be available for their review. The documents should be maintained at an accessible place such as the PHA's central office.
- Conduct outreach activities to promote comprehensive participation in the public hearing.

Any significant amendment or modification to the plan is subject to the public hearing and RABs' assessment requirements.”

Source: https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/public_indian_housing/pha/about/rab

Item 3.B. Proposed Revisions To The FY21-22 Administrative Plan

Marc Verville <marc_verville@yahoo.com>

Thu 10/21/2021 11:55 AM

To: SmHousing Mailbox <SmHousing.Mailbox@SMGOV.NET>; Melinda Espinoza <Melinda.Espinoza@santamonica.gov>

Cc: Renee.Buchanan@santamonica.gov <Renee.Buchanan@santamonica.gov>; Josh Hamilton <Josh.Hamilton@santamonica.gov>; mike.soloff@mto.com <mike.soloff@mto.com>; Richard Hilton <Richard.Hilton@SMGOV.NET>; Carl Hansen <Carl.Hansen@santamonica.gov>; Leonora Camner <Leonora.Camner@santamonica.gov>; James Kemper <James.Kemper@santamonica.gov>

 1 attachments (1 MB)

Santa Monica Rent Levels - HUD Comment 10-02-2021.pdf;

EXTERNAL

Dear Housing Commission Members,

The City of Santa Monica has been using an “exception payment standard” since 2016, and claims that it is “not approved” for Small Area FMRs.

Given the Council's stated objective of providing more housing across the city and given the 4,951 Census-measured vacant rental units at December 2020, there seems to be zero justification for the Housing Commission to support the use of the “exception standard payment” by SMHA in lieu of Small Area FMRs. It is clear that the “exception standard payment” is too low for most Santa Monica zip codes.

Using the Small Area FMRs, voucher holders would have access to affordable housing in all zip codes, which is a top City priority. This should be adopted by the City as a matter of policy and priority.

Respectfully,

Marc L. Verville

20:35
◀ Mail zumper.com — Private

Average Rent in Santa Monica, CA

Studio ▾

Neighborhood	Median Rent
Mid-City	\$1,647
Pico	\$1,545
Ocean Park	\$2,035
Downtown Santa Monica	\$2,567
Sunset Park	\$1,695
North of Montana	\$1,895
Northeast Santa Monica	\$770

Average Rent in Santa Monica, CA

1 Beds ▾

Neighborhood	Median Rent
Wilshire-Montana	\$2,450
Mid-City	\$2,373
Pico	\$2,300
Ocean Park	\$2,625
Downtown Santa Monica	\$3,152
Sunset Park	\$2,200
North of Montana	\$3,398

20:35
◀ Mail zumper.com — Private

Average Rent in Santa Monica, CA

2 Beds ▾

Neighborhood	Median Rent
Mid-City	\$3,550
Pico	\$3,195
Ocean Park	\$5,652
Downtown Santa Monica	\$5,275
Sunset Park	\$3,000
North of Montana	\$6,490
Northeast Santa Monica	\$3,408



FY2022 SMALL AREA FMRs FOR LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CA

In metropolitan areas, HUD defines Small Areas using ZIP Codes within the metropolitan area. Using ZIP codes as the basis for FMRs provides tenants with greater ability to move into "Opportunity Neighborhoods" with jobs, public transportation, and good schools. They also provide for multiple payment standards within a metropolitan area, and they are likely to reduce need for extensive market area rent reasonableness studies. Lastly, HUD hopes that setting FMRs for each ZIP code will reduce overpayment in lower-rent areas.

Los Angeles County is part of the **Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale, CA HUD Metro FMR Area**.

Los Angeles County, CA Advisory Small Area FMRs By Unit Bedrooms					
ZIP Code	Efficiency	One-Bedroom	Two-Bedroom	Three-Bedroom	Four-Bedroom
90401	\$1,960	\$2,280	\$2,900	\$3,820	\$4,160
90402	\$1,880	\$2,180	\$2,780	\$3,660	\$3,990
90403	\$2,080	\$2,410	\$3,070	\$4,040	\$4,410
90404	\$1,470	\$1,700	\$2,170	\$2,860	\$3,110
90405	\$1,690	\$1,950	\$2,490	\$3,280	\$3,570

4:01 [icons] 44%

[santamonica.gov/housing-pa](#) 22

[HOMEPAGE](#) [APPLY](#) [NEWS](#) [CONTACT](#)

Because Santa Monica is a high-rent area, the Santa Monica Housing Authority (SMHA) uses what's called an "exception payment standard" to determine the maximum amount of rent it will subsidize up to. The amount SMHA pays for an apartment is at most the payment standard minus 30% of the tenant's income (which is the portion the tenant pays).

Voucher Size	Payment Standard
0 Bedroom	\$1,512
1 Bedroom	\$1,930
2 Bedrooms	\$2,640
3 Bedrooms	\$3,366
4 Bedrooms	\$3,366

[Return to the City of Santa Monica Housing Office Homepage](#)

Your City Hall

Latest

Contact Us

Events



Theresa Marasco, Santa Monica Resident and RAB Member
Public Input/Public Comment

Subject: City of Santa Monica Housing Commission Meeting October 21, 2021
Re: Agenda Item 3-B/Proposed Revisions to the FY21-22 Administrative Plan

1. **The RAB has had no opportunity to meet and organize to respond collectively regarding the Annual and Administrative Plans, which do not include the voices of over 1,500 voucher holders in the City.**
 - a. After 14 years the RAB was finally created in May of 2021. Two RAB representatives were appointed by the Santa Monica City Council in June of 2021. I requested information on how to contact the RAB representatives in or about July 7, 2021 but email addresses were not created for the two RAB members until August 30, 2021. I requested several times via email that the Santa Monica Housing Authority (SMHA) assist with scheduling a Zoom meeting for all RAB members to meet with the newly appointed RAB Representatives, Donna Brown and Elizabeth Cochran, to discuss the upcoming Annual Plan, but the SMHA never responded to that request. I also emailed Donna Brown and Elizabeth Cochran several times requesting to speak with them but they never responded.
 - b. RAB members RAB should be able to meet quarterly, and discuss the Annual and Administrative Plans coming up each year, and all housing issues, concerns, or changes recommended by the greater number of active RAB members should be Section 8/HCV holders or RAB
 - c. Even though email addresses were provided by the City of SM for the newly appointed RAB Representatives, Donna Brown, and Elizabeth Cochran, they dhave not responded to my emails requesting to speak with them about the Annual and Administrative Plan, and therefore request that the Annual and Administrative Plans are not approved before the 45 day review period conducted by HUD of the Annual Plan. **(See attached emails regarding the RAB)**
2. I request that HUD does not approve the Annual and Administrative Plans until the RAB has had an opportunity to meet. That the meeting take place no later then 10 days after the Annual and Administrative Plan is submitted to HUD, and would be during the 75 day HUD review period to approve or disapprove the Plan. The RAB needs to submit comments that include a collective voice.

Examples of urgent needs that need to be discussed with the RAB

- a. Disparity of treatment of all subsidized tenants preferential treatment as to who gets housing subsidized and/or housing, or who gets rehoused.
- b. Disparity in treatment of lower income, disabled persons and older females, who need to have a voice to speak about fair housing rights being violated, to advise the RAB to address within the Annual Plans each year.
- c. No real informal review process
- d. Lack of transparency in AFF/Subsidized housing; etc. (preferential treatment who gets housing.) The SMHA need to make available a comprehensive list of all shelters, project based housing, deed restricted/tax credit and/or affordable housing units in the city. The City and County of San Diego publishes such a list for public access. Santa Monica can easily publish a list like San Diego **(See attached example of San Diego's list of housing.)**

Link to San Diego Housing List

<https://www.sdhc.org/housing-opportunities/affordable-rentals/>

- e. The City of Santa Monica needs to publish waitlists for Section 8; CCSM; and AFF/Deed restricted; tax credit properties. The Cochise County in Arizona publishes such a list. **(See attached example of Housing Waitlist in Cochise County)**
- f. **No landlord liasion:** There are known problems with habitability issues, harassment of long term tenants, and source of income discrimination against subsidized tenants when applying for rental units. There are numerous stories in the news documenting the harassment and uninhabitable conditions of entire buildings here in Santa Monica. The City is well aware of the problems but does not have funds to protect tenants, specifically the Consumer Protection Unit, overseen by attorney Gary Rhoades, has not responded to numerous complaints and has indicated that the City of Santa Monica does not have the funding to go after bad landlords.
- g. Legal Aid cannot take legal action against landlord and will not represent subsidized tenants in any dispute or action needed regarding the SMHA.
- h. Due to lack of representation for low income and/or subsidized tenants, there is a need for HUD experienced legal advisor(s)/advocate(s) to comprehensively advise them of their rights, and to guide/represent them in any informal review or state hearing as provided for in CFR 24
- i. There is a need for an ADA Coordinator who does not have conflicts with tenants.
Coordinator

The above is not a complete list but is the most urgent of items that needs to be addressed in an official meeting via Zoom, inviting all RAB members, including the appointees, Donna Brown and Elizabeth Cochran.



Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Please respond Re: Contact information for RAB appointees

Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Wed, Jul 7, 2021 at 8:26 AM

To: James Kemper <James.Kemper@smgov.net>

Cc: Andy Agle <andy.agle@smgov.net>, mike.soloff@mta.com, Ann Maggio <annmaggio@gmail.com>, oz <zurawska@yahoo.com>, Anna Topolewski <Anna.Topolewski@smgov.net>, Sue Himmelrich <suehimmelrich@suehimmelrich.net>

Good morning James Kemper:

I sent you the below email last week but you did not respond. It is upsetting and disturbing that the Housing Division/Santa Monica Housing Authority does not feel they are obligated to respond to my emails. Ms. Topolewski completely ignores my emails and she is the supervisor. As a client and a longtime voucher holder I find this to be very problematic and is the reason a RAB should have been in place 20 years ago. Section 8 voucher holders need representation and someone to go to when those who get paid fail to respond to important questions or concerns. With that being said, please see the email I sent last week below and respond - it only takes a minute.

I am a Section 8 voucher holder. I submitted my name for the RAB lottery, but unfortunately, my name was not chosen. However, I am still interested in being actively involved, and everyone who is a RAB member, who wants to be involved, should have the ability to do so. With that being said, I would like to know how to contact the newly chosen RAB appointees. Will they be given official email addresses for RAB members to contact them and will that information be provided to all Section 8 voucher holders? We are coming up on a new cycle to provide input on the Annual and Administrative Plans for the SMHA. There are several of us RAB members that want to work directly with the appointees.

Please advise upon receipt of this email as to how I can contact the new RAB appointees.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

Theresa H. Marasco
(720) 226-4051



Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Please respond Re: Contact information for RAB appointees

Ann Maggio <annmaggio@gmail.com>

Wed, Jul 7, 2021 at 11:27 AM

To: Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Cc: James Kemper <James.Kemper@smgov.net>, Andy Agle <andy.agle@smgov.net>, mike.soloff@mta.com, oz <zurawska@yahoo.com>, Anna Topolewski <Anna.Topolewski@smgov.net>, Sue Himmelrich <suehimmelrich@suehimmelrich.net>

Thank you for sharing your question, Theresa. It would be counterintuitive and a failure of process if the Section 8 RAB appointees were to remain unconnected to the very people they are selected to represent.

The SM Housing Authority must provide an appropriate solution that connects representatives to the Section 8 community.

Best regards,

Ann Maggio Thanawalla

"Unthinking respect for authority is the greatest enemy of truth." - Albert Einstein

[Quoted text hidden]



Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Please respond Re: Contact information for RAB appointees

Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Wed, Jul 7, 2021 at 11:33 AM

To: Ann Maggio <annmaggio@gmail.com>

Cc: James Kemper <James.Kemper@smgov.net>, Andy Agle <andy.agle@smgov.net>, mike.soloff@mto.com, oz <zurawska@yahoo.com>, Anna Topolewski <Anna.Topolewski@smgov.net>, Sue Himmelrich <suehimmelrich@suehimmelrich.net>

Good morning Ann:

Thank you for your quick response. Yes, absolutely it would defeat the purpose of the RAB if Sectiin 8 voucher holders could not interact with the RAB appointees and have a collective voice on the issues and policies that directly affect us and future voucher holders as well as the homeless and rent burden populations in the city.

[Quoted text hidden]

Facebook | Twitter | Instagram

Subscribe to City of Santa Monica Email Updates

From: Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 7, 2021 8:27 AM
To: James Kemper <James.Kemper@santamonica.gov>
Cc: Andy Agle <Andy.Agle@santamonica.gov>; mike.soloff@mto.com; Ann Maggio <annmaggio@gmail.com>; oz <zurawska@yahoo.com>; Anna Topolewski <Anna.Topolewski@santamonica.gov>; Sue Himmelrich <suehimmelrich@suehimmelrich.net>
Subject: Please respond Re: Contact information for RAB appointees

EXTERNAL

Good morning James Kemper:

I sent you the below email last week but you did not respond. It is upsetting and disturbing that the Housing Division/Santa Monica Housing Authority does not feel they are obligated to respond to my emails. Ms. Topolewski completely ignores my emails and she is the supervisor. As a client and a longtime voucher holder I find this to be very problematic and is the reason a RAB should have been in place 20 years ago. Section 8 voucher holders need representation and someone to go to when those who get paid fail to respond to important questions or concerns. With that being said, please see the email I sent last week below and respond - it only takes a minute.

I am a Section 8 voucher holder. I submitted my name for the RAB lottery, but unfortunately, my name was not chosen. However, I am still interested in being actively involved, and everyone who is a RAB member, who wants to be involved, should have the ability to do so. With that being said, I would like to know how to contact the newly chosen RAB appointees. Will they be given official email addresses for RAB members to contact them and will that information be provided to all Section 8 voucher holders? We are coming up on a new cycle to provide input on the Annual and Administrative Plans for the SMHA. There are several of us RAB members that want to work directly with the appointees.

Please advise upon receipt of this email as to how I can contact the new RAB appointees.

Please respond Re: Contact information for RAB appointees

James Kemper <James.Kemper@santamonica.gov>
To: Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Wed, Jul 7, 2021 at 1:37 PM

Good Afternoon Miss Marasco,

City offices were closed on Friday, when you sent your original email, as well as Monday, for the holiday.

Regarding your question about the two tenant representatives appointed to the Housing Authority Board via lottery on June 29th, staff is still working out the logistics regarding the recent appointment of the two new Housing Authority Board members. We would appreciate your patience during this time.

I also wanted to note for your clarification that the Housing Authority Annual and Administrative Plans cycle occur in the winter/spring.



Jim Kemper (he/him)

Housing Program Manager

310-434-2647

santamonica.gov

Facebook | Twitter | Instagram

Subscribe to City of Santa Monica Email Updates

From: Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, July 7, 2021 8:27 AM

To: James Kemper <James.Kemper@santamonica.gov>

Cc: Andy Agle <Andy.Agle@santamonica.gov>; mike.soloff@mto.com; Ann Maggio <annmaggio@gmail.com>; oz <zurawska@yahoo.com>; Anna Topolewski <Anna.Topolewski@santamonica.gov>; Sue Himmelrich <suehimmelrich@suehimmelrich.net>

Subject: Please respond Re: Contact information for RAB appointees

Please respond Re: Contact information for RAB appointees

Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Fri, Aug 6, 2021 at 4:00 PM

To: john.jalili@santamonica.gov, manager.mailbox@smgov.net

Cc: Sue Himmelrich <suehimmelrich@suehimmelrich.net>, mike.soloff@mto.com, Andy Agle <andy.agle@smgov.net>, James Kemper <James.Kemper@smgov.net>, Ann Maggio <annmaggio@gmail.com>, oz <zurawska@yahoo.com>, Anna Topolewski <Anna.Topolewski@smgov.net>, CA_Webmanager@hud.gov, kristin.mccowan@santamonica.gov, christine.parra@santamonica.gov, oscar.delatorre@santamonica.gov, phil.brock@santamonica.gov, gleam.davis@santamonica.gov, lana.negrete@santamonica.gov, richard.hilton@santamonica.gov, Josh.Hamilton@santamonica.gov, Todd.Floras@santamonica.gov, Carl.Hansen@smgov.net, Leonora.Camner@santamonica.gov, Rene.Buchanan@santamonica.gov

Good afternoon John Jalili:

I am writing to you in your position as the Interim Executive Director of the Santa Monica Housing Authority since Housing Program Manager James Kemper has not resolved this issue as the writing of this email.

I sent emails to James Kemper on July 2nd and July 7th regarding contact information for the Section 8 tenants representing the Resident Advisory Board (RAB) on the Santa Monica Housing Authority Board who were appointed through a lottery administered by Mr. Kemper on June 29, 2021. Mr. Kemper's response to me on July 7th was **"staff is still working out the logistics regarding the recent appointment of the two new Housing Authority Board members."**

I have no idea what "logistics" the SMHA needs to work out in order to be able to notify the approximately 1,500 RAB members how they can contact the two individuals who are now representing them on the Santa Monica Housing Authority Board. The two people selected via the lottery are public officials now who volunteered and their names and contact info must be disclosed to the community they are supposed to represent, which is the RAB, and the general public.

For five weeks now the identity and contact information of the RAB representatives appointed to the Santa Monica Housing Authority Board via a lottery have been kept secret from the very community those individuals are supposed to represent. Again, those individuals volunteered to become public officials. Their names must be disclosed to the general public as well, and the RAB must be able to communicate with them immediately.

Therefore, I am calling for a Zoom meeting with the newly appointed RAB representatives to be scheduled as soon as conveniently possible and at least 30 days before the Administrative Plan is to be discussed by the Housing Authority Board. **I am requesting that the SMHA provide the information about the Zoom meeting to all of the RAB members and/or Section 8 voucher holders once it is scheduled so everyone can have an opportunity to attend the meeting.**

The SMHA is required per HUD regulations to provide to the RAB the "space" to hold meetings. Due to Covid-19, I request that a Zoom meeting be arranged for with the possibility to listen in and participate on the phone for those who do not have access to the Internet.

It is the PHA's responsibility to facilitate the communication among RAB members in order for the RAB to be able to effectively discuss and provide feedback regarding the Administrative Plan. Additionally, since it is the first year the newly formed RAB has included all Section 8 tenants, the RAB must be given an opportunity to communicate in order to organize, e.g. come up with its bylaws, etc.

Please see excerpts from HUD below:

"Encouraging Participation in the RAB"

The PHA provide adequate information to all residents regarding the RAB. The PHA should inform residents of the purpose and role of the RAB, as well as practical information such as the time

commitment required. The PHA should make clear to residents and Section 8 participants that the partnership between the residents and the PHA is of benefit to both parties. The residents are provided with an opportunity to voice their concerns so that their needs are addressed and they can become involved in the planning process. The PHA also gains essential information from the residents about the improvements that need to be made at the agency's developments and residents' self-sufficiency needs. This information helps the PHA to set priorities for capital improvements and advises resident services programming.

PHA's Responsibility to the RAB

What are the PHAs' Responsibilities?

PHAs have the responsibility to ensure that the RAB can adequately serve its function including:

PHAs must give the RABs sufficient time to review and make recommendations on the Plan. RABs will be able to contribute best if they are provided with adequate information regarding the PHA's programs and the policies included in the Five-Year and Annual PHA Plan.

The PHAs should give RABs advance notice of meetings scheduled to discuss areas of the Plan (generally, at least 48 hours, or more depending on the meeting agenda).

RABs should also be provided with any existing documents that would assist them to make productive recommendations during the working meetings.

PHAs should provide the RABs with reasonable means to carry out their functions such as making available a meeting place for discussing programs with the residents. RABs should also have access to any other communication tools such as a telephone, writing material, or computers that may facilitate their contacts with other resident households or to obtain further information on the programs.

At what stage in the planning process must PHAs involve the RABs?

The role of the RABs is to assist and make recommendations regarding the development of the PHA Plan and any significant amendments or modifications to it. RABs should be involved in the planning process as soon as it is feasible and must be given sufficient time to fully participate in the process so that they can carry out their proper role and provide representation that is meaningful and relevant to the development of the Plan. The PHA and the RAB should develop a reasonable timetable to promote participation, including adequate notice of meetings. To facilitate productive meetings, PHAs may do preliminary work prior to involving the RABs, such as gathering and compiling data and materials to help residents participate in the process, including some initial recommendations. A PHA must consider the recommendations of the RABs and make revisions to drafts or to the Plan which it deems appropriate.

Please respond by 5 pm on Wednesday, August 11, 2021. I look forward to your reply.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

Theresa H. Marasco
(720) 226-4051



Powered by
cloudHQ

[Quoted text hidden]



Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Please respond Re: Contact information for RAB appointees

Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Fri, Aug 13, 2021 at 4:29 PM

To: john.jalili@santamonica.gov, manager.mailbox@smgov.net

Cc: Sue Himmelrich <suehimmelrich@suehimmelrich.net>, mike.soloff@mto.com, Andy Agle <andy.agle@smgov.net>, James Kemper <James.Kemper@smgov.net>, Ann Maggio <annmaggio@gmail.com>, oz <zurawska@yahoo.com>, Anna Topolewski <Anna.Topolewski@smgov.net>, CA_Webmanager@hud.gov, kristin.mccowan@santamonica.gov, christine.parra@santamonica.gov, oscar.delatorre@santamonica.gov, phil.brock@santamonica.gov, gleam.davis@santamonica.gov, lana.negrete@santamonica.gov, richard.hilton@santamonica.gov, Josh.Hamilton@santamonica.gov, Todd.Floria@santamonica.gov, Carl.Hansen@smgov.net, Leonora.Camner@santamonica.gov, Rene.Buchanan@santamonica.gov

Good afternoon Mr. Jalili:

Please provide me with a material response to my email of 8/6/21 (enclosed).

When responding to that email on 8/6/21 you did not use "reply all," which is why I have cut, pasted, and highlighted your insufficient response below:

Hello Ms. Marasco:

Thank you for alerting me about your concerns.

I was not "alerting" you, I was requesting that you provide me with the answers to my requests.

My email to you on 8/6/2021 specifically requested that SMHA organize a Zoom meeting for the RAB to be able to meet and communicate with the two individuals who are supposed to represent the RAB on the SMHA Board.

The whole reason for the RAB is to give Section 8 voucher holders a voice and to have RAB representatives on the SMHAB who can speak on our behalf during SMHAB meetings. How can the representatives on the SMHAB represent the RAB if the RAB is deprived of the opportunity to contact and communicate with its own representatives?

I realize this is a new concept for the City of SM with regard to giving Section 8 voucher holders a voice even though it has been required by federal regulations for decades. It certainly doesn't take months to give the new appointees and the voucher holders a way to communicate and organize a Zoom meeting for them.

I requested that an answer be provided to me by 8/11/2021. Today is 8/13/2021. You are a public official and you have a duty to resolve issues such as the ones I have brought to your attention and requested assistance in resolving. I have asked you for your assistance in resolving this matter because the other public officials such as Mr. Kemper and others who have been copied on my emails, whose job it is to resolve this issue, have not done so as of the writing of this email even though I have requested the same of them.

Your position with the City is the Interim City Manager. You are also the Interim Executive Director of the SMHA. You are paid to assist residents in this city with the problems and issues we face. I do not get paid to send endless emails that seem to go nowhere in this city. I have complained about the lack of response by the SMHA and other departments in the city many times but still, no change has come about.

This is dysfunctional and unacceptable for the residents. As a resident I should not be put in a position where I have to consider contacting my senator or congressman to resolve a local issue regarding a federal program administered within the City of Santa Monica.

I await your material response to my 8/6/21 email. Please provide it at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

Theresa H. Marasco
(720) 226-4051



Powered by
cloudHQ

[Quoted text hidden]



Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Please respond Re: Contact information for RAB appointees

John Jalili <John.Jalili@santamonica.gov>

Fri, Aug 13, 2021 at 5:46 PM

To: Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Cc: Andy Agle <Andy.Agle@santamonica.gov>, James Kemper <James.Kemper@santamonica.gov>, "Christopher J. Smith" <Christopher.J.Smith@santamonica.gov>

Dear Ms. Marasco,

You have reached me on a closed Friday and I am not able to reach out to Mr. Kemper and his supervisor, Andy Agle until next week. I have complete confidence in our staff and suggest you continue to work with them to resolve your issues. Thanks.

From: Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, August 13, 2021 4:30 PM

To: John Jalili <John.Jalili@santamonica.gov>; Santa Monica City Manager's Office <manager.mailbox@SMGOV.NET>

Cc: Sue Himmelrich <suehimmelrich@suehimmelrich.net>; mike.soloff@mto.com; Andy Agle <Andy.Agle@santamonica.gov>; James Kemper <James.Kemper@santamonica.gov>; Ann Maggio <annmaggio@gmail.com>; oz <zurawska@yahoo.com>; Anna Topolewski <Anna.Topolewski@santamonica.gov>; CA_Webmanager@hud.gov; Kristin McCowan <Kristin.McCowan@SMGOV.NET>; Christine Parra <Christine.Parra@SMGOV.NET>; Oscar de la Torre <Oscar.delaTorre@SMGOV.NET>; Phil Brock <Phil.Brock@SMGOV.NET>; Gleam Davis <Gleam.Davis@SMGOV.NET>; Lana Negrete <Lana.Negrete@SMGOV.NET>; Richard Hilton <Richard.Hilton@SMGOV.NET>; Josh Hamilton <Josh.Hamilton@santamonica.gov>; Todd Flora <Todd.Flora@santamonica.gov>; Carl.Hansen@smgov.net; Leonora Camner <Leonora.Camner@santamonica.gov>; Rene Buchanan <Rene.Buchanan@santamonica.gov>

Subject: Re: Please respond Re: Contact information for RAB appointees

EXTERNAL

Good afternoon Mr. Jalili:

Please provide me with a material response to my email of 8/6/21 (enclosed).

When responding to that email on 8/6/21 you did not use "reply all," which is why I have cut, pasted, and highlighted your insufficient response below:

Hello Ms. Marasco:

Thank you for alerting me about your concerns.

I was not "alerting" you, I was requesting that you provide me with the answers to my requests.

My email to you on 8/6/2021 specifically requested that SMHA organize a Zoom meeting for the RAB to be able to meet and communicate with the two individuals who are supposed to represent the RAB on the SMHA Board.

The whole reason for the RAB is to give Section 8 voucher holders a voice and to have RAB representatives on the SMHAB who can speak on our behalf during SMHAB meetings. How can the representatives on the SMHAB represent the RAB if the RAB is deprived of the opportunity to contact and communicate with its own representatives?

I realize this is a new concept for the City of SM with regard to giving Section 8 voucher holders a voice even though it has been required by federal regulations for decades. It certainly doesn't take months to give the new appointees and the voucher holders a way to communicate and organize a Zoom meeting for them.

I requested that an answer be provided to me by 8/11/2021. Today is 8/13/2021. You are a public official and you have a duty to resolve issues such as the ones I have brought to your attention and requested assistance in resolving. I have asked you for your assistance in resolving this matter because the other public officials such as Mr. Kemper and others who have been copied on my emails, whose job it is to resolve this issue, have not done so as of the writing of this email even though I have requested the same of them.

Your position with the City is the Interim City Manager. You are also the Interim Executive Director of the SMHA. You are paid to assist residents in this city with the problems and issues we face. I do not get paid to send endless emails that seem to go nowhere in this city. I have complained about the lack of response by the SMHA and other departments in the city many times but still, no change has come about.

This is dysfunctional and unacceptable for the residents. As a resident I should not be put in a position where I have to consider contacting my senator or congressman to resolve a local issue regarding a federal program administered within the City of Santa Monica.

I await your material response to my 8/6/21 email. Please provide it at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

Theresa H. Marasco

(720) 226-4051



Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Please respond Re: Contact information for RAB appointees

Andy Agle <Andy.Agle@santamonica.gov>

Tue, Aug 17, 2021 at 11:18 AM

To: John Jalili <John.Jalili@santamonica.gov>, Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Cc: James Kemper <James.Kemper@santamonica.gov>, "Christopher J. Smith" <Christopher.J.Smith@santamonica.gov>, Setareh Yavari <Setareh.Yavari@santamonica.gov>, Denise Anderson-Warren <Denise.Anderson-Warren@santamonica.gov>

Staff has asked the Information Services Department to create City email addresses for the new HAB members. Once the addresses are established and the new members have been given instructions on accessing the email, their contact information will be posted on the City website.

From: John Jalili <John.Jalili@santamonica.gov>

Sent: Friday, August 13, 2021 5:46 PM

To: Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Please respond Re: Contact information for RAB appointees

Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Thu, Aug 26, 2021 at 4:44 PM

To: Andy Agle <Andy.Agle@santamonica.gov>

Cc: "Christopher J. Smith" <Christopher.J.Smith@santamonica.gov>, Setareh Yavari <Setareh.Yavari@santamonica.gov>, Denise Anderson-Warren <Denise.Anderson-Warren@santamonica.gov>, Oscar de la Torre <oscar.delatorre@smgov.net>, Santa Monica City Manager's Office <manager.mailbox@smgov.net>, mike.soloff@mto.com, Andy Agle <Andy.Agle@santamonica.gov>, James Kemper <James.Kemper@santamonica.gov>, Ann Maggio <annmaggio@gmail.com>, oz <zurawska@yahoo.com>, Anna Topolewski <Anna.Topolewski@santamonica.gov>, CA_Webmanager@hud.gov, Kristin McCowan <Kristin.McCowan@smgov.net>, Christine Parra <Christine.Parra@smgov.net>, Oscar de la Torre <Oscar.delaTorre@smgov.net>, Phil Brock <Phil.Brock@smgov.net>, Gleam Davis <Gleam.Davis@smgov.net>, Lana Negrete <Lana.Negrete@smgov.net>, Richard Hilton <Richard.Hilton@smgov.net>, Josh Hamilton <Josh.Hamilton@santamonica.gov>, Todd Flora <Todd.Flora@santamonica.gov>, Carl.Hansen@smgov.net, Leonora Camner <Leonora.Camner@santamonica.gov>, Rene Buchanan <Rene.Buchanan@santamonica.gov>, Sue Himmelrich <suehimmelrich@suehimmelrich.net>, John.Jalili@santamonica.gov

Good afternoon Andy Agle:

On August 17, 2021, you sent me an email in response to the email that I sent to City of SM interim City Manager, John Jalili, on August 6, 2021. I have copied and pasted the email I sent to John Jalili below and is highlighted in yellow. As you know and upon review of the copied and pasted email below to John Jalili, I have been requesting the contact information for the newly appointed RAB/HAB members, **as well as a Zoom meeting** that will allow **all** Section 8 voucher holders to participate in the first, official meeting with the newly appointed RAB/HAB members.

On Tuesday, August 17, 2021, 9 days ago, your response to me was the following:

"Staff has asked the Information Services Department to create City email addresses for the new HAB members. Once the addresses are established and the new members have been given instructions on accessing the email, their contact information will be posted on the City website."

I sent an email to James Kemper on Wednesday, July 7, 2021 requesting contact information for the newly appointed RAB/HAB members. Mr. Kemper responded the same day stating the following:

"Regarding your question about the two tenant representatives appointed to the Housing Authority Board via lottery on June 29th, staff is still working out the logistics regarding the recent appointment of the two new Housing Authority Board members. We would appreciate your patience during this time."

I also wanted to note for your clarification that the Housing Authority Annual and Administrative Plans cycle occur in the winter/spring."

As you can imagine I am baffled as to why there are so many people involved yet it is taking a very long time to set up simple emails and a Zoom conference call. It is becoming more than apparent that the more than 1,500 Section 8 voucher holders in this city are not a priority to the people who are paid to administer the program - the SMHA.

I have copied all of the SMHAB members/SMCC, the Housing Commission members and chair, James Kemper, and an array of City of Santa Monica staff, as well as several residents regarding the below requests. I am beyond frustrated and dismayed that all I have been provided are empty commitments, stonewalling, and no action. My requests are part of HUD's mandates. You and Mr. Kemper should be fully aware of that fact so I am not asking for anything outlandish, or unorthodox, or even difficult.

Please review my requests and information regarding HUD mandates below and kindly provide me with the information that I requested by September 2, 2021.

8/6/2021 email sent to City of SM interim City Manager, John Jalili below:

Good afternoon John Jalili:

I am writing to you in your position as the Interim Executive Director of the Santa Monica Housing Authority since Housing Program Manager James Kemper has not resolved this issue as the writing of this email.

I sent emails to James Kemper on July 2nd and July 7th regarding contact information for the Section 8 tenants representing the Resident Advisory Board (RAB) on the Santa Monica Housing Authority Board who were appointed through a lottery administered by Mr. Kemper on June 29, 2021. Mr. Kemper's response to me on July 7th was "staff is still working out the logistics regarding the recent appointment of the two new Housing Authority Board members."

I have no idea what "logistics" the SMHA needs to work out in order to be able to notify the approximately 1,500 RAB members how they can contact the two individuals who are now representing them on the Santa Monica Housing Authority Board. The two people selected via the lottery are public officials now who volunteered and their names and contact info must be disclosed to the community they are supposed to represent, which is the RAB, and the general public.

For five weeks now the identity and contact information of the RAB representatives appointed to the Santa Monica Housing Authority Board via a lottery have been kept secret from the very community those individuals are supposed to represent. Again, those individuals volunteered to become public officials. Their names must be disclosed to the general public as well, and the RAB must be able to communicate with them immediately.

Therefore, I am calling for a Zoom meeting with the newly appointed RAB representatives to be scheduled as soon as conveniently possible and at least 30 days before the Administrative Plan is to be discussed by the Housing Authority Board. I am requesting that the SMHA provide the information about the Zoom meeting to all of the RAB members and/or Section 8 voucher holders once it is scheduled so everyone can have an opportunity to attend the meeting.

The SMHA is required per HUD regulations to provide to the RAB the "space" to hold meetings. Due to Covid-19, I request that a Zoom meeting be arranged for with the possibility to listen in and participate on the phone for those who do not have access to the Internet.

It is the PHA's responsibility to facilitate the communication among RAB members in order for the RAB to be able to effectively discuss and provide feedback regarding the Administrative Plan. Additionally, since it is the first year the newly formed RAB has included all Section 8 tenants, the RAB must be given an opportunity to communicate in order to organize, e.g. come up with its bylaws, etc.

Please see excerpts from HUD below: ([hyperlink to HUD mandate re: RAB](#))

"Encouraging Participation in the RAB

The PHA provides adequate information to all residents regarding the RAB. The PHA should inform residents of the purpose and role of the RAB, as well as practical information such as the time commitment required. The PHA should make clear to residents and Section 8 participants that the partnership between the residents and the PHA is of benefit to both parties. The residents are provided with an opportunity to voice their concerns so that their needs are addressed and they can become involved in the planning process. The PHA also gains essential information from the residents about the improvements that need to be made at the agency's developments and residents' self-sufficiency needs. This information helps the PHA to set priorities for capital improvements and advises resident services programming.

PHA's Responsibility to the RAB

What are the PHAs' Responsibilities?

PHAs have the responsibility to ensure that the RAB can adequately serve its function including: PHAs must give the RABs sufficient time to review and make recommendations on the Plan. RABs will be able to contribute best if they are provided with adequate information regarding the PHA's programs and the policies included in the Five-Year and Annual PHA Plan. The PHAs should give RABs advance notice of meetings scheduled to discuss areas of the Plan (generally,

at least 48 hours, or more depending on the meeting agenda).

RABs should also be provided with any existing documents that would assist them to make productive recommendations during the working meetings.

PHAs should provide the RABs with reasonable means to carry out their functions such as making available a meeting place for discussing programs with the residents. RABs should also have access to any other communication tools such as a telephone, writing material, or computers that may facilitate their contacts with other resident households or to obtain further information on the programs.

At what stage in the planning process must PHAs involve the RABs?

The role of the RABs is to assist and make recommendations regarding the development of the PHA Plan and any significant amendments or modifications to it. RABs should be involved in the planning process as soon as it is feasible and must be given sufficient time to fully participate in the process so that they can carry out their proper role and provide representation that is meaningful and relevant to the development of the Plan. The PHA and the RAB should develop a reasonable timetable to promote participation, including adequate notice of meetings. To facilitate productive meetings, PHAs may do preliminary work prior to involving the RABs, such as gathering and compiling data and materials to help residents participate in the process, including some initial recommendations. A PHA must consider the recommendations of the RABs and make revisions to drafts or to the Plan which it deems appropriate.

Thanking you in advance for your immediate attention and response to this matter by next Thursday, September 2, 2021.

Very truly yours,

Theresa H. Marasco
(720) 226-4051



Powered by
cloudHQ

[Quoted text hidden]

Please respond Re: Contact information for RAB appointees

Anna Topolewski <Anna.Topolewski@santamonica.gov>
To: Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 30, 2021 at 3:40 PM

Hi Theresa,

You can find information about the Housing Authority Board(HAB) members on the Housing Authority News & Notices page (link below). The most recent notice provides a link to the new HAB webpage.

<https://www.santamonica.gov/housing-news>

Best,

Anna Topolewski

Senior Administrative Analyst

Santa Monica Housing Authority

Office: 310-458-8743 ext 2646 | Fax: 310-264-7757



From: Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 26, 2021 4:44 PM

To: Andy Agle <Andy.Agle@santamonica.gov>

Cc: Christopher J. Smith <Christopher.J.Smith@santamonica.gov>; Setareh Yavari <Setareh.Yavari@santamonica.gov>; Denise Anderson-Warren <Denise.Anderson-Warren@santamonica.gov>; Oscar de la Torre <Oscar.delaTorre@SMGOV.NET>; Santa Monica City Manager's Office <manager.mailbox@SMGOV.NET>; mike.soloff@mto.com; Andy Agle <Andy.Agle@santamonica.gov>; James Kemper <James.Kemper@santamonica.gov>; Ann Maggio <annmaggio@gmail.com>; oz <zurawska@yahoo.com>; Anna Topolewski <Anna.Topolewski@santamonica.gov>; CA_Webmanager@hud.gov; Kristin McCowan <Kristin.McCowan@SMGOV.NET>; Christine Parra <Christine.Parra@SMGOV.NET>; Oscar de la Torre <Oscar.delaTorre@SMGOV.NET>; Phil Brock <Phil.Brock@SMGOV.NET>; Gleam Davis <Gleam.Davis@SMGOV.NET>; Lana Negrete <Lana.Negrete@SMGOV.NET>; Richard Hilton <Richard.Hilton@SMGOV.NET>; Josh Hamilton <Josh.Hamilton@santamonica.gov>; Todd Flora <Todd.Flora@santamonica.gov>; Carl.Hansen@smgov.net; Leonora Camner <Leonora.Camner@santamonica.gov>; Rene Buchanan <Rene.Buchanan@santamonica.gov>; Sue Himmelrich <suehimmelrich@suehimmelrich.net>; John Jalili <John.Jalili@santamonica.gov>

Subject: Re: Please respond Re: Contact information for RAB appointees

EXTERNAL



Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Please respond Re: Contact information for RAB appointees

Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Thu, Sep 9, 2021 at 5:02 PM

To: Andy Agle <andy.agle@smgov.net>, Anna Topolewski <Anna.Topolewski@smgov.net>, James Kemper

<James.Kemper@smgov.net>, John Jalili <John.Jalili@santamonica.gov>

Cc: Christopher.J.Smith@santamonica.gov, Setareh Yavari <Setareh.Yavari@santamonica.gov>, Denise Anderson-Warren

<Denise.Anderson-Warren@santamonica.gov>, Oscar de la Torre <Oscar.delaTorre@smgov.net>, Santa Monica City

Manager's Office <manager.mailbox@smgov.net>, mike.soloff@mto.com, Andy Agle <Andy.Agle@santamonica.gov>, Ann

Maggio <annmaggio@gmail.com>, oz <zurawska@yahoo.com>, Anna Topolewski <Anna.Topolewski@santamonica.gov>,

CA_Webmanager@hud.gov, Kristin McCowan <Kristin.McCowan@smgov.net>, Christine Parra

<Christine.Parra@smgov.net>, Phil Brock <Phil.Brock@smgov.net>, Gleam Davis <Gleam.Davis@smgov.net>, Lana Negrete

<Lana.Negrete@smgov.net>, Richard Hilton <Richard.Hilton@smgov.net>, Josh Hamilton

<Josh.Hamilton@santamonica.gov>, Todd Flora <Todd.Flora@santamonica.gov>, Carl Hansen <Carl.Hansen@smgov.net>, Leonora

Camner <Leonora.Camner@santamonica.gov>, Rene Buchanan <Rene.Buchanan@santamonica.gov>, Sue Himmelrich

<suehimmelrich@suehimmelrich.net>, donna.brown@santamonica.gov, elizabeth.cochran@santamonica.gov

Good afternoon Andy Agle, Anna Topolewski, James Kemper, and John Jalili:

Thank you Ann Topolewski for responding to the email that I sent to Andy Agle on August 26, 2021. I requested that Andy Agle respond no later than September 2, 2021. However, the response you sent to me on August 30, 2021, on behalf of Andy Agle, only provided me with the contact information for the newly appointed RAB members but you did not address the entirety of my request.

Andy Agle, Anna Topolewski, James Kemper, and John Jalili, beginning on August 6, 2021, I specifically requested the following:

"...I am calling for a Zoom meeting with the newly appointed RAB representatives to be scheduled as soon as conveniently possible and at least 30 days before the Administrative Plan is to be discussed by the Housing Authority Board. **I am requesting that the SMHA provide the information about the Zoom meeting to all of the RAB members and/or Section 8 voucher holders once it is scheduled so everyone can have an opportunity to attend the meeting.**"

I have made this request several times yet **NONE** of you have ever responded to it. The fact that you all wield so much power to simply ignore the requests from subsidized tenants, requests that are a part of your mandates per your HUD contracts and HUD regulations, is very troubling. You are all paid a very handsome salary, with above average benefits, including a four day work week, so I do not understand why something so simple such as setting up a Zoom meeting with the RAB, to begin the process of discussing the proposed changes of the Annual and Administrative plans, and notifying all the Section 8 tenants about the meeting and providing them with a link to participate is so difficult.

September 16, 2021 is when the RAB/SMHAB will begin discussions about the above mentioned proposed changes. Please immediately schedule the requested Zoom meeting. Kindly schedule it at a time that will be convenient for the majority of Section 8 tenants to participate, preferably in the evening, and please notify ALL Section 8 tenants about the meeting, and encourage them to participate.

Again, the HUD guidelines below that I have included in my previous emails that mandates the SMHA and the SMHAB, comply with my request:

"PHA's Responsibility to the RAB
What are the PHAs' Responsibilities?

PHAs have the responsibility to ensure that the RAB can adequately serve its function including:

PHAs must give the RABs sufficient time to review and make recommendations on the Plan. RABs will be able to contribute best if they are provided with adequate information regarding the PHA's programs and the policies included in the Five-Year and Annual PHA Plan.

The PHAs should give RABs advance notice of meetings scheduled to discuss areas of the Plan (generally, at least 48 hours, or more depending on the meeting agenda).

RABs should also be provided with any existing documents that would assist them to make productive recommendations during the working meetings.

PHAs should provide the RABs with reasonable means to carry out their functions such as making available a meeting place for discussing programs with the residents. RABs should also have access to any other communication tools such as a telephone, writing material, or computers that may facilitate their contacts with other resident households or to obtain further information on the programs.

At what stage in the planning process must PHAs involve the RABs?

The role of the RABs is to assist and make recommendations regarding the development of the PHA Plan and any significant amendments or modifications to it. RABs should be involved in the planning process as soon as it is feasible and must be given sufficient time to fully participate in the process so that they can carry out their proper role and provide representation that is meaningful and relevant to the development of the Plan. The PHA and the RAB should develop a reasonable timetable to promote participation, including adequate notice of meetings. To facilitate productive meetings, PHAs may do preliminary work prior to involving the RABs, such as gathering and compiling data and materials to help residents participate in the process, including some initial recommendations. A PHA must consider the recommendations of the RABs and make revisions to drafts or to the Plan which it deems appropriate."

I have copied the newly appointed RAB/HAB members, Donna Brown and Elizabeth Cochran on this email thread so they may review the requests made, and the responses provided by the various City of SMHA staff, and the Interim City Manager, John Jalili.

Thanking you in advance for your immediate attention and resolution to this matter.

Very truly yours,

Theresa H. Marasco

(720) 226-4051



Powered by
cloudHQ

[Quoted text hidden]

Please respond Re: Contact information for RAB appointees

Theresa Marasco <theresa.marasco@gmail.com>

Fri, Sep 17, 2021 at 4:55 PM

To: Andy Agle <andy.agle@smgov.net>, Anna Topolewski <Anna.Topolewski@smgov.net>, James Kemper <James.Kemper@smgov.net>, Oscar de la Torre <oscar.delatorre@smgov.net>, Christine Parra <Christine.Parra@smgov.net>, Phil Brock <Phil.Brock@smgov.net>, kristin.mccowan@smgov.net, Gleam.Davis@smgov.net, Lana.Negrete@smgov.net, Sue Himmelrich <suehimmelrich@suehimmelrich.net>, donna.brown@santamonica.gov, elizabeth.cochran@santamonica.gov, john.jalili@santamonica.gov

Cc: Santa Monica City Manager's Office <manager.mailbox@smgov.net>, mike.soloff@mto.com, Ann Maggio <annmaggio@gmail.com>, oz <zurawska@yahoo.com>, CA_Webmanager@hud.gov, Richard Hilton <Richard.Hilton@smgov.net>, Josh Hamilton <Josh.Hamilton@santamonica.gov>, Todd Flora <Todd.Flora@santamonica.gov>, Carl.Hansen@smgov.net, Leonora Camner <Leonora.Camner@santamonica.gov>, Rene Buchanan <Rene.Buchanan@santamonica.gov>, wayne.e.sauseda@hud.gov, George.Cardona@santamonica.gov

Good afternoon John Jalili, Andy Agle, Anna Topolewski, James Kemper, the Santa Monica Housing Authority Board, and RAB Representatives:

As you all know, I have been actively trying to communicate and engage with the newly appointed RAB representatives since July 7, 2021, which was one week after the RAB representatives were appointed, in an effort to ensure that the RAB representatives give **ALL** Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) holders a voice in the drafting of revisions to the Annual and Administrative Plan (AP) process.

As of today, September 17, 2021, more than 3 months since I first contacted you all about engaging with the RAB representatives, I have not been able to communicate with either Donna Brown or Elizabeth Cochran, who are the current RAB representatives. The Santa Monica Housing Authority (SMHA) barely contacted me two weeks ago with the email addresses of the RAB representatives, Elizabeth Cochran and Donna Brown even though they were appointed on June 29, 2021.

Since receiving the RAB representative email addresses, I have copied them on the emails that I sent to everyone addressed in this email requesting that the SMHA and the Santa Monica Housing Authority Board (SMHAB) set up a Zoom meeting for the RAB to give all RAB members/HCV a chance to discuss the draft AP. **Additionally, I have tried contacting each of the RAB representatives by email but they have been unresponsive as of the writing of this email.**

To date, NONE of you have ever responded to my request to schedule a Zoom meeting and to notify all HCV holders about the meeting so everyone has a chance to ACTUALLY participate in a meaningful way and as is designed by HUD.

Even though a RAB has always been required per HUD regulations, the SMHA and the SMHAB have failed to meet this requirement since the time that the City of Santa Monica created a Housing Authority. If it had not been for the diligence and hard work of Olga Zurawska over the last two years to force the hand of the SMHA and the SMHAB to create the RAB, it would have never been done.

As pointed out in the email I received today from the SMHA regarding the comment period for the draft Administrative Plan, the SMHA officially, for the first time ever, notified HCV holders in March of this year that HCV holders are "automatically" RAB members.

The fact is, any resident of Santa Monica has the opportunity to attempt to participate in the drafting of the AP by submitting public comment. However, without having an official functional RAB, that is comprised solely of HCV holders to discuss and carry forward the policy recommendations for the draft of the AP, it has been pointless to submit comments. It is a well-known fact that the SMHA and SMHAB never take any of the public comments into consideration. The comment period literally only serves as something the SMHA and SMHAB check off of their list as a requirement you all complied with. At the 4/27/21 SMHAB meeting James Kemper confirmed that the SMHAB's role is merely to rubber stamp the AP that SMHA staff puts before them.

Today is the first day of the 45 day comment period regarding the Annual and Administrative Plans. Again, I am requesting a Zoom meeting be scheduled with the newly appointed RAB representatives and that ALL RAB members be notified. I am also requesting the SMHA and/or the SMHAB contact Elizabeth Cochran and Donna Brown to notify them that I am trying to contact them. Their sole purpose is to represent the recommendations of the entire RAB/ALL RAB members.

Additionally, It should be noted that RAB's have more function than to just review and agree or disagree with the SMHA's "redlined" revisions of the annual draft AP. RAB's can make policy change recommendations **on any part** of the more than 600 pages of the AP's. **Below are the statements that were made in the email sent to me today from the SMHA regarding the comment period for the draft revisions of the AP:**

"SMHA has proposed changes to its Administrative Plan, and the public comment period is open for feedback on those changes. As a member of the RAB, we welcome your thoughts on the proposed policy changes.

What's Needed at This Time:

If you'd like to participate in the Administrative Plan process, please review the proposed policy revisions redlined in Chapters 17, 19, and 21 of the Administrative Plan, which is currently posted for public comment. Please provide your input by October 31, 2021. "

Per HUD's regulations, RAB's can submit their own recommendations for policy revisions to the AP that are allowable, and are in compliance with HUD regulations (CFR Title 24) for the SMHA and SMHAB to take into consideration each year. **Therefore, the statements made in the email sent to all RAB members are incorrect and misleading and is even more reason why the RAB needs to be an official board with Bylaws, its own elections, and the ability to meet regularly throughout the year to discuss possible revisions to be submitted annually.**

The RAB is not to be influenced or controlled by either the SMHA or the SMHAB and should serve as a completely separate body to make recommendations for policy changes to the AP. **SEE CFR Title 24 Section 903.13 below:**

§ 903.13 What is a Resident Advisory Board and what is its role in development of the Annual Plan?
(a) A Resident Advisory Board refers to a board or boards, as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, whose membership consists of individuals who adequately reflect and represent the residents assisted by the PHA.

(1) The role of the Resident Advisory Board (or Resident Advisory Boards) is to assist and make recommendations regarding the development of the PHA plan, and any significant amendment or modification to the PHA plan.

(2) The PHA shall allocate reasonable resources to assure the effective functioning of Resident Advisory Boards. Reasonable resources for the Resident Advisory Boards must provide reasonable means for them to become informed on programs covered by the PHA Plan, to communicate in writing and by telephone with assisted families and hold meetings with those families, and to access information regarding covered programs on the internet, taking into account the size and resources of the PHA.

(b) Each PHA must establish one or more Resident Advisory Boards, as provided in paragraph (b) of this section.

(1) If a jurisdiction-wide resident council exists that complies with the tenant participation regulations in part 964 of this title, the PHA shall appoint the jurisdiction-wide resident council or the council's representatives as the Resident Advisory Board. If the PHA makes such appointment, the members of the jurisdiction-wide resident council or the council's representatives shall be added or another Resident Advisory Board formed to provide for reasonable representation of families receiving tenant-based assistance where such representation is required under paragraph (b)(2) of this section.

(2) If a jurisdiction-wide resident council does not exist but resident councils exist that comply with the

tenant participation regulations, the PHA shall appoint such resident councils or their representatives to serve on one or more Resident Advisory Boards. If the PHA makes such appointment, the PHA may require that the resident councils choose a limited number of representatives.

(3) Where the PHA has a tenant-based assistance program of significant size (where tenant-based assistance is 20% or more of assisted households), the PHA shall assure that the Resident Advisory Board (or Boards) has reasonable representation of families receiving tenant-based assistance and that a reasonable process is undertaken to choose this representation.

(4) Where or to the extent that resident councils that comply with the tenant participation regulations do not exist, the PHA shall appoint Resident Advisory Boards or Board members as needed to adequately reflect and represent the interests of residents of such developments; provided that the PHA shall provide reasonable notice to such residents and urge that they form resident councils with the tenant participation regulations.

(c) The PHA must consider the recommendations of the Resident Advisory Board or Boards in preparing the final Annual Plan, and any significant amendment or modification to the Annual Plan, as provided in § 903.21 of this title.

(1) In submitting the final plan to HUD for approval, or any significant amendment or modification to the plan to HUD for approval, the PHA must include a copy of the recommendations made by the Resident Advisory Board or Boards and a description of the manner in which the PHA addressed these recommendations.

(2) Notwithstanding the 75-day limitation on HUD review, in response to a written request from a Resident Advisory Board claiming that the PHA failed to provide adequate notice and opportunity for comment, HUD may make a finding of good cause during the required time period and require the PHA to remedy the failure before final approval of the plan.

It is also the role of the SMHA to provide adequate training and outreach activities to ensure participation of the RAB members and how to make AP recommendations that comply with HUD regulations and guidelines. **The SMHA and the SMHAB have not conducted the outreach or training and have not disseminated any materials to assist the RAB Representatives and members.**

The SMHA and SMHAB have long held a closed-loop system subverting the voices of the population you all serve. The actions of the SMHA and the SMHAB could be considered non-compliance with the HUD contract and even discrimination. I suggest that the SMHA and the SMHAB begin to review HUD regulations regarding RAB's and Resident Councils (RC's) to ensure you are in compliance with the HUD contract, HUD regulations, and guidelines to avoid complaints being submitted to HUD and possibly a "finding" by HUD that **"Notwithstanding the 75-day limitation on HUD review, in response to a written request from a Resident Advisory Board claiming that the PHA failed to provide adequate notice and opportunity for comment, HUD may make a finding of good cause during the required time period and require the PHA to remedy the failure before final approval of the plan."**

I look forward to a complete response by one of the parties addressed in this email immediately. Please schedule the Zoom meeting for the RAB no later than next week and at a time that would be feasible for ALL RAB members to attend if they wish to participate. After the first meeting, the RAB representatives and members can agree on the scheduling of future meetings without assistance from the SMHA and the SMHAB.

Thanking you in advance for your immediate attention and response in this matter.

Very truly yours,

Theresa H. Marasco
(720) 226-4051





SAN DIEGO
HOUSING
COMMISSION

We're About People

SDHC Affordable Housing Resource Guide

City of San Diego Edition

Rental Assistance

Rehabilitation of Homes

Homebuyer Incentives

Developer Incentives

Affordable Housing Rental List

Single-Room Occupancy Hotels

Homeless Shelters

Last Updated: 10.20.21





	<u>Page</u>
Introduction	3
Eligibility Requirements for Housing Assistance Programs	3
City of San Diego Housing Programs	4
Section 8 Rental Assistance.....	4
SDHC Rental Communities.....	4
Shared Housing	4
Single Room Occupancy Hotels	5
Minor Home Repairs.....	5
Home Purchasing Assistance / First Time Homebuyer Program.....	6
Rental Housing Development.....	8
Housing Services Quick Contacts List.....	8
Citywide Affordable Rental Housing	10
SDHC Affordable Housing Developments.....	17
Single Room Occupancy (SRO) Hotels.....	22
Citywide Homeless Shelters	23
Fair Housing.....	26
Support Service Agency Contacts	26



INTRODUCTION

The Federal government, through the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), funds housing programs operated by cities and counties. Two of the programs help low-income families afford a home – the Housing Choice Voucher (Section 8) rental assistance program and publicly owned housing.

There are also apartment complexes offering rents below market rates. That list is included on page 10. While most of these rental units have a waiting list, they tend to be shorter than the waiting list for federal rental assistance and public housing.

This directory includes information about housing rehabilitation, shared housing facilities, home purchasing assistance, and emergency shelters. It also contains information and phone numbers regarding resources in the City of San Diego, the County of San Diego, and 18 cities.

ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

Geography

Eligibility for housing programs depends upon your income, where you live, and any adjustments in income limits as required by HUD.

If you live in the City of San Diego, you may be eligible to participate in programs administered by the San Diego Housing Commission (SDHC). See page 4.

If you live in unincorporated areas of San Diego County, or in the cities of Coronado, Del Mar, Imperial Beach, Lemon Grove, Poway, San Marcos or Solana Beach, you may be eligible to participate in the County's housing programs. See page 8.

If you live in the County of San Diego, but not in the City of San Diego or any of the cities listed above, you may qualify for help through the County's Department of Housing and Community Development (visit www.sdcountry.ca.gov/sdhcd for more information).

Income

The programs in this guide help families with a broad range of income levels. Most are restricted to families with incomes at or below 80 percent of the Area Median Income (as adjusted by HUD) but many are restricted to even lower incomes.

Rental Apartments

The apartments in this guide also differ in income eligibility. Please contact the apartment manager directly for information on rents and income requirements. Other requirements are listed in the "Clientele" column of the Citywide Affordable Rental Housing chart on page 10.

Shelters

For information on homeless shelters, please turn to the Citywide Homeless Shelters chart on page 20. Check the "Clientele" and "Special Needs" columns of the table to see if you are eligible.

City of San Diego Housing Programs



Program Specific Guidelines

2021 San Diego County program income limits based on HUD-adjusted Area Median Income (AMI)*					
Family Size	50% of AMI Very-Low Income	60% of AMI Low Income	80% of AMI Moderate Income	100% of AMI Moderate Income	120% of AMI Moderate Income
1	\$42,450	\$50,940	\$67,900	\$66,550	\$79,850
2	\$48,500	\$58,200	\$77,600	\$76,100	\$91,300
3	\$54,550	\$65,460	\$87,300	\$85,600	\$102,700
4	\$60,600	\$72,720	\$97,000	\$95,100	\$114,100
5	\$65,450	\$78,540	\$104,800	\$102,700	\$123,250
6	\$70,300	\$84,360	\$112,550	\$110,300	\$132,350
7	\$75,150	\$90,180	\$120,300	\$117,900	\$141,500
8	\$80,000	\$96,000	\$128,050	\$125,550	\$150,600

*Note: Income limits for all programs are subject to change; programs with income limits 80 percent AMI and below are based on a HUD-adjusted formula. The full range of AMI limits may be viewed at <https://www.sdhc.org/AMIncomeLimits.pdf>

CITY OF SAN DIEGO HOUSING PROGRAMS

To qualify, you must live or work in the City of San Diego.

Housing Choice Voucher (Section 8) Rental Assistance Program

The Housing Choice Voucher program provides rent subsidies to lower-income and special needs households. Their housing costs are 30 to 40 percent of their adjusted monthly household income. Participants in the program are responsible for finding a housing unit that complies with program guidelines. The federal program is administered by SDHC on behalf of HUD. SDHC inspects all assisted units to ensure they are in decent, safe, and sanitary condition.

Eligibility

To qualify, applicants must be a very low-income family or a single person who is a senior citizen (62 or older), disabled, a U.S. veteran, or homeless person with a disability.

Income—Gross household annual gross income must be at or below 80 percent of San Diego's Area Median Income (AMI).

Contact

For the application and waiting list information recording, call 619.578.7305 (English) or 619.578.7306 (Spanish) or 619.578.7307 (Vietnamese). Online application: www.sdhc.org

Note: The waiting list for the SDHC Housing Choice Voucher program is ten years long.

SDHC Rental Communities

SDHC owns and manages 2,255 rental housing units throughout the City of San Diego. These well-maintained apartments provide affordable housing for low-income families, senior citizens and disabled persons.

To see the list of vacant units, go to www.sdhc.org and click "Rent an Apartment from Us."

Eligibility

To qualify, applicants must be a senior citizen (62 or older), have a disability or be homeless, displaced by government action and/or a very low-income family.

Income—Gross household annual income must be at or below 50 percent or 80 percent of AMI, depending on the rental building. Contact property managers for additional details.

Contact

For application and waiting list information recording, call 619.578.7305 (English) or 619.578.7306 (Spanish) or 619.578.7307 (Vietnamese).

Note: The waiting list for SDHC Rental Communities is two to three years long (depending on bedroom size). The wait for four or five bedrooms is considerably longer.

Shared Housing Program

The Shared Housing Program matches persons in need of low-cost housing with residents who have space in their homes to share. Home providers supply a private bedroom, shared common space and utilities in exchange for the home seeker's contribution of services or low rent. This program is funded by SDHC and is operated by ElderHelp of San Diego, a nonprofit agency.



Eligibility

Must meet the HUD low-, very-low or extremely-low-income requirement (under \$29,000). Must be over 18.

Contact

Call ElderHelp of San Diego at 619.284.9281 for information on the Shared Housing Program.

Single Room Occupancy (SRO) Hotels

Single Room Occupancy (SRO) hotels are single room units with a bed, small refrigerator and microwave. These units usually do not require security deposits or first and last months' rent, and have lower rents. Refer to page 18 for a list of SRO hotels in the City of San Diego.

Contact

Call or visit SRO hotels directly (contacts listed on page 22).

Minor Home Repairs

The Minor Home Repairs Program provides limited home repair and some materials to very-low income, seniors (62 years or older), and disabled homeowners. SDHC contracts with three agencies to administer this program.

Minor Home Repairs Program

Geographic Eligibility & Contact Information

JFS Fix It Service - 858.637.3354

(Available for residents 60+ years of age. Call JFS for service areas)

MAAC Project - 619.409.7588 (Available to both homeowners and renters)

Geography—For City of San Diego residents south of Highway 94 in zip codes 92102, 92113, 92114, 92139, 92154, 92173

San Diego Gas & Electric Energy Savings Assistance Program - 866.597.0597

Geography—You must live in San Diego County

Eligibility

Geography—Please refer to the chart.

Income—Household's annual gross income must be at or below 50 percent of AMI. See chart on page 4 for income guidelines.



The Single-Family Home Rehabilitation Program can pay for:

- A home solar system
- Energy-efficient windows and doors
- Energy Star-certified lighting
- Water system improvements
- Drought-resistant plants in your front yard
- And much more!

Do you qualify?

If you own your home in San Diego and your annual income is at or below these limits, you may qualify.

PEOPLE IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD	ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME
1	\$59,950
2	\$68,500
3	\$77,050
4	\$85,600
5	\$92,450
6	\$99,300
7	\$106,150

Help the planet, benefit your family!

These home rehabilitation services are available at no cost on a first-come, first-served basis, so apply today!

Call today (619) 239-4743

Or visit gridsolar.org/SDHomeRehab

PROGRAM PARTNERS:



This project is funded in part with Community Development Block Grant Program funds provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development to the City of San Diego.

GRID Alternatives **San Diego**
930 Gateway Center Way
San Diego, CA 92102

GRID Alternatives is a fully licensed solar contractor, California LIC # 867533
© 2019 GRID Alternatives.



Home Purchasing Assistance / First Time Homebuyer Program

Mortgage Credit Certificate Program (MCC)

First-time homebuyers purchasing houses or condominiums within the City of San Diego can receive a tax credit equal to either 15 or 20 percent of the mortgage interest they pay each year on their federal income taxes. This increases their income, which helps them make their monthly mortgage payment and qualify for a larger first mortgage. Buyers apply through a participating lender. In certain targeted census tracts, income and purchase price limits are higher, and first-time buyer status is waived.

MCC Purchase Price Limits		
House Type	Non-Targeted Area	Targeted Area
New/Resale	\$534,375	\$653,125
MCC Income Eligibility Limits		
Family Size	Non-Targeted Area	Targeted Area
1-2 Persons	\$81,100	\$ 97,200
3+ Persons	\$93,150	\$113,400

Eligibility

Geography – Must purchase in the City of San Diego.

Buyer must occupy the property.

Visit our website at www.sdhc.org and click *First Time Homebuyers* for more information.

Must be a first-time homebuyer. A first-time homebuyer is someone who has not owned a home in the last three years.



Closing Cost Assistance Grant

Under the City of San Diego's First Time Homebuyer Program, a buyer also can apply for a recoverable grant equal to four percent of the purchase price, not to exceed \$15,000, to be used toward closing cost assistance. The grant is recoverable if the buyer sells or rents the home within the first six years. It is available only with first mortgages without a balloon payment. First-time homebuyers must apply through the participating lender providing the first mortgage.

Eligibility

Geography – Must purchase in the City of San Diego.

Buyer must occupy the property.

Income – Household's annual gross income must be at or below 100 percent of AMI. See chart on page 4 for income guidelines.

Must be a first-time homebuyer. A first-time homebuyer is someone who has not owned a home in the last three years.

Visit our website at www.sdhc.org and click *First Time Homebuyers* for more information.

Deferred Payment Loan Program

This program is available to qualified first-time homebuyers earning 100 percent or less of the AMI, as adjusted for family size. This program can help a buyer purchase a home in the City of San Diego by providing a second trust deed loan. The loans carry an interest rate of three percent interest and require no monthly payments of principal or interest until the buyer sells the home or the end of 30 years. The loan term is 30 years. The maximum loan amount is 17 percent of the purchase price or appraised value, whichever is less. Buyer must have adequate income and an acceptable credit history, plus sufficient funds available for a minimum down payment of three percent and closing costs. Also, buyer is required to attend an eight-hour homebuyer education workshop. Maximum purchase price or appraised value is \$394,250. First-time homebuyers should apply through the participating lender providing the first mortgage.

Eligibility

- Geography – Must purchase in the City of San Diego.
- Buyer must occupy the property.
- Income – Household's annual gross income must be at or below 80 percent of AMI. See chart on page 4 for income guidelines.

Visit our website at www.sdhc.org and click *First Time Homebuyers* for more information.

Affordable For-Sale Housing

The Affordable For-Sale Housing Program makes it possible for low-and-moderate income families to buy a home at a price that is affordable. The home have been built by private developers. The properties meet the City of San Diego's affordability requirements and are available at below market value.

There are six developments with long term affordability requirements that will have units for sale when they are resold by the current owner.

In most cases you do not need to be a first time homebuyer to purchase one of these affordable for-sale homes. However, to receive financial assistance from the San Diego Housing Commission (SDHC), you must be a first-time homebuyer.

In most cases you do not need to be a first-time homebuyer to purchase one of these affordable for-sale homes. However, to receive financial assistance from the San Diego Housing Commission (SDHC), you must be a first-time homebuyer.

Eligibility

Buyer must occupy the property

Income limits range from 60 percent to 120 percent of AMI.

Visit our website at www.sdhc.org and click on First-time Homebuyers then on Affordable For-Sale Housing

City of San Diego Housing Programs / Housing Services Quick Contacts List



Rental Housing Development

The San Diego Housing Commission works in partnership with for-profit and nonprofit developers to build affordable rental housing complexes throughout the City of San Diego. Target populations are extremely low-, very low-, and low-income households including families, seniors, and special needs populations.

Eligibility

Geography -- All projects must be located in the City of San Diego.

Visit our website at www.sdhc.org and click *First Time Homebuyers* for more information.

Housing Services Quick Contact List

Services	General Program Assistance	Home Purchasing Assistance	Minor Home Repair & Home Security	Mobile home Park Ownership	Rental Assistance	Residential Rehabilitation – homes & mobile homes	Residential Rehabilitation – rental property	Shared Housing
Carlsbad	760.434.2810				760.434.2810			
Chula Vista					858.694.4801			
Coronado	858.694.4885				858.694.4801			
Del Mar	858.755.9313				858.792.7565			858.792.7565
El Cajon	619.441.1710	619.441.1710			858.694.4801	619.441.1710		
Encinitas	760.633.2723	760.633.2724			760.633.2723	858.694.4823		
Escondido	760.839.4841	760.839.4532	760.839.4516		858.694.4801	760.839.4841		760.726.4900 Ext. 224
Imperial Beach	858.694.4885				858.694.4801	858.694.4823 No mobile home program	858.694.4823	
La Mesa	619.667.1192	619.667.1192	619.667.1192		858.694.4801	619.667.1192		619.442.3281
Lemon Grove	619.825.3800				858.694.4801	858.694.4823	858.694.4823	619.442.3281
National City	619.336.4250	619.336.4250			619.336.4250	619.336.4250		
Oceanside	760.435.3360	760.435.3360		760.435.3360	760.435.3360	760.435.3360		760.757.0118
Poway	858.668.4555				858.694.4801	858.679.4275	858.694.4823	858.748.9675
San Diego City	619.231.9400 (SDHC general info)	619.578.7302 (Recorded information)	619.578-7521 (SDHC general info)		619.578.7305 Eng 619.578.7306 Spn 619.578.7307 Vtn	619.578.7521	619.578.7521	619.284.9281

Citywide Affordable Rental Housing



Services	General Program Assistance	Home Purchasing Assistance	Minor Home Repair & Home Security	Mobile home Park Ownership	Rental Assistance	Residential Rehabilitation – homes & mobile homes	Residential Rehabilitation – rental property	Shared Housing
San Diego County (Unincorporated)	858.694.4885		760.737.8640		858.694.4801	858.694.4823	858.694.4823	619.442.3281 (East County) 760.726.4900 Ext. 224 (No. County)
San Marcos	619.258.4100 Ext. 133	619.258.4100 Ext. 133	619.476.7055		858.694.4801	619.258.4100 Ext. 133		
Santee		619-578-7497			858.694.4801			619.284.9281
Solana Beach	858.694.4885		858.694.4885	858.694.4877	858.694.4801	858.694.4823	858.694.4823	
Vista	760.639.6191				858.694.4801			

Many jurisdictions, including the City and County of San Diego, also offer incentives and loans to developers for the development of affordable housing. In addition, several local jurisdictions and numerous non-profit organizations offer transitional housing programs, emergency shelters and affordable housing units. For more information, see the Citywide Affordable Housing Inventory (page 10), the listing of SRO Hotels (page 18) and the Homeless Shelter Inventory (page 20).

Citywide Affordable Rental Housing



This list provides information on housing complexes in San Diego County where some or all of the housing units are affordable for lower income households. The "Unit's" column shows the housing units in the apartment complex that have affordable rents. You will need to contact the housing complexes for specific information on rents, income requirements, or vacancies. Handicapped accessible units may or may not be affordable. Complexes have different income restrictions and may have waiting lists.

Apartments	Address	Zip Code	Contact	# of BDRMS	Handicapped Accessible	Units	Preferred Clientele
<i>San Diego</i>							
16 th and Market St	1550 Market St	92101	619.645.3303	1,2,3		136	
Alabama Manor	3836 Alabama St	92104	619.955.8075	1,2	✓	67	Seniors 55+
Alta Vista Apts	3535-3545 43rd St	92105	619.584.1535	2		12	
Arbor Terrace	3701 Florida St	92104	619.293.3612	2,3,4		69	Large Families
Arbor Village	4914-4998 Logan Ave	92113	619.263.4878	1,2,3	✓	112	
Atmosphere Apts	1453 Fourth Ave	92101	619.450.4499	0,1,2,3	✓	205	Families; Homeless
Auburn Park	5135 University Ave	92105	619.255.8767	0,1,2,3	✓	69	Families
Bandar Salaam	3810 Winona Ave	92105	619.563.5872	1,2,3,4,5	✓	68	
Barrio Senior Villas	2322 Newton Ave	92113	619.702.6595	1,2	✓	11	Seniors; Disabled
Bella Vista Apts	4742 Solola Ave	92113	619.527.8600	1,2,3	✓	169	
Beyer Courtyards	3412 Beyer Blvd	92173	619.662.0857	2,3	✓	60	Large Families
Canyon Rim	10845 Via Los Narcisos	92129	858.672.2001	2,3	✓	357	
Canyon Vista	3429 43 rd St	92105	619.521.1263	1,2,3	✓	8	
Casa Colina Del Sol	5207 52nd Pl	92105	619.287.2910	1	✓	75	Seniors 62+; Disabled
Cathedral Arms	3911 Park Blvd	92103	619.291.3883	0,1		206	Seniors 62+
Cathedral Plaza	1551 Third Ave	92101	858.312.8170	0,1	✓	172	Seniors 62+
CCBA Senior Garden	438 Third Ave	92101	619.236.8130	0,1	✓	172	Seniors 55+
Cedar Gateway Apts	1612 6 th Ave	92113	619.696.9421	2,3	✓	65	
Cerro Pueblo Apts	2835 Clairemont Dr	92117	619.275.5361	1	✓	45	Seniors 62+; Disabled
Chandon Village	14350 Camino De La Luna	92127	In person	1,2,3	✓	10	Large Families
Cielo Carmel Apts	6050 Camino San Fermín	92130	858-261-0796	1,2,3	✓	197	Families
Citi Scene Apts	4105 Georgia St	92103	619.296.4333	1,2,3	✓	30	
City Heights Square	4065 43 rd St	92105	619.281.0483	0,1	✓	150	Seniors 62+
Colina Park North Apts	4333 Dawson Ave	92115	619.583.7512	0,1,2		64	

Citywide Affordable Rental Housing



Apartments	Address	Zip Code	Contact	# of BDRMS	Handicapped Accessible	Units	Preferred Clientele
Columbia Tower	904 State St	92101	619.696.0201	0,1	✓	150	Seniors 62+
Cornerstone Apts	3604 Van Dyke	92105	619.521.1263	2	✓	7	
Coronado Terrace I & II	1183 25th St	92154	619.423.5804	2,3	✓	312	
Courtyard Terraces	4321 52nd St	92105	619.265.8536	1,2	✓	88	Seniors 55+
Crandall Apts	2154 Garston St	92111	858.279.6060	2,3	✓	144	
Creeside Trails	2129 Coronado Ave	92154	619.869.7023	1,2,3	✓	49	Large Families
Creeside Village Apts	220 47 th St	92102	619.263.2686	2,4	✓	144	
Del Prado Apts	3878 Beyer Blvd	92173	619.428.6003	1,2,3	✓	59	
Delta Manor	4245 Delta St	92113	619.266.8395	1,2,3		21	
Derby Terrace Apts**	14103 Old Camino Real #7	92130	858.847.0221	2	✓	23	
Domain Apts	8796 Spectrum Ctr Blvd	92123	858.292.1111	1,2		35	
El Pedregal	104 Averil Rd	92173	619.428.1400	3,4		45	Large Families
Entrada Apts	453 13 th St	92101	619.235.4404	0,1,2		40	
Euclid Avenue Apts	4115 Euclid Ave	92105	619.276.6271	1,2,3		12	
Euclid Court Apts	4217-4231 Euclid Ave	92105	619.584.1535	0,1,2	✓	11	
Fairbanks Commons	15870 Camino San Bernardo	92127	858.649.6176	1,2,3	✓	165	
Fairbanks Ridge Apts	16016 Babcock St	92127	858.720.8312	1,2,3	✓	204	
Fairbanks Square Apts	16050 Potomac Ridge Rd	92127	858.367.8729	1,2	✓	98	Seniors 55+
Fairbanks Terrace	16325 Paseo Del Sur	92127	760.456.6000	1,2		82	Seniors 62+
Gateway Family Housing	1605 Logan Ave	92113	619.238.5223	2,3	✓	41	
Golden Age Garden Apts	740 S 36th St	92113	619.231.7833	1	✓	75	Seniors 62+
Golden Villas	3355 Elm St	92102	619.239.8466	0,1,2,3		32	
Grace Tower	3955 Park Blvd	92103	619.298.5442	0,1		165	Seniors 62+
Grant Heights Park	2651-2663 J St	92102	619.239.0251	1,2		27	
Green Manor	4041 Ibis St	92103	619.297.1601	0,1		145	Seniors 62+
Guadalupe Plaza	4142 42nd St	92105	619.584.2414	1	✓	124	Seniors 62+; Disabled
Hacienda Townhomes	350 17 th St	92101	In person	2,3	✓	52	
Harbor View	404 47th St	92102	619.263.5858	2,3	✓	60	
Harmony Home Apts	4251 44th St	92105	619.521.1263	1	✓	12	Seniors 65+; Disabled

Citywide Affordable Rental Housing



Apartments	Address	Zip Code	Contact	# of BDRMS	Handicapped Accessible	Units	Preferred Clientele
Hawthorn I Apts	3051 Hawthorn St	92104	619.640.1712	0,1,2		14	
Hawthorn II Apts	3036 Hawthorn St #6	92104	619.640.1712	0,1,2		17	
Heritage Apts	1471 8 th Ave	92101	619.239.0064	1,2	✓	38	Small Families
Hollywood Palms	4372 Home Ave	92105	619.269.3008	2,3,4	✓	94	
Horton 4 th Avenue Apts	808 4 th Ave	92101	619.238.4500	0,1	✓	51	Moderate income
Horton House	333 G St	92101	619.239.5171	0,1	✓	150	Seniors 62+; Disabled
Hotel Churchill	827 C Street	92101	619.239.2073	Studios		72	Homeless
Imperial Pacific Village	1551 Saturn Blvd	92154	619.429.3111	2,3		248	Families
Independence Point Apts	327 S Willie James Jones Ave	92113	619.795.3291	3, 4	✓	32	Families with developmental disabilities
Island Village	1245 Market St	92101	619.236.1440	0	✓	280	
Jean C. McKinney Manor	5641 Imperial Ave	92114	619.266.0285	0	✓	49	Seniors 55+
Juniper Gardens Apts	4251-59 Juniper St #40	92105	619.263.1933	2,3	✓	39	Families
Knox Glen Apts	4754 Logan Ave	92113	619.527.7228	2,3,4	✓	54	Families
La Casa Balboa	6106 Beadnell Way	92117	858.560.8325	1,2	✓	88	Seniors 65+; Disabled
La Entrada Apts	1755 Logan Ave	92113	619.338.0700	2,3,4	✓	85	Families
La Jolla Marine Apts	7410 Cuvier Street	92037	In person	3, 4		8	Multi-Family
Lakeshore Villa	6888 Golfcrest Dr	92119	619.463.9611	1	✓	126	Seniors 62+; Disabled
Lantana Hills	5802 University Ave	92115	619.582.8588	1,2		76	
Las Serenas	4352 Delta St	92113	619.262.3891	1,2,3		108	
Leah Residence	798 9th Ave	92101	619.236.1288	0,1,2	✓	23	Homeless; Disabled
Lillian Place	1437 J St	92101	619.231.7585	1,2,3	✓	74	
Lincoln Park	4910-20 Oceanview Blvd	92113	619.262.4542	3,4	✓	15	Families
Lincoln Park	440 S 49 th St	92113	619.262.4542				
Lions Community Manor	310 Market St	92101	619.235.4433	1	✓	129	Seniors 62+; Accessible
Luther Tower Apts	1455 Second Ave	92101	619.234.1272	0,1	✓	198	Seniors 55+
Mariner's Cove Apts	4392 W Point Loma Blvd	92107	619.225.1473	1, 2, 3	✓	100	
Market Square Manor	525 14th St	92101	619.237.8004	0	✓	200	Seniors 62+
Market Street Square	606 Third Ave	92101	619.696.0949	0,1,2		40	Seniors

Citywide Affordable Rental Housing



Apartments	Address	Zip Code	Contact	# of BDRMS	Handicapped Accessible	Units	Preferred Clientele
Maya Apts	10101 Maya Linda Rd	92126	858.271.0191	1,2,3		132	
Mayberry Townhomes	4422 Mayberry Street	92113	619.756.6282	1,2,3		69	Families
Meadowbrook Park Apts I & II	7844 Paradise Valley Rd	92139	619.479.6565	2,3	✓	448	
Mercado Apts	2001 Newton Ave	92113	619.233.7990	1,2,3	✓	144	
Mercy Gardens	4077 5 th Ave	92103	619.255.8891	0,1	✓	22	Disabled
Mesa Family Villas	2065 Avenida del Mexico	92154	619.423.3663	3		41	Families
Mesa Verde Apts	7785 Mission Gorge Rd	92120	619.780.0199	0,1,2,3	✓	89	Multifamily
Metro Villas	4071 39 th Street	92105	619.546.7552	1,2,3,4		120	Large Families
Mirada at La Jolla Colony	7568 Charmant Dr	92122	858.450.6400	1,2	✓	89	
Mission Apts	1825 Hancock St	92110	619.291.1247	1,2,3	✓	84	Families
Mission West Lofts	3975 Old Town Ave	92110	619.296.8802	2	✓	1	
Mission Terrace Apts	10210 San Diego Mission Rd	92108	619.283.6323	1,2,3		76	Families
North Park Senior Apts	4200 Texas Street	92104	619.282.6647	0,1,2	✓	75	Seniors 55+
Olivewood Gardens	2865 55th St	92105	619.262.0949	1	✓	60	Seniors 55+
Otay Park Apts	1170 30th Street	92154	619.429.7903	1,2,3	✓	71	
Pacific Ridge Apts	5945 Linda Vista Rd	92110	619.866.3311	2	✓	30	
Palm Terrace Apts	2885 Palm Ave	92154	619.575.8373	2		60	Small Families
Park Place Apts	4033 33rd St	92104	619.281.0021	1	✓	32	Seniors 62+; Disabled
Park Terramar Apts	13481 Silver Ivy Lane	92129	In person	1,2,3		21	
Parker Kier Apts	2172 Front St	92101	619.704.1950	0,1		34	
Parkhaven I	4114 Caminito De La Plaza	92173	619.690.3565	1,2,3,4	✓	452	Large Families
Parkhaven II	4224 Caminito De La Plaza	92173	619.690.3565				
Parkhaven III	241 Willow Rd	92173	619.690.3565				
Parkside Court	4035 Park Haven Ct	92113	619.264.5692	1,2,3		40	
Parkside Terrace Apts	505 13 th St	92101	619.531.7480	0,1,2,3		77	Families
Parkway Manor	3766-3778 35th St	92104	619.584.0432	1,2		20	
Posadas De Los Granados	121 W Hall Ave	92173	619.428.1115	0	✓	25	Seniors 62+
Potiker Residence	525 14 th St	92101	619.237.8004	0	✓	200	Seniors
President John Adams Manor	5471 Bayview Heights Pl	92105	619.262.0722	1,2,3	✓	300	Large Families

Citywide Affordable Rental Housing



Apartments	Address	Zip Code	Contact	# of BDRMS	Handicapped Accessible	Units	Preferred Clientele
Rancho del Norte	167775 Saintbury Glen	92127	858.832.1385	1,2,3		119	Large Families
Rancho del Sol	6711 Torenia Trail	92130	858.792.0328	1,2,3	✓	95	
Redwood Villas	3060 53 rd St	92105	619.265.8707	1,2,3		90	Seniors 55+
Regency Centre Apts	4765 Home Ave	92105	619.266.1661	0,1,2	✓	100	Small Families
Renaissance at North Park	4330 30th St	92104	619.584.4220	1,2		96	
Riverwalk Apts	1194 Hollister St	92154	619.628.4702	1,2,3	✓	49	
Sagewood	16217 Stonebridge Pkwy	92131	858.397.1060	1,2,3		106	Large Families
San Diego Apts	4085 44th St	92105	619.584.1535	0,1	✓	16	Seniors 55+; Disabled
San Diego Square	1055 9th Ave	92101	619.239.2073	1	✓	154	Seniors 62+; Disabled
Seabreeze Farms	12759 Seabreeze Farms Dr	92130	858.523.1328	2,3	✓	38	
Seabreeze Gardens	4888 Logan Ave	92113	619.527.0741	2,3	✓	266	
Shirley Heights Homes	5503-5666 Amanda St	92114	619.564.7668	4		34	
Silvercrest San Diego	727 E Street	92101	619.699.7272	1	✓	123	Seniors 62+
Sorrento Tower	2875 Cowley Way #102	92110	619.276.1775	0,1	✓	196	Seniors 62+
St. Paul's Manor	2635 Second Ave	92103	619.239.6900	1		147	Seniors 62+
St. Stephen's Retirement Center	5625 Imperial Ave	92114	619.264.1997	0,1	✓	59	Seniors 62+
Stork Street Apts	550 Stork St	92114	In person only	2		15	
Stratton Apts	3884 Caminito Aguilar	92111	858.278.5200	2,3	✓	26	
Studio 15	1475 Imperial Avenue	92101	619-546-7840	0		274	
Sycamore Court Apts	4048-4064 48th St	92105	619.584.1535	1,2	✓	17	
Talmadge Senior Village	5252 El Cajon Blvd	92115	619-265-1600	1,2	✓	91	Seniors 55+
Ten Fifty B St. Apts	1050 B Street	92101	619.209.7000	0,1,2,3	✓	226	Families
Teralta Court Apts	4165-4175 Highland Ave	92105	619.584.1535	1,2	✓	13	
Tesoro Grove	1150 Tesoro Grove Way	92154	619.271.0204	1,2,3	✓	106	
The Crossings	13505-13545 Zinnia Pl	92130	858.481.1291	1,2,3		108	Families
The Village Townhomes	3806 Fairmount Ave	92105	619.285.1225	2,3,4	✓	116	Large Families
Torrey Del Mar	13875 Carmel Valley Rd	92130	858.780.8760	1,2,3	✓	112	
Torrey Highlands Apts	13370 Torrey Meadows Dr	92129	858.538.6425	2,3	✓	75	
Torrey Vale Apts	6525 Rancho Del Sol Way	92130	858.356.9751	2,3	✓	27	

Citywide Affordable Rental Housing



Apartments	Address	Zip Code	Contact	# of BDRMS	Handicapped Accessible	Units	Preferred Clientele
Town & Country Village	4066 Messina Dr #B	92113	619.263.0737	2,3		143	
Townspeople – 34 th St	4637 34 th St	92102	619.295.8802 x104	1,2		33	
Townspeople – 51 st St	4242 51 st St	92102	619.295.8802 x104	0		24	
Townspeople-Wilson Ave Apts		92103	619.295.8802 x104	1,2	✓	8	
Trinity Manor	3940 Park Blvd	92103	619.297.1512	1	✓	98	Seniors 62+
Trojan Apts	5222 Trojan Ave	92115	619.265.0265	0,1,2	✓	50	Small Families
Trolley Park Terrace	4985 Market Street	92102	619.501.8338	1,2,3		52	Families
University Canyon Apts	2052 Via Las Cumbres	92111	858.492.8391	1,2,3	✓	120	Small Families
Versa at Civita Apts	2365 Via Alta	92108	619.299.5000	0,1,2	✓	148	Seniors 55+
Villa Alta	4227 52nd St	92115	619.582.2605	1	✓	69	Seniors 62+
Villa Andalucia Apts	6591 Rancho Del Sol Way	92130	858.755.8562	1,2,3	✓	31	
Villa Glen	6984 Torrey Santa Fe Rd	92129	858.569.5787	1,2,3		26	
Villa Harvey Mandel Apts	72 17th St	92113	619.446.2100	0	✓	90	
Villa Maria	1528 India St	92101	619.236.9353	2,3	✓	15	
Villa Merced Apts	1148 Beyer Way	92154	619.423.8325	1	✓	100	
Villa Nueva	3604 Beyer Blvd	92173	619.428.2291	2,3,4	✓	398	
Village at Morena Vista	5395 Napa St	92110	619.294.3566	1,2,3	✓	18	Families
Village Green Apts	4150 Bonillo Dr	92115	619.583.7236	2,3		93	
Village Place Apts	32 17th St	92101	619.645.3303	1	✓	51	Disabled; Homeless
Village View Apts	3830-3832 43rd St	92105	619.521.1263	1,2,3		30	
Vista Grande Apts	5411 Santa Margarita St	92114	619.266.1210	2, 3, 4		48	Families
Vista La Rosa	2002 Rimbey Ave	92154	619.423.6094	2,3	✓	240	Families
Vista Lane Courts	440 South Vista Ln	92173	619.690.0224	0,1,2	✓	40	Senior 62+; Disabled
Vista Serena Apts	3155 L St	92102	619.237.1170	0,1	✓	21	Seniors
Vista Terrace Hills	1790 Del Sur Blvd	92173	619.428.1125	3,4	✓	262	Families
Vista Terraza	7735 Via Solare	92129	858.484.3027	1,2,3	✓	123	Families
Vista Verde Apts	351 S. 33 rd St	92113	619-702-2940	2,3	✓	152	Families
Wesley Terrace	5343 Monroe Ave	92115	619.582.8100	0,1	✓	40	Seniors 62+
Westminster Manor	1730 Third Ave #100	92101	619.233.7001	0,1	✓	158	Seniors 62+

Citywide Affordable Rental Housing



Apartments	Address	Zip Code	Contact	# of BDRMS	Handicapped Accessible	Units	Preferred Clientele
Westview Home Apts	3536 43rd St	92105	619.584.1535	1,2	✓	6	
Wightman Place Apts	5052 Wightman St	92105	619.285.5600	2,3,4,5	✓	44	Families
Windwood Village	12730 Briarcrest Pl	92130	858.350.4696	1,2,3		92	Families
Winonan Apts	3845 Winona Ave	92105	619.292.3333	2	✓	14	

SDHC Affordable Housing Developments



Property Owner/Manager	Address	Zip Code	Contact	# of BDRMS	Handicapped Accessible	# of Units	Preferred Clientele
San Diego Housing Commission	7410-7412 Cuvier St	92037	619-578-7417			8	
San Diego Housing Commission	1301 5th Avenue	92101	619-578-7399	0	✓	130	Senior
San Diego Housing Commission	3280 A Street	92102	619-578-7401	2	✓	2	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4451-4459 Market Street	92102	619-578-7401	4	✓	20	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4131 Maryland Street	92103	619.578.7421	1	✓	24	Senior
San Diego Housing Commission	3501 1st Avenue	92103	619-578-7408	1	✓	22	Senior
San Diego Housing Commission	3350-3356 1/2 Grim Avenue	92104	619-578-7402	1, 2	✓	8	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	3081-3083 Hawthorn Street	92104	619-578-7402	2, 3	✓	4	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	3125 Ivy Street	92104	619-578-7402	3	✓	5	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	2932 30th Street	92104	619-578-7401	3	✓	5	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	3012 30th Street	92104	619-578-7401	3	✓	5	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	3030 30th Street	92104	619-578-7401	3	✓	5	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	3217 30th Street	92104	619-578-7401	3	✓	5	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4360 Cherokee Avenue	92104	619-578-7401	3	✓	5	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	3850 Cherokee Avenue	92104	619-578-7401	3	✓	5	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4054-4060 1/2 Cherokee Avenue	92104	619-578-7401	2	✓	8	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	3755-3757 Swift Avenue	92104	619-578-7401	3	✓	4	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4043 Wilson Avenue	92104	619-578-7401	3	✓	5	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4729 32nd Street	92104	619-578-7407	2, 3	✓	5	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4254 36th Street	92104	619-578-7407	3	✓	5	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	3755-3761 Alabama Street	92104	619-578-7407	3	✓	8	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4080 Arizona Street	92104	619-578-7407	3	✓	4	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	3974-3984 Bancroft Street	92104	619-578-7407	1, 3	✓	14	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4081-4087 1/2 Florida Street	92104	619-578-7407	2	✓	8	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4381-4387 Louisiana Street	92104	619-578-7407	1, 2	✓	8	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4352 Oregon Street #1-8	92104	619-578-7407	2	✓	8	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4395 El Cajon Blvd	92105	619-578-7402	1, 2, 3	✓	33	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	2479-2481 Fairmount Avenue	92105		2	✓	2	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	3010 39th Street #A-B	92105	619-578-7403	5	✓	2	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	2628-2630 44th Street	92105	619-578-7403	2, 3	✓	8	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	2716-2718 44th Street	92105	619-578-7403	2, 3	✓	4	Multi-Family

SDHC Affordable Housing Developments



Property Owner/Manager	Address	Zip Code	Contact	# of BDRMS	Handicapped Accessible	# of Units	Preferred Clientele
San Diego Housing Commission	2734-2736 44th Street	92105	619-578-7403	2, 3	✓	4	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4078 47th Street #A-D	92105	619-578-7403	2, 3	✓	4	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	3051 54th Street	92105	619-578-7403	2, 3	✓	7	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4147-4157 Chamoune Avenue	92105	619-578-7403	2, 3	✓	6	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	2477-2477 1/2 Fairmount Avenue	92105	619-578-7403	2	✓	2	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4205-4215 Juniper Street	92105	619-578-7403	2, 3	✓	20	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4273-4283 Juniper Street	92105	619-578-7403	2, 3	✓	24	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4390 Maple Street	92105	619-578-7403	2, 3	✓	6	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4050-4056 Oakcrest Drive	92105	619-578-7403	2	✓	4	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4180-4182 Poplar Street	92105	619-578-7403	2, 3	✓	9	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	5955 Streamview Drive #1-4	92105	619-578-7403	2	✓	4	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4164 Altadena Avenue	92105	619-578-7409	1, 2	✓	6	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	5326-5328 Rex Avenue	92105	619-578-7409	2, 3	✓	4	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	5330-5332 1/2 Rex Avenue	92105	619-578-7409	2	✓	4	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4164 37th Street #1-8	92105	619-578-7401	1, 2	✓	8	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	3617-3619 42nd Street	92105	619-578-7401	2	✓	4	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	3630-3632 Van Dyke Avenue	92105	619-578-7401	2, 3	✓	4	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	2420 44th	92105	619-578-7408			8	
San Diego Housing Commission	4343 38th Street	92105	619-578-7407	3	✓	5	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	5071-5077 1/2 Muir Ave	92107	619-578-7417	1, 2	✓	8	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	2701-2711 Figueroa Blvd	92109	619-578-7417	1, 2	✓	6	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	2045-2049 Grand Avenue	92109	619-578-7417	4	✓	6	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	2644 Hornblend Street	92109	619-578-7417	3	✓	5	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	3222-3224 Camulos	92110	619-578-7408			12	
San Diego Housing Commission	3919 Mason St.	92110	619-578-7408			4	
San Diego Housing Commission	4095 Valeta St.	92110	619-578-7408			4	
San Diego Housing Commission	7105-7120 Eastman Street	92111	619.578.7421	2, 3	✓	36	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	7526-7580 Fulton Street	92111	619.578.7421	3, 4	✓	31	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	2615-2665 Genesee Avenue	92111	619.578.7421	2, 3	✓	11	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	7085-7095 Levant Street	92111	619.578.7421	3	✓	14	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	7705-7795 Belden Street	92111	619.578.7426	1	✓	243	Senior
San Diego Housing Commission	2883 Boston Avenue	92113	619-578-7402	3, 4	✓	5	Multi-Family

SDHC Affordable Housing Developments



Property Owner/Manager	Address	Zip Code	Contact	# of BDRMS	Handicapped Accessible	# of Units	Preferred Clientele
San Diego Housing Commission	2955 Boston Avenue	92113	619-578-7402	3	✓	5	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	325-415 S. 33rd St	92113	619-578-7408			40	
San Diego Housing Commission	5359-5389 Santa Margarita Street	92114	619-578-7402	2, 3	✓	32	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	8505 Noeline Avenue	92114	619-578-7402	4	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	5974 Old Memory Lane	92114	619-578-7402	4	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4262-4268 44th Street	92115	619-578-7402	3	✓	4	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4225 44th Street	92115	619-578-7403	2, 3	✓	6	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4261 45th Street	92115	619-578-7403	1, 3	✓	6	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4286-4292 48th Street	92115	619-578-7403	2	✓	4	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4566 51st Street	92115	619-578-7403	3	✓	5	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4207-4209 Altadena Avenue	92115	619-578-7409	3	✓	2	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	5316 Meade Avenue	92115	619-578-7409	1	✓	30	Senior
San Diego Housing Commission	5330 Orange Avenue	92115	619-578-7409	1	✓	71	Senior
San Diego Housing Commission	7281-7289 Saranac Street	92115	619-578-7409	3, 4	✓	7	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4479-4481 Altadena Avenue	92115	619-578-7401	2, 3	✓	8	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4560 Altadena Avenue	92115	619-578-7401	2	✓	8	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4416 Highland Avenue #1-8	92115	619-578-7401	2	✓	8	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	5385-5389 Trojan Ave	92115	619-578-7408			3	
San Diego Housing Commission	4541 33rd Street #1-8	92116	619-578-7407	3	✓	8	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4632 33rd Street	92116	619-578-7407	3	✓	5	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4751 33rd Street	92116	619-578-7407	2	✓	8	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4720-4722 34th Street	92116	619-578-7407	2, 3	✓	3	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4756-4758 35th Street	92116	619-578-7407	2, 3	✓	4	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4575-4579 38th Street	92116	619-578-7407	3	✓	8	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4450-4456 1/2 Georgia Street	92116	619-578-7407	2	✓	8	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4637-4643 1/2 Hamilton Street	92116	619-578-7407	2	✓	8	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	2727-2729 Meade Avenue	92116	619-578-7407	2	✓	2	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	2739-2745 Meade Avenue	92116	619-578-7407	2	✓	4	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	7891-7899 Golfcrest Drive	92119	619-578-7409	3, 4	✓	9	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4055-4083 Pulitzer Place	92122	619-578-7417	3	✓	50	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	8714-8720 Hurlbut Street	92123	619.578.7421	3	✓	4	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	8726-8732 Hurlbut Street	92123	619.578.7421	3	✓	4	Multi-Family

SDHC Affordable Housing Developments



Property Owner/Manager	Address	Zip Code	Contact	# of BDRMS	Handicapped Accessible	# of Units	Preferred Clientele
San Diego Housing Commission	8637-8643 Glenhaven Street	92123	619.578.7421	3	✓	4	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	8649-8655 Glenhaven Street	92123	619.578.7421	3	✓	4	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	8661-8667 Glenhaven Street	92123	619.578.7421	3	✓	4	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	8701-8707 Glenhaven Street	92123	619.578.7421	3	✓	4	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	8792 Mira Mesa Blvd	92123	619-578-7414	2	✓	5	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	8816 Mira Mesa Blvd	92123	619-578-7414	2	✓	5	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	10101-10191 Maya Linda Road	92126	619-578-7414	1, 2, 3	✓	132	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	12643-12687 El Camino Real	92130	619-578-7417	3, 4, 5	✓	45	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	2325 Rachael Avenue	92139	619-578-7402	3	✓	3	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4123 Arey Drive	92154	619-578-7444	3	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4314 Darwin Way	92154	619-578-7444	4	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4334 Ebersole Drive	92154	619-578-7444	5	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4181 Enero Street	92154	619-578-7444	4	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	1170 Ilexey Avenue	92154	619-578-7444	3	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	1366 Ilexey Avenue	92154	619-578-7444	5	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4230 Kimsue Way	92154	619-578-7444	5	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	1255 Kostner Drive	92154	619-578-7444	3	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4259 Layla Court	92154	619-578-7444	4	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4276 Layla Court	92154	619-578-7444	4	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4256 Layla Way	92154	619-578-7444	4	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4269 Layla Way	92154	619-578-7444	4	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4274 Layla Way	92154	619-578-7444	4	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4339 Marcia Court	92154	619-578-7444	5	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4074 Marcwade Drive	92154	619-578-7444	4	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4150 Marcwade Drive	92154	619-578-7444	3	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4186 Marcwade Drive	92154	619-578-7444	3	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4293 Marcwade Drive	92154	619-578-7444	4	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4239 Marge Way	92154	619-578-7444	4	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4331 Marge Way	92154	619-578-7444	4	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4334 Marge Way	92154	619-578-7444	5	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	1152 Nevin Street	92154	619-578-7444	4	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4034 Peterlynn Court	92154	619-578-7444	4	✓	1	Single-Family

SDHC Affordable Housing Developments



Property Owner/Manager	Address	Zip Code	Contact	# of BDRMS	Handicapped Accessible	# of Units	Preferred Clientele
San Diego Housing Commission	1232 Peterlynn Drive	92154	619-578-7444	3	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	1327 Peterlynn Drive	92154	619-578-7444	4	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	1405 Peterlynn Drive	92154	619-578-7444	4	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	1506 Peterlynn Drive	92154	619-578-7444	5	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	1530 Peterlynn Drive	92154	619-578-7444	5	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4024 Peterlynn Way	92154	619-578-7444	5	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	1128 Ransom Street	92154	619-578-7444	4	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	1145 Ransom Street	92154	619-578-7444	4	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	1169 Ransom Street	92154	619-578-7444	4	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	4233 Stu Court	92154	619-578-7444	5	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	1041 Twining Avenue	92154	619-578-7444	3	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	1144 Twining Avenue	92154	619-578-7444	5	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	1250 Twining Avenue	92154	619-578-7444	5	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	1317 Twining Avenue	92154	619-578-7444	4	✓	1	Single-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	605-695 Picador Blvd	92154	619-578-7444			78	
San Diego Housing Commission	2381-2389 Grove Avenue	92154	619-578-7433	2, 3	✓	41	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	1351-1359 Hollister Street	92154	619-578-7433	2, 3	✓	20	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	281-289 Sycamore Road	92173	619-578-7433	2, 3	✓	24	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	391-417 Sycamore Road	92173	619-578-7433	2, 3	✓	41	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	402-412 Sycamore Road	92173	619-578-7433	2, 3	✓	24	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	2005-2065 Alaquinas Drive	92173	619.578.7441	2, 3	✓	66	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	121-125 Averil Road	92173	619.578.7441	3	✓	14	Multi-Family
San Diego Housing Commission	178-190 Calle Primera	92173	619.578.7441	2, 3	✓	71	Multi-Family

Single Room Occupancy (SRO) Hotels



****These housing developments were created or are being built under City of San Diego inclusionary housing programs, which ensure that affordable housing is made available to lower income households when new market rate homes are built.**

SINGLE ROOM OCCUPANCY (SRO) HOTELS

Another type of more affordable housing, as compared to market-rate apartments, is single room occupancy (SRO) hotels—not recommended for families but only for single individuals. The following is a list of single room occupancy (SRO) hotels. They are typically single room units with a bed, small refrigerator, and a microwave. These units offer affordable rents and usually do not require security deposits, nor first and last month's rent. **All of the SROs listed are in the City of San Diego.** Unless otherwise noted, all phone numbers are in the 619 area code unless otherwise noted.

Name of SRO Hotel	Address	Phone
Alpha Square Apartments	550 14 th Street	696.6500
Arlington Hotel	701 7th Avenue	231.2385
The Arthur Hotel	728 Market Street	239.1639
BK Buckner Hostel	765 10 th Avenue	
Callan Hotel	460 Island	846.5562
Centre City Manor	1450 4th Avenue	255.5631
Chadwick Manor	646 A Street	239.3950
El Ray Motel	3894 Beyer Blvd	428.3322
Golden Hill Rentals	2035 Broadway	
Golden West Hotel	720 4th Avenue	233.7594
Grand Pacific Hostel	437 J Street	
Hotel Mediterranean	1331 E Street	231.8656
Island Flats	202 Island Ave.	232.4138
J Street Inn	222 J Street	696.6922
Jasmine Inn	1335 J Street	234.7771
Las Flores Hotel	725 4th Avenue	235.6820

Name of SRO Hotel	Address	Phone
Lincoln Hotel	536 5th Avenue	348.5496
Mason Hotel	1345 5th Avenue	546.7464
Mitchell Apts	901 10th Avenue	239.1639
New Palace Hotel	1814 5th Avenue	235.2323
Palms Hotel	509 Park Blvd	232-7256
Paris Hotel	759 4th Avenue	238.1833
Peachtree Inn	901 F Street	233.1100
Pine Hotel	1445 Front Street	277.1963
Plaza Hotel	1037 4th	
Reiss Hotel	1432 1st Avenue	238.9618
Sanford Hotel	1301 5th Avenue	235.7900
Sara Francis Hotel	943 10th Avenue	239.7272
Southern Hotel	1159 6th Avenue	239.3808
Trolley Court	940 Park Blvd	239.0101
Vantaggio Suites	819 University	542.0819
The Wilsonian	1545 2nd Avenue	



CITYWIDE HOMELESS SHELTERS

This list provides information on housing for the homeless in San Diego City. To learn about shelter openings and for other emergency housing information, please call the United Way Info Line: (619) 230-0997. Each type of housing is organized by region and then city. The 'Beds' column shows the number of beds at the shelter, not the number of empty beds. Additionally, refer to the 'Clientele' and 'Special Needs' population to determine whether you are eligible for service.

Agency	Program	Address	Zip	Phone	Clientele	Special Needs	Beds	Max Stay	Hours/Notes
Emergency Shelters									
Catholic Charities	Rachel's Women Center/Night Shelter	759 8 th Ave	92101	696.0873	Single Women 18+	General Homelessness	35	90 days	Day Center: 7am – 3pm Night Shelter: 5pm – 6:45 am
Center for Community Solutions	Project Safe house	4508 Mission Bay Dr	92109	631.6442	All	Victims of Domestic Violence	15	28 days Emergency, 1 year Transitional	Hotline #: 888-385-4657
San Diego Rescue Mission	Nueva Vida Haven	120 Elm St	92101	819.1844	Women with Children	General Homelessness	60	30 days	5:30pm – 7am
San Diego Youth Services	The Storefront	Secure Location	92101	325.3527	Youth aged 12-17	General Homelessness	17	21 days	24 Hours
YWCA	Passages	1012 C St	92101	239.0355	Single Women	General Homelessness	-	1 year	Apply from 9am to 5pm
YWCA	Cortez Hill Family Center	1012 C St	92101	239.0355	Families	General Homelessness	150	120 days	Transitional housing program
Permanent Supportive Housing									
County of SD Housing Authority	Shelter Plus Care – Tenant Based	780 Bay Blvd., Suite 200, Chula Vista	91910	877.478.5478	-	-	-	-	-
Pathfinders	Shelter Plus Care	2621 University Ave	92104	239.7370	Adult Men	Substance Abuse, General Homeless, Mental Illness	30	No max	Recovery Supportive Environment
St. Vincent de Paul, Inc.	Village Place	32 17 th St	92101	619.233.8500 ex 1075	-	-	-	-	-
The Association for Community Solutions (TACHS)	Del Mar Apartments	1901 First Ave, Ste 152	92101	-	-	-	-	-	-
The Association for Community Solutions (TACHS)	Reese Village Apartments	1902 First Ave, Ste 152	92101	-	-	-	-	-	-
Townspeople	Townspeople	4080 Centre St, Ste 201	92103	295.8802	Adult Men & Women	Special Needs (please inquire)	Varies	Unlimited	-

Citywide Homeless Shelters



Agency	Program	Address	Zip	Phone	Clientele	Special Needs	Beds	Max Stay	Hours/ Notes
Transitional Shelters									
Center for Community Solutions	Next Step	4508 Mission Bay Dr	92109	888.385.4657	General Population	Victims of Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault	1 family/2 singles	1 year	For screening call 760.480.0055
Connections Housing	PATH S.D.	1250 6 th Ave	92101	810.8600	Adult Men & Women	General Homelessness	223	Unlimited	Epath.org
Ecumenical Council of San Diego	El Nido	Private	-	563.9878	Women with Children	Victims of Domestic Violence	45	1 year	Must be coming from an emergency DV shelter
Community Research Foundation	Casa Del Sol	1157 30 th St	92154	429.1937	Adults 18+	General Mental Illness	-	-	Not a homeless shelter, but have guide to assist with finding shelter
Pathfinders	Pathfinders	2980 Cedar St	92102	239.7370	Adult Men	Substance Abuse Recovery Community	29	90 Days	Accept Medi-Cal or private insurance only
San Diego Rescue Mission	Men's Center	120 Elm St	92101	687.3720	Adult Men	General Homelessness	188	1 year	Program is structured for rehabilitation
San Diego Rescue Mission	Women and Children's Center	120 Elm St	92101	687.3720	Women with Children	General Homelessness	75	1 year	Program is structured for rehabilitation
San Diego Rescue Mission	2 nd Avenue Transitional Housing	120 Elm St	92101	687.3720	Single Adults and Families	General Homelessness	20 for single men, 28 for single women, 20 for families + 5 cribs	24 months	Must have 6 months of sobriety and some income
San Diego Youth & Community Services	Take Wing	-	-	221-8600	Youth Aged 17-22	At-risk for or homeless	-	-	Emancipated age 16-17 accepted
Serving Seniors Senior	Homeless Prevention Program	1525 4 th Ave	92101	235.6572x4	Single Seniors 60+	At-risk for or homeless	20	90 Days	Homeless Prevention Program
St. Vincent de Paul, Inc.	Family Living Center	-	-	233.8500x1354	Families	General Homelessness	-	-	-
St. Vincent de Paul, Inc.	Joan Kroc Center for Families	-	-	233.8500x1354	Families	General Homelessness	-	-	-
St. Vincent de Paul, Inc.	Joshua Homes	1501 Imperial Ave	92101	645.6727	Adult Men & Women	HIV+/AIDS	88	18 months	Requires referral from medical case manager
St. Vincent de Paul, Inc.	Bishop Maher Center	-	-	233.8500x6715	Veterans	-	-	-	Must be referred by VA
St. Vincent de Paul, Inc.	Paul Mirabile Center	1501 Imperial Ave	92101	233.8500x1383	Adult Men; HIV	General Homelessness	150	7 Days	Apply through Neal Good Center Waitlist
St. Vincent de Paul, Inc.	Paul Mirabile Center	1501 Imperial Ave	92101	233.8500x1383	Adult Women; HIV	General Homelessness	100	7 Days	Apply through Neal Good Center Waitlist
The Salvation Army	Door of Hope – Haven Program	2799 Healthcare Dr	92123	858.505.3965	Women with children	General Homelessness	9 Families, 7 Singles	90 Day	TB test required

Citywide Homeless Shelters



Agency	Program	Address	Zip	Phone	Clientele	Special Needs	Beds	Max Stay	Hours/Notes
The Salvation Army	Door of Hope – Transitional Living Center	2799 Healthcare Dr	92123	858.505.3965	Singles with Children	History of Substance Abuse	-	12 Months	-
The Salvation Army	STEPS	825 Seventh Ave	92101	699.2238	Adult Men	General Homelessness	10	1 year	This is a working program.
Volunteers of America	Hawley Center	9980 Hawley Rd	92021	561.9808	Adult Male Veterans	Viable housing plan in place	20	90 Day	For bridge housing
YMCA	Oz San Diego	3304 Idlewild Way	92117	858.270.8213	At-Risk Youth aged 12-17	In Crisis	10	14 days	Family Unification
YMCA	Turning Point, Transitional Living Program	4145 Swift Ave #1	92104	640.9774	Homeless Youth aged 16-21	General Homelessness or at-risk for homelessness	24	18 months	Program orientation 1 st & 3 rd Tuesday monthly 3pm in suite #2 No appointment needed No late arrivals
YWCA	Passages	1012 C Street	92101	239.0355	Single, Homeless Adult Women	Victims of Domestic Violence	80	24 months	-
Hotel Vouchers									
United Way Info Line				211 or 858.492.2000					
Winter Shelters									
Veterans Village of San Diego	Winter Shelter			619.393.2000					info@vvsd.net
ISN	Rotational Shelter	3530 Camino De Rio Suite 301	92108	702-5399	General Population	General Homelessness	36	2 Months	October through April 9am - 5pm



FAIR HOUSING

The City of San Diego, under the direction of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), enforces the Fair Housing Act. The Act is designed to reduce discrimination in the housing rental, sales, lending, and insurance markets on the basis of race, sex, color, religion, national origin, familial status (presence of children), or handicap.

The City of San Diego contracts with the Fair Housing Council of San Diego and The Center for Social Services Advocacy to administer Fair Housing programs. The Council provides education, outreach, and referrals to the public, and operates Fair Housing programs such as the Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Program. (See next section for phone numbers.)

COUNTY-WIDE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

To review a list of affordable housing in other San Diego County communities/cities, please visit www.sdcountry.ca.gov/sdhcd for more information.

SUPPORT SERVICE AGENCY CONTACTS

If you need more than help with affordable housing and could benefit from other services, the following list provides information on several resources offered in the region. You might also look in your phone book under "Community Services," sometimes in the beginning of the phone book.

Program	Telephone & Website	
Debt/Credit Counseling		
Money Management International	866.889.9347	moneymanagement.org
Credit Counselors of California	800.431.8157	credit.org
Springboard Help For Homeowners	800.947.3752	homeownership.org
Drug/Alcohol Abuse Treatment (Residential Programs)		
Salvation Army Adult Rehab Center	619.239.4037	sandiegoarc.salvationarmy.org
McAlister Institute	619.440.4801	mcalisterinc.org
2nd Chance Sober Living Program	619.234.8888	secondchanceprogram.org
Stepping Stone	619.584.4010	steppingstonesd.org
Veterans Village of San Diego	619.497.0142	vvsd.net

Program	Telephone & Website	
Emergency		
Shelter & Transitional Housing San Diego 211	211	211sandiego.org
United Way Info Line	800.227.0997	uwsd.org
211 San Diego County	858.300.1211	211sandiego.org
Homeless Prevention & Rapid Rehousing		
Virtual Counseling Network	800.826.1502	virtualcounselornetwork.org
Mediation Services		
National Conflict Resolution Center	619.398.0494 (City Heights) 619.593.4530 (East County) 619.642.2055 (South East SD) 619.238.2400 (North County) ncrconline.com	

Fair Housing / Countywide Affordable Housing / Support Service Agency Contacts



Program	Telephone & Website	
Fair Housing		
Fair Housing Council	844.449.3500	sandiego.gov/cdbg/fairhousing
Center for Social Advocacy	619.444.5700	c4sa.org/
San Diego Urban League	619.263.3115	sdul.org
State of California Dept. of Fair Employment and Housing	800.884.1684	dfeh.ca.gov
U.S. Dept. of HUD	213.894.8000	hud.gov
Housing Discrimination Hot Line	800.669.9777	hud.gov
Fair Housing & Equal Opportunity	800.347.3739	hud.gov
Home Energy Assistance		csd.ca.gov/pages/liheapprogram.aspx
Homebuyer Education & Counseling		
Neighborhood House Assoc.	619.263.7761	neighborhoodhouse.org
Springboard Help for Homeowners	800.947.3752	homeownership.org
Community Housing Works	619.282.6647	chworks.org
Services for Persons with HIV/AIDS		
Information & Referral Service:		
211 of San Diego	858.694.4890	
Townspeople	619.295.8802	townspeople.org
Being Alive	619.291.1400	beingalivesd.com
Moving Service:		
Being Alive - Helping Hands	619.291.1400	beingalivesd.com
Recovery Housing:		
Stepping Stone of San Diego, Inc	619.584.4010	steppingstonesd.org
County AIDS Case Management	619.293.4700	sandiegocounty.gov/hhs/programs/phs
Joshua Homes	619.645.6727	

Program	Telephone & Website	
Lead Poisoning: Prevention & Control		
Childhood Lead Poisoning	800.597.5323	
Prevention Program, SD County HHSA	619.692.8487	sandiegocounty.gov/hhs/programs/phs
Legal Help		
Legal Aid Society of SD	877.534.2524	lassd.org
Elder Legal Center	858.565.1392	seniorlaw-sd.org
San Diego Volunteer Lawyer Program	619.235.5656	sdvlp.org
YWCA Legal Advocacy Domestic Violence	619.239.2341 ext. 5	
Security Deposit Guarantee Program		
United Way Labor Participation	858.492.2000	uwsd.org
Catholic Charities	619.231.2828	ccdsd.org
South Bay Community Services	619.420.3620	southbaycommunityservices.org
Senior/Disabled Housing Services		
Access to Independence	619.293.3500	accesstoindpendence.org
County of San Diego Aging & Independence Services	800.339.4661	sandiegocounty.gov/hhsa/programs/ais
Tenant/Landlord Disputes		
National Conflict Resolution Center	619.238.2400	ncrconline.com
CSA San Diego County	619.444.5700	c4sa.org
SD Volunteer Lawyer Program, INC	619.235.5656 x106	sdvlp.org
Legal Aid Society of SD	877.534.2524	lassd.org
USD Legal Clinic	619.260.7470	sandiego.edu/law/free-legal-assistance
Tenant Legal Center of San Diego	858.571.7100	tenantslegalcenter.com

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
1«	19958	1 0 3	01/19/21 10:17:00	Y 02/01/21							B
	Cochise	3 CHDVRS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
2«	20059	1 0 3	01/19/21 14:01:00	Y 02/02/21							B
		3 DVEDVT	0			D					No
3«	20226	4 0 3	01/20/21 10:12:00	Y 02/09/21							B
		3 CHDVED	0								No
4«	20397	1 0 3	01/22/21 09:27:49	Y 02/16/21							B
	Cochise	3 CHEDRS	0	1	Bisbee	D					No
5«	19841	2 0 2	01/19/21 08:31:00	Y 01/20/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
6«	19888	1 0 2	01/19/21 09:05:01	Y 01/21/21							B
	Cochise	2 CHRS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
7	19876	3 1 3	01/19/21 08:53:01	Y 01/21/21							B
	Cochise	3 EDRSVT	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
8	19963	1 1 3	01/19/21 10:23:41	Y 02/01/21							B
	Cochise	3 EDRSVT	0	4	Sierra Vista	ED					No
9	19408	1 1 3	01/19/21 11:51:50	Y 02/01/21							B
	Cochise	3 EDRSVT	0	3	Benson	EDH					No
10	20090	1 1 3	01/19/21 15:01:00	Y 02/03/21							B
	Cochise	3 CHEDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
11	20143	2 1 3	01/19/21 18:50:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		3 CHDVED	0			D					No
12	18231	3 1 3	01/20/21 19:39:00	Y 02/10/21							B
	Cochise	3 EDRSVT	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
13	20400	1 1 3	01/22/21 09:28:09	Y 02/16/21							B
	Cochise	3 CHEDRS	0	1	Bisbee	E					No
14	20432	3 1 3	01/22/21 12:01:00	Y 06/23/21							B
	Cochise	3 CHDVRS	0	2	Douglas						No
15	21153	1 1 3	01/25/21 09:38:00	Y 05/18/21							B
	Cochise	3 EDRSVT	0	4	Sierra Vista	E					No
16	20516	3 1 3	01/25/21 10:08:50	Y 02/16/21							B
	Cochise	3 EDRSVT	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
17	20603	1 1 3	01/26/21 12:09:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		3 CHDVED	0			D					No
18	12525	1 1 3	01/27/21 13:45:59	Y 02/26/21							B
	Cochise	3 CHEDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E					No
19	20709	1 1 3	01/28/21 14:35:06	Y 02/18/21							B
	Graham	3 EDRSVT	0	6	Safford	EDH					No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
20	16836	1 1 3	01/28/21 16:14:51	Y 02/18/21						B
	Cochise	3 EDRSVT	0	4	Sierra Vista	EDH				No
21	19838	1 1 2	01/19/21 08:27:00	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No
22	19325	1 1 2	01/19/21 08:32:00	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
23	19842	1 1 2	01/19/21 08:33:00	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
24	19847	1 1 2	01/19/21 08:35:00	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
25	19848	4 1 2	01/19/21 08:36:01	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
26	19849	1 1 2	01/19/21 08:37:01	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
27	19860	1 1 2	01/19/21 08:44:01	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
28	19861	1 1 2	01/19/21 08:44:02	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	3	Benson	D				No
29	19863	1 1 2	01/19/21 08:45:00	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
30	19864	1 1 2	01/19/21 08:45:01	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Huachuca City	E				No
31	19868	3 1 2	01/19/21 08:48:01	Y 01/21/21						B
		2 CHED	0			D				No
32	19870	2 1 2	01/19/21 08:49:01	Y 01/20/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
33	18945	1 1 2	01/19/21 08:49:08	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	3	Tombstone	EDH				No
34	19878	4 1 2	01/19/21 08:54:01	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	2	Douglas	D				No
35	19881	1 1 2	01/19/21 08:58:01	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	2	Douglas	D				No
36	19885	2 1 2	01/19/21 09:00:00	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	1	Bisbee	D				No
37	19620	3 1 2	01/19/21 09:01:01	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
38	19893	1 1 2	01/19/21 09:08:01	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	5	Willcox	D				No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
39	19907	1 1 2	01/19/21 09:20:00	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	ED				No
40	17723	3 1 2	01/19/21 09:27:25	Y 01/22/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
41	19920	2 1 2	01/19/21 09:32:00	Y 01/22/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
42	19943	2 1 2	01/19/21 09:37:01	Y 01/22/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	3	Benson	D				No
43	19947	0 1 2	01/19/21 09:41:00	Y 01/29/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	5	Willcox	E				No
44	19470	2 1 2	01/19/21 09:44:00	Y 01/29/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Huachuca City	D				No
45	19949	2 1 2	01/19/21 09:44:52	Y 01/29/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
46	19924	2 1 2	01/19/21 09:45:01	Y 01/22/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
47	19927	1 1 2	01/19/21 09:51:02	Y 01/22/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
48	19930	3 1 2	01/19/21 09:55:01	Y 01/22/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Huachuca City					No
49	19934	1 1 2	01/19/21 10:03:21	Y 01/22/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	D				No
50	16514	1 1 2	01/19/21 10:08:02	Y 02/09/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	E				No
51	19952	1 1 2	01/19/21 10:08:16	Y 01/29/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
52	18367	1 1 2	01/19/21 10:16:00	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	EDH				No
53	19959	0 1 2	01/19/21 10:18:00	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
54	19960	1 1 2	01/19/21 10:18:01	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
55	20175	2 1 2	01/19/21 10:22:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Huachuca City	E				No
56	19962	1 1 2	01/19/21 10:23:15	Y 02/01/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	D				No
57	19968	1 1 2	01/19/21 10:27:01	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
58	19969	1 1 2	01/19/21 10:29:01	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
59	19977	1 1 2	01/19/21 10:37:00	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Huachuca City	E				No
60	18847	1 1 2	01/19/21 10:37:00	Y 02/12/21						B
	COCHISE	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
61	19995	1 1 2	01/19/21 10:57:22	Y 02/01/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	E				No
62	20004	1 1 2	01/19/21 11:17:56	Y 02/01/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	E				No
63	14423	3 1 2	01/19/21 11:40:00	Y 02/12/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
64	20023	1 1 2	01/19/21 11:57:00	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
65	20024	1 1 2	01/19/21 11:58:00	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	3	Benson	EDH				No
66	18801	1 1 2	01/19/21 12:02:01	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
67	19735	1 1 2	01/19/21 12:15:00	Y 02/02/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No
68	20064	1 1 2	01/19/21 12:25:06	Y 02/03/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	EDH				No
69	20065	1 1 2	01/19/21 12:40:50	Y 02/03/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	E				No
70	20042	1 1 2	01/19/21 13:23:00	Y 02/03/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Huachuca City	EDH				No
71	13329	1 1 2	01/19/21 13:26:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No
72	11673	1 1 2	01/19/21 13:33:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	COCHISE	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	EDH				No
73	20066	0 1 2	01/19/21 13:37:02	Y 02/03/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	E				No
74	20047	1 1 2	01/19/21 13:40:00	Y 02/03/21						B
	Cochise	2 IDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
75	20051	1 1 2	01/19/21 13:47:00	Y 02/03/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Hereford	D				No
76	20068	1 1 2	01/19/21 13:51:27	Y 02/03/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
77	20069	1 1 2	01/19/21 13:51:37	Y 02/03/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Thatcher	E				No
78	20058	2 1 2	01/19/21 13:59:00	Y 02/03/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
79	20070	1 1 2	01/19/21 14:01:14	Y 02/03/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	D				No
80	20076	1 1 2	01/19/21 14:17:00	Y 02/02/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
81	20079	1 1 2	01/19/21 14:20:01	Y 02/03/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	5	Willcox	D				No
82	20083	1 1 2	01/19/21 14:26:32	Y 02/03/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	EDH				No
83	20176	1 1 2	01/19/21 14:41:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	EDH				No
84	20085	1 1 2	01/19/21 14:45:08	Y 02/03/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	D				No
85	20086	1 1 2	01/19/21 14:45:28	Y 02/03/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No
86	16633	3 1 2	01/19/21 15:03:00	Y 02/03/21						B
	GRAHAM	2 EDRS	0	6	Pima	D				No
87	20092	1 1 2	01/19/21 15:05:57	Y 02/03/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	D				No
88	20105	1 1 2	01/19/21 15:24:00	Y 02/04/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
89	20093	2 1 2	01/19/21 15:27:57	Y 02/03/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford					No
90	20177	1 1 2	01/19/21 16:11:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	EDH				No
91	18023	1 1 2	01/19/21 16:46:00	Y 02/04/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No
92	20125	3 1 2	01/19/21 17:02:45	Y 02/04/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	1	Bisbee	D				No
93	20140	1 1 2	01/19/21 18:23:00	Y 02/04/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Huachuca City	E				No
94	20148	2 1 2	01/19/21 19:27:00	Y 02/04/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Huachuca City					No
95	20152	1 1 2	01/19/21 20:01:00	Y 02/04/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
96	20153	1 1 2	01/19/21 20:15:00	Y 02/04/21							B
	Cochise	2 RSVT	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
97	20159	1 1 2	01/19/21 20:59:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		2 EDVT	0			EDH					No
98	20161	4 1 2	01/19/21 21:20:00	Y 02/04/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
99	20164	2 1 2	01/19/21 21:35:00	Y 02/04/21							B
	Cochise	2 DVRS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
100	20204	2 1 2	01/20/21 07:21:00	Y 02/09/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
101	20207	1 1 2	01/20/21 07:32:00	Y 02/09/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E					No
102	12908	1 1 2	01/20/21 09:30:00	Y 02/09/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	EDH					No
103	20221	1 1 2	01/20/21 09:49:26	Y 02/09/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	1	Bisbee	E					No
104	20222	3 1 2	01/20/21 09:54:00	Y 02/09/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
105	20298	1 1 2	01/20/21 10:30:42	Y 02/11/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	3	Benson	D					No
106	16547	2 1 2	01/20/21 10:37:01	Y 02/09/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					Yes
107	20234	1 1 2	01/20/21 10:54:00	Y 02/10/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
108	18862	1 1 2	01/20/21 10:59:24	Y 02/11/21							B
	COCHISE	2 EDRS	0	3	Benson	E					No
109	20236	1 1 2	01/20/21 11:20:00	Y 02/10/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	3	Benson	EDH					No
110	21071	1 1 2	01/20/21 11:31:02	Y 02/26/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	3	Benson	EDH					No
111	21072	1 1 2	01/20/21 11:44:13	Y 02/26/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	3	Benson	D					No
112	20241	1 1 2	01/20/21 11:58:00	Y 02/10/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	1	Bisbee	EDH					No
113	15277	1 1 2	01/20/21 12:42:00	Y 02/10/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Hereford	EDH					No
114	20247	1 1 2	01/20/21 12:59:00	Y 02/10/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
115	21073	1 1 2	01/20/21 13:41:57	Y 02/26/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	3	Benson	EDH					No
116	17519	1 1 2	01/20/21 14:56:00	Y 02/10/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	1	Bisbee	EDH					No
117	20238	1 1 2	01/20/21 15:41:00	Y 02/10/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
118	20270	4 1 2	01/20/21 17:00:00	Y 02/10/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
119	20274	2 1 2	01/20/21 17:29:00	Y 02/10/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
120	20285	1 1 2	01/20/21 19:34:00	Y 02/10/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
121	20288	1 1 2	01/20/21 20:18:00	Y 02/10/21							B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Thatcher	EDH					No
122	20302	3 1 2	01/21/21 00:36:00	Y 02/11/21							B
		2 CHED	0								No
123	20317	1 1 2	01/21/21 10:24:14	Y 02/11/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
124	20320	1 1 2	01/21/21 10:28:00	Y 02/11/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E					No
125	12697	1 1 2	01/21/21 11:35:00	Y 02/12/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
126	13929	3 1 2	01/21/21 12:20:49	Y 02/12/21							B
	COCHISE	2 EDRS	0	2	Douglas	D					No
127	20334	3 1 2	01/21/21 12:21:06	Y 02/12/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	3	Benson	D					No
128	20336	1 1 2	01/21/21 12:38:00	Y 02/12/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E					No
129	20340	3 1 2	01/21/21 13:15:00	Y 02/12/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	5	Willcox						No
130	18510	2 1 2	01/21/21 13:28:00	Y 02/12/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
131	20342	2 1 2	01/21/21 13:44:00	Y 02/12/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
132	19212	1 1 2	01/21/21 14:11:01	N 02/12/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	EDH					No
133	15535	1 1 2	01/21/21 15:13:00	Y 02/12/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	EDH					No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
134	20356	2 1 2	01/21/21 16:46:00	Y 02/12/21						B
	Cochise	2 RSVT	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
135	20363	1 1 2	01/21/21 17:32:00	Y 02/12/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	3	Tombstone	EDH				No
136	20372	1 1 2	01/21/21 20:27:00	Y 02/12/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	5	Dragoon	EDH				No
137	20401	1 1 2	01/22/21 09:30:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	3	Benson	EDH				No
138	19059	3 1 2	01/22/21 10:15:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No
139	20413	1 1 2	01/22/21 12:33:04	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	EDH				No
140	20416	1 1 2	01/22/21 12:45:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No
141	15404	3 1 2	01/22/21 13:41:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	COCHISE	2 DVRS	0	1	Bisbee					No
142	18894	1 1 2	01/22/21 14:38:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	1	Bisbee	D				No
143	20421	2 1 2	01/22/21 15:04:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No
144	17840	1 1 2	01/22/21 15:05:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	1	Bisbee	E				No
145	20552	1 1 2	01/22/21 15:20:17	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No
146	21074	1 1 2	01/22/21 15:51:08	Y 02/26/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	1	Bisbee	D				No
147	20422	1 1 2	01/22/21 15:55:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Hereford	D				No
148	20431	1 1 2	01/22/21 19:20:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No
149	20448	1 1 2	01/23/21 10:02:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No
150	19722	1 1 2	01/23/21 13:26:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No
151	20465	1 1 2	01/23/21 19:44:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No
152	20466	1 1 2	01/23/21 21:01:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
153	20467	2 1 2	01/23/21 21:10:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		2 DVED	0			D					No
154	16913	2 1 2	01/24/21 00:07:00	Y 02/16/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Huachuca City						No
155	20485	1 1 2	01/24/21 10:55:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		2 EDVT	0			E					No
156	20498	1 1 2	01/24/21 18:42:00	Y 02/16/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E					No
157	20507	1 1 2	01/25/21 03:51:00	Y 02/16/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
158	18984	1 1 2	01/25/21 08:20:00	Y 02/15/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
159	20510	1 1 2	01/25/21 08:35:07	Y 02/16/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Huachuca City	D					No
160	20526	1 1 2	01/25/21 11:33:44	Y 02/16/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
161	20527	1 1 2	01/25/21 11:37:00	Y 02/16/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E					No
162	20530	1 1 2	01/25/21 11:53:00	Y 02/16/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	3	Benson	E					No
163	20541	1 1 2	01/25/21 12:51:00	Y 02/16/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	EDH					No
164	20543	1 1 2	01/25/21 12:59:00	Y 02/16/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E					No
165	20551	3 1 2	01/25/21 14:20:00	Y 02/16/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
166	20562	1 1 2	01/25/21 16:09:53	Y 02/17/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	1	Bisbee	E					No
167	20565	1 1 2	01/25/21 16:32:00	Y 02/17/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E					No
168	20632	1 1 2	01/27/21 03:44:00	Y 02/17/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	1	Bisbee	D					No
169	20640	1 1 2	01/27/21 09:57:01	Y 02/17/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	3	St. David	D					No
170	20643	1 1 2	01/27/21 11:40:44	Y 02/17/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	3	Benson	E					No
171	20644	1 1 2	01/27/21 11:41:04	Y 02/17/21							B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	EDH					No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
172	20646	1 1 2	01/27/21 11:43:55	Y 02/17/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
173	20650	1 1 2	01/27/21 12:51:00	Y 02/17/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E					No
174	20651	1 1 2	01/27/21 12:51:01	Y 02/16/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
175	21080	1 1 2	01/27/21 13:34:51	Y 02/26/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E					No
176	21082	1 1 2	01/27/21 13:41:04	Y 02/26/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	3	Tombstone	D					No
177	21083	1 1 2	01/27/21 13:41:16	Y 02/26/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	3	Benson	D					No
178	20654	1 1 2	01/27/21 14:32:00	Y 02/17/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	1	Bisbee	E					No
179	20655	1 1 2	01/27/21 14:40:57	Y 02/17/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	1	Bisbee	D					No
180	21086	1 1 2	01/27/21 17:12:06	Y 02/26/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	1	Bisbee	E					No
181	20684	1 1 2	01/28/21 00:25:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		2 CHED	0			D					No
182	20687	1 1 2	01/28/21 07:00:18	Y 02/17/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	1	Bisbee	D					No
183	18926	1 1 2	01/28/21 08:54:00	Y 02/18/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Huachuca City	D					No
184	16829	2 1 2	01/28/21 09:05:00	Y 02/18/21							B
	COCHISE	2 EDRS	0	5	Willcox	D					No
185	20694	1 1 2	01/28/21 11:16:00	Y 02/18/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E					No
186	20698	1 1 2	01/28/21 13:10:00	Y 02/18/21							B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	E					No
187	20700	1 1 2	01/28/21 13:40:09	Y 02/18/21							B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Thatcher						No
188	20701	1 1 2	01/28/21 13:47:00	Y 02/18/21							B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	E					No
189	20702	3 1 2	01/28/21 13:49:00	Y 02/18/21							B
	Cochise	2 CHRS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
190	20706	1 1 2	01/28/21 14:08:00	Y 02/18/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
191	20708	1 1 2	01/28/21 14:35:00	Y 02/18/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	E				No
192	20710	1 1 2	01/28/21 14:36:32	Y 02/18/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Sierra Vista	D				No
193	20712	1 1 2	01/28/21 14:40:00	Y 02/18/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	EDH				No
194	20719	1 1 2	01/28/21 17:20:00	Y 02/18/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Thatcher	EDH				No
195	20724	1 1 2	01/28/21 19:38:00	Y 02/18/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
196	20739	4 1 2	01/29/21 03:38:00	Y 02/18/21						B
		2 DVED	0							No
197	20744	1 1 2	01/29/21 10:29:28	Y 02/18/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	EDH				No
198	20746	2 1 2	01/29/21 12:45:03	Y 02/18/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	D				No
199	20751	1 1 2	01/29/21 14:33:38	Y 02/18/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	E				No
200	20752	1 1 2	01/29/21 14:33:42	Y 02/18/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	E				No
201	16766	1 1 2	01/29/21 14:59:00	Y 02/23/21						B
	GRAHAM	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	EDH				No
202	20757	1 1 2	01/29/21 15:23:00	Y 02/23/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	D				No
203	20759	1 1 2	01/29/21 15:42:23	Y 02/23/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	EDH				No
204	20761	1 1 2	01/29/21 15:56:39	Y 02/23/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	E				No
205	20763	1 1 2	01/29/21 15:59:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		2 DVED	0			D				No
206	20764	1 1 2	01/29/21 16:44:00	Y 02/23/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No
207	20781	1 1 2	01/30/21 13:31:00	Y 02/23/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
208	20815	1 1 2	01/31/21 14:22:00	Y 02/23/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	1	Naco	E				No
209	20837	3 1 2	01/31/21 21:39:00	Y 02/23/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	EDH				No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
210	20870	1 1 2	02/01/21 10:26:08	Y 02/24/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	EDH				No
211	13542	1 1 2	02/01/21 10:34:00	Y 02/24/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No
212	20884	1 1 2	02/01/21 10:44:04	Y 02/24/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No
213	20899	1 1 2	02/01/21 11:10:20	Y 02/24/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	3	Benson	D				No
214	20900	1 1 2	02/01/21 11:10:45	Y 02/24/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No
215	20910	1 1 2	02/01/21 11:54:00	Y 02/24/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
216	20924	1 1 2	02/01/21 12:39:44	Y 02/24/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	E				No
217	20966	1 1 2	02/01/21 15:48:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		2 EDVT	0			D				No
218	20967	1 1 2	02/01/21 15:56:00	Y 02/24/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	EDH				No
219	20968	2 1 2	02/01/21 15:56:14	Y 02/24/21						B
	Cochise	2 DVRS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
220	20977	1 1 2	02/01/21 16:40:43	Y 02/24/21						B
	Cochise	2 CHRS	0	1	Bisbee					No
221	19332	1 1 2	02/01/21 17:31:00	Y 02/24/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
222	21011	1 1 2	02/01/21 23:16:00	Y 02/25/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No
223	13532	1 1 2	02/01/21 23:33:00	Y 02/25/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
224	13142	1 1 2	02/02/21 08:59:00	Y 02/25/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	EDH				No
225	21043	1 1 2	02/02/21 09:59:00	Y 02/25/21						B
	Cochise	2 CHRS	0	2	Douglas					No
226	19028	1 1 2	02/02/21 10:09:00	Y 02/26/21						B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	D				No
227	21046	1 1 2	02/02/21 10:30:00	Y 02/25/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	3	Benson	D				No
228	21047	1 1 2	02/02/21 10:43:00	Y 02/25/21						B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
229	21050	2 1 2	02/02/21 11:08:00	Y 02/25/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
230	21056	1 1 2	02/02/21 11:21:45	Y 02/25/21							B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	EDH					No
231	21057	1 1 2	02/02/21 11:28:18	Y 02/25/21							B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	EDH					No
232	21069	1 1 2	02/02/21 11:49:57	Y 02/25/21							B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	E					No
233	21094	3 1 2	02/02/21 12:33:14	Y 02/26/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
234	21097	1 1 2	02/02/21 13:06:00	Y 02/26/21							B
	Cochise	2 RSVT	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
235	21111	2 1 2	02/02/21 13:39:49	Y 03/01/21							B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford						No
236	11491	1 1 2	02/02/21 13:43:00	Y 03/01/21							B
	COCHISE	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E					No
237	18591	1 1 2	02/02/21 13:50:00	Y 03/01/21							B
	Cochise	2 CHRS	0	1	Bisbee						No
238	21114	1 1 2	02/02/21 13:50:35	Y 03/01/21							B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	EDH					No
239	18900	1 1 2	02/02/21 14:09:35	Y 03/01/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	H					No
240	21092	1 1 2	02/02/21 14:14:01	Y 02/26/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	1	Bisbee	D					No
241	21124	1 1 2	02/02/21 14:44:33	Y 03/01/21							B
	Graham	2 EDRS	0	6	Safford	E					No
242	21134	1 1 2	02/02/21 15:30:11	Y 03/01/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	2	Douglas	D					No
243	18841	3 1 2	02/02/21 16:21:52	Y 03/01/21							B
	Cochise	2 EDRS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D					No
244	20053	1 1 1	01/19/21 01:49:01	Y 02/03/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	3	Benson						No
245	19839	1 1 1	01/19/21 08:29:00	Y 01/21/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
246	19840	3 1 1	01/19/21 08:30:00	Y 01/21/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	3	Benson						No
247	19313	1 1 1	01/19/21 08:31:01	Y 01/21/21							B
		1 ED	0			EDH					No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
248	17522	3 1 1	01/19/21 08:37:00	Y 01/21/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	1	Bisbee						No
249	19850	2 1 1	01/19/21 08:37:02	Y 01/21/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	2	Douglas						No
250	19851	3 1 1	01/19/21 08:37:03	Y 01/20/21							B
		1 VT	0								No
251	17417	3 1 1	01/19/21 08:39:01	Y 01/21/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	1	Bisbee						No
252	19854	1 1 1	01/19/21 08:41:01	Y 01/21/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
253	19855	2 1 1	01/19/21 08:41:02	Y 01/21/21							B
		1 RS	0								No
254	19856	3 1 1	01/19/21 08:41:03	Y 01/21/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
255	19857	2 1 1	01/19/21 08:43:00	Y 01/21/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
256	19858	2 1 1	01/19/21 08:43:01	Y 01/21/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Huachuca City						No
257	19859	1 1 1	01/19/21 08:44:00	Y 01/21/21							B
		1 ED	0			E					No
258	19862	1 1 1	01/19/21 08:44:03	Y 01/21/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
259	19865	2 1 1	01/19/21 08:46:00	Y 05/06/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
260	19867	3 1 1	01/19/21 08:48:00	Y 01/21/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
261	19869	2 1 1	01/19/21 08:49:00	Y 01/21/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
262	19871	2 1 1	01/19/21 08:49:44	Y 01/21/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	3	Tombstone						No
263	19874	2 1 1	01/19/21 08:52:00	Y 01/21/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Huachuca City						No
264	19883	1 1 1	01/19/21 08:59:01	Y 01/21/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	3	Benson						No
265	19886	1 1 1	01/19/21 09:01:00	Y 01/21/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista	E					No
266	19892	2 1 1	01/19/21 09:07:01	Y 01/21/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
267	15044	3 1 1	01/19/21 09:08:00	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
268	19894	3 1 1	01/19/21 09:10:00	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
269	16877	3 1 1	01/19/21 09:10:55	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	2	Douglas					No
270	19896	2 1 1	01/19/21 09:12:00	Y 01/21/21						B
		1 ED	0							No
271	19897	1 1 1	01/19/21 09:13:00	Y 01/21/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
272	19898	3 1 1	01/19/21 09:14:00	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
273	19899	2 1 1	01/19/21 09:14:01	Y 01/21/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
274	19900	1 1 1	01/19/21 09:14:02	Y 01/21/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
275	19908	2 1 1	01/19/21 09:21:00	Y 01/22/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
276	19911	2 1 1	01/19/21 09:24:00	Y 01/21/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
277	19912	0 1 1	01/19/21 09:24:01	Y 01/21/21						B
	Graham	1 RS	0	6	Safford					No
278	19913	2 1 1	01/19/21 09:24:02	Y 01/22/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
279	15523	2 1 1	01/19/21 09:27:00	Y 01/22/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
280	19916	3 1 1	01/19/21 09:28:00	Y 01/22/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
281	19919	3 1 1	01/19/21 09:31:00	Y 01/22/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	3	Benson					No
282	19921	1 1 1	01/19/21 09:32:01	Y 01/22/21						B
		1 DV	0							No
283	19922	2 1 1	01/19/21 09:33:45	Y 01/22/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
284	19937	3 1 1	01/19/21 09:35:00	Y 01/22/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
285	19940	3 1 1	01/19/21 09:36:01	Y 01/22/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
286	19945	3 1 1	01/19/21 09:37:16	Y 01/29/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
287	19946	1 1 1	01/19/21 09:39:00	Y 01/29/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
288	19923	4 1 1	01/19/21 09:45:00	Y 01/22/21						B
		1 VT	0							No
289	19928	3 1 1	01/19/21 09:53:00	Y 01/22/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	1	Naco					No
290	19932	2 1 1	01/19/21 10:00:00	Y 01/22/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
291	17488	3 1 1	01/19/21 10:03:01	Y 01/22/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
292	19950	3 1 1	01/19/21 10:08:00	Y 01/29/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
293	19953	2 1 1	01/19/21 10:11:00	Y 01/29/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
294	19955	1 1 1	01/19/21 10:13:00	Y 01/29/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Huachuca City					No
295	19957	2 1 1	01/19/21 10:16:01	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	3	Benson					No
296	19961	1 1 1	01/19/21 10:19:00	Y 02/01/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
297	19964	1 1 1	01/19/21 10:23:53	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
298	19966	2 1 1	01/19/21 10:26:00	Y 01/31/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	2	Douglas					No
299	12262	2 1 1	01/19/21 10:29:00	Y 02/01/21						B
	COCHISE	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
300	19970	1 1 1	01/19/21 10:30:00	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	1	Bisbee					No
301	19971	1 1 1	01/19/21 10:32:00	Y 02/01/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
302	19972	2 1 1	01/19/21 10:32:01	Y 02/01/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
303	19974	3 1 1	01/19/21 10:33:01	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
304	19975	1 1 1	01/19/21 10:35:00	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
305	19976	1 1 1	01/19/21 10:36:00	Y 02/01/21						B
		1 ED	0			E				No
306	19978	3 1 1	01/19/21 10:39:00	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Hereford					No
307	19979	3 1 1	01/19/21 10:39:01	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
308	19984	2 1 1	01/19/21 10:45:00	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	2	Douglas					No
309	19986	3 1 1	01/19/21 10:45:02	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	1	Bisbee					No
310	19987	3 1 1	01/19/21 10:49:00	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	2	Douglas					No
311	19989	1 1 1	01/19/21 10:51:00	Y 02/01/21						B
		1 ED	0			EDH				No
312	19990	1 1 1	01/19/21 10:51:01	Y 02/01/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
313	19991	2 1 1	01/19/21 10:52:00	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
314	19992	1 1 1	01/19/21 10:53:00	Y 02/01/21						B
		1 ED	0			EDH				No
315	19993	4 1 1	01/19/21 10:55:00	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
316	19996	1 1 1	01/19/21 10:58:00	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
317	20000	2 1 1	01/19/21 11:05:01	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	2	Elfrida					No
318	20001	2 1 1	01/19/21 11:06:00	Y 02/01/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
319	14976	2 1 1	01/19/21 11:14:00	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	1	Bisbee					No
320	20378	1 1 1	01/19/21 11:23:00	Y 02/12/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	5	Tombstone					No
321	20010	2 1 1	01/19/21 11:29:01	Y 02/01/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
322	20011	1 1 1	01/19/21 11:32:00	Y 02/01/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
323	20012	2 1 1	01/19/21 11:32:02	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
324	20013	1 1 1	01/19/21 11:35:00	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
325	20015	1 1 1	01/19/21 11:41:00	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
326	20016	1 1 1	01/19/21 11:41:01	Y 02/01/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
327	20019	2 1 1	01/19/21 11:50:00	Y 02/01/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
328	20020	2 1 1	01/19/21 11:53:00	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
329	20021	1 1 1	01/19/21 11:56:00	Y 02/01/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
330	20022	0 1 1	01/19/21 11:56:01	Y 02/08/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
331	20025	1 1 1	01/19/21 12:02:00	Y 02/02/21						B
		1 ED	0			E				No
332	20029	4 1 1	01/19/21 12:25:00	Y 02/02/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
333	20036	3 1 1	01/19/21 13:05:01	Y 02/02/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
334	20037	2 1 1	01/19/21 13:08:00	Y 02/03/21						B
		1 RS	0							No
335	20038	2 1 1	01/19/21 13:08:01	Y 02/03/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Seirra Vista					No
336	15778	2 1 1	01/19/21 13:18:00	Y 02/03/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
337	20046	1 1 1	01/19/21 13:39:00	Y 06/10/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
338	20067	1 1 1	01/19/21 13:42:49	Y 02/02/21						B
	Graham	1 RS	0	6	Thatcher					No
339	20050	1 1 1	01/19/21 13:44:01	Y 02/03/21						B
		1 ED	0			E				No
340	19906	2 1 1	01/19/21 13:45:42	Y 01/22/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Hereford					No
341	20054	2 1 1	01/19/21 13:50:00	Y 02/03/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
342	20056	3 1 1	01/19/21 13:54:00	Y 02/03/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
343	16859	1 1 1	01/19/21 14:01:37	Y 02/03/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
344	20071	2 1 1	01/19/21 14:07:11	Y 02/03/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
345	17584	3 1 1	01/19/21 14:07:20	Y 02/03/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
346	20062	2 1 1	01/19/21 14:08:00	Y 02/03/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
347	20078	2 1 1	01/19/21 14:20:00	Y 02/03/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
348	20082	1 1 1	01/19/21 14:24:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
349	19765	1 1 1	01/19/21 14:26:55	Y 02/03/21							B
	Graham	1 RS	0	6	Safford						No
350	20084	3 1 1	01/19/21 14:28:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
351	20101	1 1 1	01/19/21 15:05:00	Y 02/04/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
352	20379	1 1 1	01/19/21 15:11:00	Y 02/12/21							B
		1 ED	0			EDH					No
353	20103	3 1 1	01/19/21 15:18:00	Y 02/04/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
354	20104	1 1 1	01/19/21 15:19:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
355	20106	1 1 1	01/19/21 15:27:00	Y 02/04/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
356	20094	2 1 1	01/19/21 15:44:06	Y 02/03/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
357	20111	3 1 1	01/19/21 15:52:00	Y 02/04/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
358	20095	1 1 1	01/19/21 15:53:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
359	20097	1 1 1	01/19/21 15:55:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
360	20100	2 1 1	01/19/21 15:59:52	Y 02/03/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
361	20112	2 1 1	01/19/21 16:00:00	Y 02/04/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	5	Willcox						No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
362	20113	3 1 1	01/19/21 16:04:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		1 ED	0								No
363	20116	2 1 1	01/19/21 16:15:00	Y 02/04/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
364	20118	2 1 1	01/19/21 16:18:16	Y 02/04/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
365	20121	2 1 1	01/19/21 16:32:00	Y 02/04/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
366	19685	2 1 1	01/19/21 16:56:13	Y 02/04/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
367	20126	1 1 1	01/19/21 17:03:01	Y 02/04/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Hereford						No
368	20127	1 1 1	01/19/21 17:04:00	Y 02/04/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
369	20131	3 1 1	01/19/21 17:27:00	Y 02/04/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
370	20134	3 1 1	01/19/21 17:34:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		1 ED	0								No
371	20135	3 1 1	01/19/21 17:44:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
372	20136	2 1 1	01/19/21 17:54:00	Y 02/04/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
373	20137	3 1 1	01/19/21 18:03:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		1 ED	0								No
374	20138	2 1 1	01/19/21 18:04:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
375	20139	1 1 1	01/19/21 18:16:00	Y 02/04/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
376	20142	2 1 1	01/19/21 18:34:00	Y 02/04/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
377	20147	4 1 1	01/19/21 19:19:00	Y 02/04/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Hereford						No
378	20149	1 1 1	01/19/21 19:37:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
379	20150	3 1 1	01/19/21 19:41:00	Y 02/04/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
380	20151	1 1 1	01/19/21 19:42:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		1 ED	0			E					No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
381	20154	3 1 1	01/19/21 20:18:00	Y 02/04/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	1	Bisbee					No
382	20156	2 1 1	01/19/21 20:35:00	Y 02/04/21						B
		1 ED	0			E				No
383	20158	1 1 1	01/19/21 20:47:00	Y 02/04/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
384	20160	1 1 1	01/19/21 21:07:00	Y 02/04/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
385	15377	3 1 1	01/19/21 21:30:00	Y 02/04/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	1	Bisbee					No
386	20165	3 1 1	01/19/21 21:38:00	Y 02/04/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
387	20169	2 1 1	01/19/21 23:10:00	Y 02/04/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
388	20170	3 1 1	01/19/21 23:26:00	Y 02/04/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
389	20181	3 1 1	01/20/21 00:29:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
390	20182	2 1 1	01/20/21 00:59:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
391	20183	1 1 1	01/20/21 01:14:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Hereford					No
392	13023	2 1 1	01/20/21 02:09:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Hereford					No
393	20186	2 1 1	01/20/21 02:19:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		1 DV	0							No
394	20187	3 1 1	01/20/21 03:06:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Huachuca City					No
395	20191	3 1 1	01/20/21 05:23:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
396	20196	3 1 1	01/20/21 06:13:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
397	20201	2 1 1	01/20/21 07:02:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
398	20205	1 1 1	01/20/21 07:23:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
399	20206	2 1 1	01/20/21 07:29:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
400	19699	2 1 1	01/20/21 07:53:36	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Huachuca City					No
401	20208	1 1 1	01/20/21 07:54:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
402	20210	1 1 1	01/20/21 08:10:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		1 ED	0			H				No
403	20211	4 1 1	01/20/21 08:14:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
404	14668	1 1 1	01/20/21 08:38:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	COCHISE	1 RS	0	2	Douglas					No
405	20213	1 1 1	01/20/21 09:07:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
406	18658	3 1 1	01/20/21 09:09:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
407	20216	1 1 1	01/20/21 09:24:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
408	20218	2 1 1	01/20/21 09:45:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		1 ED	0			E				No
409	20225	2 1 1	01/20/21 10:08:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
410	20228	1 1 1	01/20/21 10:20:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Huachuca City					No
411	20229	2 1 1	01/20/21 10:25:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
412	20232	2 1 1	01/20/21 10:41:00	Y 02/09/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
413	20233	2 1 1	01/20/21 10:48:00	Y 02/10/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
414	20235	1 1 1	01/20/21 11:09:00	Y 02/10/21						B
		1 CH	0							No
415	20239	3 1 1	01/20/21 11:49:00	Y 02/10/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
416	15269	3 1 1	01/20/21 12:06:00	Y 02/10/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
417	19437	3 1 1	01/20/21 12:09:00	Y 02/10/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
418	20245	1 1 1	01/20/21 12:30:00	Y 02/10/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
419	20246	2 1 1	01/20/21 12:53:30	Y 02/10/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
420	20250	1 1 1	01/20/21 13:10:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
421	15001	3 1 1	01/20/21 14:10:00	Y 02/10/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
422	20253	3 1 1	01/20/21 14:15:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
423	20256	1 1 1	01/20/21 14:35:00	Y 02/10/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	5	Willcox						No
424	20257	2 1 1	01/20/21 14:39:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		1 ED	0			EDH					No
425	20258	1 1 1	01/20/21 14:42:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		1 ED	0			E					No
426	20260	2 1 1	01/20/21 14:48:00	Y 04/20/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
427	20264	3 1 1	01/20/21 15:22:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
428	20265	2 1 1	01/20/21 15:24:00	Y 02/10/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
429	17378	2 1 1	01/20/21 16:01:00	Y 02/10/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
430	20271	3 1 1	01/20/21 17:01:00	Y 02/10/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
431	20275	2 1 1	01/20/21 17:41:00	Y 02/10/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Huachuca City	D					No
432	20279	1 1 1	01/20/21 18:33:00	Y 02/10/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
433	20280	1 1 1	01/20/21 18:36:00	Y 02/10/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	5	Willcox						No
434	20286	3 1 1	01/20/21 19:43:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		1 ED	0			E					No
435	20289	2 1 1	01/20/21 20:53:00	Y 02/11/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Huachuca City						No
436	18675	3 1 1	01/20/21 20:57:00	Y 02/11/21							B
	COCHISE	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
437	20295	2 1 1	01/20/21 22:55:00	Y 02/11/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
438	20297	1 1 1	01/20/21 23:56:00	Y 02/11/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
439	20303	5 1 1	01/21/21 00:41:00	Y 02/11/21							B
		1 ED	0								No
440	20304	1 1 1	01/21/21 01:02:00	Y 02/11/21							B
		1 CH	0								No
441	18014	1 1 1	01/21/21 01:11:00	Y 02/11/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	5	Willcox						No
442	20306	2 1 1	01/21/21 04:50:00	Y 02/11/21							B
		1 ED	0								No
443	20307	1 1 1	01/21/21 05:23:00	Y 02/11/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
444	20313	2 1 1	01/21/21 09:13:00	Y 02/11/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
445	20315	4 1 1	01/21/21 09:22:00	Y 02/11/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Hereford						No
446	17561	1 1 1	01/21/21 09:25:00	Y 02/11/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
447	20316	1 1 1	01/21/21 10:02:00	Y 02/11/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
448	20318	2 1 1	01/21/21 10:27:00	Y 02/11/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
449	18908	3 1 1	01/21/21 10:27:19	Y 02/11/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
450	20321	2 1 1	01/21/21 10:50:00	Y 02/11/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
451	20323	1 1 1	01/21/21 10:50:02	Y 02/12/21							B
		1 ED	0			EDH					No
452	20326	3 1 1	01/21/21 11:14:00	Y 02/12/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	2	Douglas						No
453	20328	1 1 1	01/21/21 11:23:00	Y 02/12/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
454	20330	3 1 1	01/21/21 11:45:00	Y 02/12/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
455	20333	3 1 1	01/21/21 12:00:01	Y 02/12/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
456	20335	1 1 1	01/21/21 12:35:00	Y 02/12/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
457	20337	4 1 1	01/21/21 12:53:00	Y 02/12/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	1	Naco					No
458	20338	3 1 1	01/21/21 12:54:00	Y 02/12/21						B
	Graham	1 RS	0	6	Safford					No
459	20343	1 1 1	01/21/21 13:49:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		1 DV	0							No
460	20345	2 1 1	01/21/21 13:59:00	Y 02/12/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Huachuca City					No
461	20346	3 1 1	01/21/21 14:28:29	Y 02/11/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	3	Benson					No
462	20347	1 1 1	01/21/21 14:29:07	Y 02/12/21						B
		1 ED	0			E				No
463	20350	1 1 1	01/21/21 14:47:00	Y 02/12/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Hereford					No
464	20352	1 1 1	01/21/21 15:06:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		1 ED	0			E				No
465	20353	2 1 1	01/21/21 15:20:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
466	20359	4 1 1	01/21/21 16:53:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		1 ED	0							No
467	20360	3 1 1	01/21/21 17:01:00	Y 02/12/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
468	17142	2 1 1	01/21/21 17:43:00	Y 02/12/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
469	20364	2 1 1	01/21/21 17:44:00	Y 02/12/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
470	20365	3 1 1	01/21/21 17:47:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		1 ED	0							No
471	20366	3 1 1	01/21/21 17:53:00	Y 02/12/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
472	20368	1 1 1	01/21/21 18:09:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		1 ED	0			EDH				No
473	20369	1 1 1	01/21/21 19:14:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		1 ED	0			E				No
474	20374	1 1 1	01/21/21 21:51:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
475	20376	1 1 1	01/21/21 23:33:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
476	20380	3 1 1	01/22/21 01:09:00	Y 02/12/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
477	20381	1 1 1	01/22/21 01:21:00	Y 02/12/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
478	20387	1 1 1	01/22/21 06:06:00	Y 02/12/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
479	17983	1 1 1	01/22/21 07:38:00	Y 02/12/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	3	Benson						No
480	20393	4 1 1	01/22/21 08:52:00	Y 02/12/21							B
		1 ED	0								No
481	20395	2 1 1	01/22/21 09:27:37	Y 02/12/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	1	Bisbee						No
482	20398	1 1 1	01/22/21 09:27:56	Y 02/16/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	1	Bisbee						No
483	20402	1 1 1	01/22/21 09:45:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
484	15381	3 1 1	01/22/21 09:50:00	Y 02/16/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
485	20403	5 1 1	01/22/21 10:02:00	Y 02/16/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	2	Douglas						No
486	20407	3 1 1	01/22/21 10:33:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
487	20411	1 1 1	01/22/21 12:25:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
488	20414	3 1 1	01/22/21 12:47:00	Y 02/16/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
489	20415	1 1 1	01/22/21 12:58:00	Y 02/16/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Hereford						No
490	20425	2 1 1	01/22/21 16:45:00	Y 02/16/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
491	20426	3 1 1	01/22/21 18:25:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		1 ED	0								No
492	20427	1 1 1	01/22/21 18:30:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
493	20429	1 1 1	01/22/21 18:54:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		1 ED	0			E					No
494	20433	2 1 1	01/22/21 20:32:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		1 CH	0								No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
495	20437	3 1 1	01/22/21 23:27:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
496	20439	3 1 1	01/23/21 00:12:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0							No
497	20444	1 1 1	01/23/21 07:36:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
498	20449	3 1 1	01/23/21 10:50:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0							No
499	13086	1 1 1	01/23/21 13:28:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	COCHISE	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
500	20456	4 1 1	01/23/21 14:40:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
501	20458	2 1 1	01/23/21 15:53:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
502	20459	2 1 1	01/23/21 16:06:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
503	20460	1 1 1	01/23/21 16:13:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
504	20461	4 1 1	01/23/21 16:27:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0							No
505	20462	3 1 1	01/23/21 16:38:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
506	20463	1 1 1	01/23/21 18:46:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
507	20469	1 1 1	01/23/21 21:31:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0			E				No
508	20474	1 1 1	01/23/21 23:49:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
509	20475	4 1 1	01/24/21 00:40:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	1	Bisbee					No
510	20478	2 1 1	01/24/21 08:15:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
511	20480	1 1 1	01/24/21 09:00:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
512	20482	3 1 1	01/24/21 09:17:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0							No
513	20484	3 1 1	01/24/21 10:27:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0							No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
514	20492	1 1 1	01/24/21 13:49:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
515	20499	1 1 1	01/24/21 18:46:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
516	20501	3 1 1	01/24/21 19:40:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0							No
517	20504	2 1 1	01/24/21 21:52:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Huachuca City					No
518	20505	1 1 1	01/24/21 23:58:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
519	20511	2 1 1	01/25/21 09:00:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
520	20512	4 1 1	01/25/21 09:10:48	Y 02/16/21						B
	Graham	1 RS	0	6	Safford					No
521	20518	2 1 1	01/25/21 10:38:24	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
522	20519	3 1 1	01/25/21 10:50:26	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	3	Benson					No
523	20522	1 1 1	01/25/21 11:04:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	1 VT	0	4	Sierra Vista	E				No
524	20528	1 1 1	01/25/21 11:49:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
525	20529	3 1 1	01/25/21 11:52:21	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	3	Benson					No
526	20532	2 1 1	01/25/21 12:11:42	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	3	Benson					No
527	20535	3 1 1	01/25/21 12:18:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
528	20537	1 1 1	01/25/21 12:39:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0			E				No
529	20538	2 1 1	01/25/21 12:43:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0							No
530	20540	2 1 1	01/25/21 12:50:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
531	20542	1 1 1	01/25/21 12:56:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
532	20544	2 1 1	01/25/21 13:08:00	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
533	20546	1 1 1	01/25/21 13:16:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
534	20547	1 1 1	01/25/21 13:20:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		1 ED	0			E					No
535	20553	2 1 1	01/25/21 14:36:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		1 ED	0								No
536	20554	1 1 1	01/25/21 14:40:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
537	20558	1 1 1	01/25/21 15:19:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
538	20561	2 1 1	01/25/21 15:52:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		1 DV	0								No
539	20570	2 1 1	01/25/21 17:55:00	Y 02/17/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Hereford						No
540	20573	3 1 1	01/25/21 18:57:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		1 ED	0			E					No
541	20574	1 1 1	01/25/21 19:34:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		1 ED	0			EDH					No
542	20576	1 1 1	01/25/21 20:24:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		1 ED	0			EDH					No
543	20577	2 1 1	01/25/21 20:30:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
544	20585	3 1 1	01/26/21 00:40:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		1 ED	0								No
545	20595	3 1 1	01/26/21 09:56:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		1 ED	0								No
546	16329	1 1 1	01/26/21 10:22:00	Y 02/17/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	1	Bisbee						No
547	20601	2 1 1	01/26/21 11:57:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		1 CH	0								No
548	20605	1 1 1	01/26/21 13:24:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
549	20608	3 1 1	01/26/21 13:32:00	N 02/17/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	3	Benson						No
550	14105	2 1 1	01/26/21 15:12:00	Y 02/17/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
551	20612	1 1 1	01/26/21 15:29:00	Y 02/17/21							B
	Cochise	1 ED	0	4	Huachuca City	E					No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
552	20619	4 1 1	01/26/21 19:12:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		1 DV	0							No
553	20622	1 1 1	01/26/21 19:48:00	Y 02/17/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	3	St. David					No
554	20627	1 1 1	01/26/21 23:30:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		1 CH	0							No
555	20629	3 1 1	01/27/21 02:01:00	Y 02/17/21						B
	Graham	1 RS	0	6	Safford					No
556	20630	2 1 1	01/27/21 02:16:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		1 ED	0							No
557	20631	1 1 1	01/27/21 03:25:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		1 ED	0			E				No
558	20633	2 1 1	01/27/21 04:06:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
559	20641	1 1 1	01/27/21 11:26:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
560	20645	1 1 1	01/27/21 11:42:56	Y 02/17/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
561	20649	1 1 1	01/27/21 12:48:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
562	21084	1 1 1	01/27/21 13:25:31	Y 02/26/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
563	21081	1 1 1	01/27/21 13:35:10	Y 02/26/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	3	Benson					No
564	21079	1 1 1	01/27/21 13:46:45	Y 02/26/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	3	Benson					No
565	20652	3 1 1	01/27/21 14:20:23	Y 02/17/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
566	20653	1 1 1	01/27/21 14:20:30	Y 02/16/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
567	20664	1 1 1	01/27/21 15:38:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		1 ED	0			E				No
568	20667	2 1 1	01/27/21 17:06:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
569	21087	1 1 1	01/27/21 17:15:44	Y 02/26/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
570	20669	1 1 1	01/27/21 17:38:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
571	20670	2 1 1	01/27/21 18:20:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
572	20673	2 1 1	01/27/21 19:57:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
573	20674	2 1 1	01/27/21 20:03:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		1 DV	0								No
574	20675	3 1 1	01/27/21 20:14:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		1 DV	0								No
575	20681	1 1 1	01/27/21 23:12:00	Y 02/17/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
576	20690	3 1 1	01/28/21 08:14:00	Y 02/18/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
577	20691	2 1 1	01/28/21 09:34:07	Y 02/18/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
578	20695	3 1 1	01/28/21 12:01:56	Y 02/18/21							B
	Graham	1 RS	0	6	Safford						No
579	20704	3 1 1	01/28/21 13:57:00	Y 02/18/21							B
	Graham	1 RS	0	6	Safford						No
580	20705	2 1 1	01/28/21 14:02:00	Y 02/18/21							B
	Graham	1 RS	0	6	Safford						No
581	20707	2 1 1	01/28/21 14:08:01	Y 02/18/21							B
	Graham	1 RS	0	6	Safford						No
582	20711	1 1 1	01/28/21 14:37:00	Y 02/18/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
583	20713	3 1 1	01/28/21 15:33:00	Y 02/18/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	3	Tombstone						No
584	20715	1 1 1	01/28/21 16:06:00	Y 02/18/21							B
		1 ED	0			E					No
585	20716	4 1 1	01/28/21 16:21:00	Y 02/18/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
586	20720	1 1 1	01/28/21 17:21:00	Y 02/18/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
587	20725	3 1 1	01/28/21 20:01:00	Y 02/18/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	1	Naco						No
588	20731	1 1 1	01/28/21 21:53:00	Y 02/18/21							B
		1 ED	0			E					No
589	20733	1 1 1	01/29/21 00:19:00	Y 02/18/21							B
		1 ED	0			E					No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
590	20734	2 1 1	01/29/21 00:20:00	Y 02/18/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
591	20735	1 1 1	01/29/21 00:42:00	Y 02/18/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
592	20736	1 1 1	01/29/21 00:56:00	Y 02/18/21						B
		1 ED	0							No
593	20742	1 1 1	01/29/21 08:44:00	Y 02/18/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
594	20743	1 1 1	01/29/21 09:56:00	Y 02/18/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
595	19643	1 1 1	01/29/21 10:28:13	Y 02/18/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista	D				No
596	12140	1 1 1	01/29/21 13:29:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		1 ED	0			EDH				No
597	20750	1 1 1	01/29/21 13:43:00	Y 02/18/21						B
		1 ED	0			E				No
598	20760	1 1 1	01/29/21 15:44:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
599	20762	1 1 1	01/29/21 15:57:14	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
600	20765	2 1 1	01/29/21 17:35:00	Y 02/23/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	1	Bisbee					No
601	20767	2 1 1	01/29/21 19:43:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
602	18409	3 1 1	01/29/21 19:49:00	Y 02/23/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
603	20774	2 1 1	01/30/21 04:12:00	Y 02/23/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
604	20778	3 1 1	01/30/21 11:52:00	Y 02/23/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
605	20785	2 1 1	01/30/21 15:37:00	Y 02/23/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	3	Benson					No
606	20786	2 1 1	01/30/21 15:40:00	Y 02/23/21						B
	Graham	1 RS	0	6	Safford					No
607	20789	2 1 1	01/30/21 18:14:00	Y 02/23/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
608	20790	1 1 1	01/30/21 18:24:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
609	20792	1 1 1	01/30/21 20:51:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
610	20795	1 1 1	01/30/21 21:28:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
611	20798	2 1 1	01/30/21 23:21:00	Y 02/23/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
612	20804	1 1 1	01/31/21 09:30:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
613	20810	3 1 1	01/31/21 11:44:00	Y 02/23/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
614	20814	2 1 1	01/31/21 13:32:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
615	20818	4 1 1	01/31/21 14:53:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
616	20819	1 1 1	01/31/21 15:20:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
617	20821	1 1 1	01/31/21 16:47:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ID	0							No
618	20823	1 1 1	01/31/21 18:26:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
619	20826	1 1 1	01/31/21 19:35:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			E				No
620	20833	1 1 1	01/31/21 20:46:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			EDH				No
621	20836	1 1 1	01/31/21 21:03:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
622	20841	4 1 1	01/31/21 23:58:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 CH	0							No
623	20844	3 1 1	02/01/21 01:52:00	Y 02/23/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	2	Douglas					No
624	20845	1 1 1	02/01/21 05:35:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
625	20848	1 1 1	02/01/21 07:51:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
626	15002	4 1 1	02/01/21 07:57:00	Y 02/23/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
627	20851	1 1 1	02/01/21 08:30:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			EDH				No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
628	20852	1 1 1	02/01/21 08:58:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
629	20855	3 1 1	02/01/21 09:15:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
630	20856	1 1 1	02/01/21 09:45:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
631	20859	1 1 1	02/01/21 10:04:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0							No
632	20860	2 1 1	02/01/21 10:06:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
633	20862	3 1 1	02/01/21 10:15:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		1 DV	0							No
634	20863	3 1 1	02/01/21 10:20:19	Y 02/23/21						B
	Graham	1 RS	0	6	Safford					No
635	20865	2 1 1	02/01/21 10:23:00	Y 02/22/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
636	20873	1 1 1	02/01/21 10:31:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 VT	0							No
637	20874	2 1 1	02/01/21 10:32:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
638	14258	3 1 1	02/01/21 10:33:17	Y 02/24/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
639	20877	2 1 1	02/01/21 10:36:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
640	20880	1 1 1	02/01/21 10:39:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
641	15213	5 1 1	02/01/21 10:43:54	Y 02/24/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
642	20889	3 1 1	02/01/21 10:52:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0							No
643	20890	3 1 1	02/01/21 10:52:01	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
644	20895	1 1 1	02/01/21 11:02:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
645	20896	2 1 1	02/01/21 11:02:01	Y 02/24/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Huachuca City					No
646	20898	2 1 1	02/01/21 11:05:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
647	20903	4 1 1	02/01/21 11:19:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
648	20908	3 1 1	02/01/21 11:46:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0							No
649	20916	3 1 1	02/01/21 12:12:01	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
650	20921	1 1 1	02/01/21 12:32:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			E				No
651	20922	1 1 1	02/01/21 12:37:35	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
652	20925	1 1 1	02/01/21 12:40:07	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			E				No
653	20926	1 1 1	02/01/21 12:41:05	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			E				No
654	16064	3 1 1	02/01/21 12:42:37	Y 02/24/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
655	20928	1 1 1	02/01/21 12:42:55	Y 02/24/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	3	Benson					No
656	20929	1 1 1	02/01/21 12:43:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
657	20930	1 1 1	02/01/21 12:43:01	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
658	20931	3 1 1	02/01/21 12:46:00	Y 02/24/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	2	Douglas					No
659	20934	4 1 1	02/01/21 13:08:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			EDH				No
660	20936	1 1 1	02/01/21 13:15:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
661	20939	4 1 1	02/01/21 13:20:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 DV	0							No
662	20947	2 1 1	02/01/21 14:05:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
663	20951	2 1 1	02/01/21 14:12:44	Y 02/24/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Hereford					No
664	20952	1 1 1	02/01/21 14:17:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
665	20953	1 1 1	02/01/21 14:17:01	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
666	20955	4 1 1	02/01/21 14:33:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 CH	0							No
667	20959	1 1 1	02/01/21 15:18:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			EDH				No
668	20960	2 1 1	02/01/21 15:25:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			E				No
669	20961	3 1 1	02/01/21 15:25:01	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
670	19081	3 1 1	02/01/21 15:29:10	Y 02/24/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
671	20963	1 1 1	02/01/21 15:33:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			EDH				No
672	20964	1 1 1	02/01/21 15:34:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
673	20971	2 1 1	02/01/21 16:09:01	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
674	20973	3 1 1	02/01/21 16:18:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
675	20974	1 1 1	02/01/21 16:21:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
676	20975	3 1 1	02/01/21 16:25:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0							No
677	20976	3 1 1	02/01/21 16:28:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0							No
678	20978	1 1 1	02/01/21 16:54:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
679	20979	1 1 1	02/01/21 17:07:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
680	20980	1 1 1	02/01/21 17:08:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			E				No
681	20982	3 1 1	02/01/21 17:15:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0							No
682	20983	3 1 1	02/01/21 17:19:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
683	20986	1 1 1	02/01/21 17:51:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
684	20987	2 1 1	02/01/21 18:02:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			E				No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
685	20993	1 1 1	02/01/21 20:48:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
686	20996	3 1 1	02/01/21 21:02:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		1 ED	0								No
687	21075	1 1 1	02/01/21 21:33:00	Y 02/26/21							B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No
688	21004	1 1 1	02/01/21 22:05:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
689	21006	2 1 1	02/01/21 22:15:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		1 ED	0			EDH					No
690	21012	1 1 1	02/01/21 23:21:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
691	21017	1 1 1	02/02/21 00:56:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		1 ED	0			E					No
692	21019	1 1 1	02/02/21 01:20:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
693	21020	2 1 1	02/02/21 01:38:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
694	21023	1 1 1	02/02/21 03:20:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
695	21026	1 1 1	02/02/21 05:29:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
696	21027	1 1 1	02/02/21 05:39:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		1 ED	0			EDH					No
697	21028	2 1 1	02/02/21 06:31:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
698	21032	3 1 1	02/02/21 08:38:01	Y 02/25/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
699	21036	1 1 1	02/02/21 09:16:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
700	21038	1 1 1	02/02/21 09:27:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		1 ED	0			D					No
701	21040	2 1 1	02/02/21 09:29:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		1 ED	0								No
702	21077	1 1 1	02/02/21 09:33:00	Y 02/26/21							B
		1 ED	0			EDH					No
703	12613	3 1 1	02/02/21 09:58:01	Y 02/25/21							B
	COCHISE	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista						No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
704	21044	1 1 1	02/02/21 10:22:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
705	21049	2 1 1	02/02/21 11:06:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
706	21053	2 1 1	02/02/21 11:19:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
707	21054	2 1 1	02/02/21 11:21:26	Y 02/25/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
708	21055	1 1 1	02/02/21 11:21:35	Y 02/25/21						B
	Graham	1 RS	0	6	Safford					No
709	21058	2 1 1	02/02/21 11:32:02	Y 02/24/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
710	21059	1 1 1	02/02/21 11:35:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		1 VT	0							No
711	21060	1 1 1	02/02/21 11:35:01	Y 02/24/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
712	21064	3 1 1	02/02/21 11:43:31	Y 02/25/21						B
	Graham	1 RS	0	6	Safford					No
713	21065	3 1 1	02/02/21 11:43:40	Y 02/25/21						B
	Graham	1 RS	0	6	Safford					No
714	21066	2 1 1	02/02/21 11:43:49	Y 02/25/21						B
	Graham	1 RS	0	6	Safford					No
715	21067	2 1 1	02/02/21 11:44:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
716	21070	1 1 1	02/02/21 11:51:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
717	21088	1 1 1	02/02/21 12:01:00	Y 02/26/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
718	21089	1 1 1	02/02/21 12:06:00	Y 02/26/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
719	21090	2 1 1	02/02/21 12:13:00	Y 02/26/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
720	21095	1 1 1	02/02/21 12:42:00	Y 02/26/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
721	21096	1 1 1	02/02/21 12:49:00	Y 02/26/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
722	21102	2 1 1	02/02/21 13:17:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
723	21076	1 1 1	02/02/21 14:02:00	Y 02/26/21						B
		1 ED	0			EDH				No
724	21116	1 1 1	02/02/21 14:06:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
725	12471	2 1 1	02/02/21 14:11:00	Y 03/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	1	Bisbee					No
726	21119	2 1 1	02/02/21 14:31:23	Y 03/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Huachuca City					No
727	21125	3 1 1	02/02/21 14:48:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		1 ED	0							No
728	21127	1 1 1	02/02/21 14:59:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
729	21128	4 1 1	02/02/21 15:02:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		1 ED	0							No
730	21129	1 1 1	02/02/21 15:12:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
731	21130	2 1 1	02/02/21 15:12:48	Y 03/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
732	21137	2 1 1	02/02/21 15:44:28	Y 03/01/21						B
	Graham	1 RS	0	6	Safford					No
733	21138	2 1 1	02/02/21 15:45:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		1 ED	0							No
734	21139	2 1 1	02/02/21 15:47:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
735	15242	3 1 1	02/02/21 15:56:46	Y 03/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	6	Safford					No
736	21143	2 1 1	02/02/21 16:33:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		1 ED	0			D				No
737	21144	1 1 1	02/02/21 16:37:51	Y 03/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	1	Bisbee					No
738	16834	3 1 1	02/02/21 16:46:00	Y 03/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
739	21147	3 1 1	02/02/21 16:49:00	Y 03/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	1	Bisbee					No
740	21146	2 1 1	02/02/21 16:53:00	Y 03/01/21						B
	Cochise	1 RS	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
741	19843	1 1 0	01/19/21 08:33:01	Y 01/21/21						B
		0	0							No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
742	19844	3 1 0	01/19/21 08:33:02	Y 01/21/21							B
		0	0								No
743	19846	1 1 0	01/19/21 08:34:01	Y 01/21/21							B
		0	0								No
744	19852	4 1 0	01/19/21 08:39:00	Y 01/21/21							B
		0	0								No
745	19853	1 1 0	01/19/21 08:41:00	Y 01/21/21							B
		0	0								No
746	19866	1 1 0	01/19/21 08:46:01	Y 01/21/21							B
		0	0								No
747	19875	1 1 0	01/19/21 08:53:00	Y 01/21/21							B
		0	0								No
748	19880	2 1 0	01/19/21 08:55:00	Y 01/21/21							B
		0	0								No
749	19882	3 1 0	01/19/21 08:59:00	Y 01/21/21							B
		0	0								No
750	19884	2 1 0	01/19/21 08:59:02	Y 01/21/21							B
		0	0								No
751	19889	4 1 0	01/19/21 09:06:00	Y 01/21/21							B
		0	0								No
752	19890	3 1 0	01/19/21 09:06:01	Y 01/21/21							B
		0	0								No
753	14993	2 1 0	01/19/21 09:08:02	Y 01/21/21							B
		0	0								No
754	19901	2 1 0	01/19/21 09:14:03	Y 01/21/21							B
		0	0								No
755	19903	2 1 0	01/19/21 09:15:01	Y 01/21/21							B
		0	0								No
756	19914	1 1 0	01/19/21 09:25:00	Y 01/22/21							B
		0	0								No
757	19917	1 1 0	01/19/21 09:29:00	Y 01/22/21							B
		0	0								No
758	19918	2 1 0	01/19/21 09:30:00	Y 01/22/21							B
		0	0								No
759	19936	3 1 0	01/19/21 09:34:00	Y 01/22/21							B
		0	0			D					No
760	19938	1 1 0	01/19/21 09:35:01	Y 01/22/21							B
		0	0								No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
761	19941	3 1 0	01/19/21 09:36:02	Y 01/22/21							B
		0	0								No
762	19942	3 1 0	01/19/21 09:37:00	Y 01/22/21							B
		0	0								No
763	19944	3 1 0	01/19/21 09:37:02	Y 01/29/21							B
		0	0								No
764	19948	2 1 0	01/19/21 09:42:00	Y 01/29/21							B
		0	0								No
765	19925	1 1 0	01/19/21 09:51:00	Y 01/22/21							B
		0	0								No
766	19926	1 1 0	01/19/21 09:51:01	Y 01/22/21							B
		0	0								No
767	19929	3 1 0	01/19/21 09:55:00	Y 01/22/21							B
		0	0								No
768	19931	1 1 0	01/19/21 09:57:00	Y 01/22/21							B
		0	0								No
769	19933	3 1 0	01/19/21 10:02:00	Y 01/22/21							B
		0	0								No
770	19935	3 1 0	01/19/21 10:07:00	Y 01/22/21							B
		0	0								No
771	19951	3 1 0	01/19/21 10:08:01	Y 01/29/21							B
		0	0								No
772	19954	1 1 0	01/19/21 10:11:01	Y 01/29/21							B
		0	0								No
773	19965	1 1 0	01/19/21 10:25:00	Y 02/01/21							B
		0	0								No
774	19967	2 1 0	01/19/21 10:27:00	Y 02/01/21							B
		0	0								No
775	19973	4 1 0	01/19/21 10:33:00	Y 02/01/21							B
		0	0								No
776	19980	3 1 0	01/19/21 10:40:00	Y 02/01/21							B
		0	0								No
777	19981	3 1 0	01/19/21 10:42:00	Y 02/01/21							B
		0	0								No
778	19982	3 1 0	01/19/21 10:44:00	Y 02/01/21							B
		0	0								No
779	19983	1 1 0	01/19/21 10:44:01	Y 02/01/21							B
		0	0			D					No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
780	19985	1 1 0	01/19/21 10:45:01	Y 02/01/21						B
		0	0							No
781	19994	3 1 0	01/19/21 10:55:01	Y 02/01/21						B
		0	0							No
782	19997	2 1 0	01/19/21 11:02:00	Y 02/01/21						B
		0	0							No
783	19998	2 1 0	01/19/21 11:03:00	Y 02/01/21						B
		0	0							No
784	19999	1 1 0	01/19/21 11:05:00	Y 02/01/21						B
		0	0							No
785	20002	2 1 0	01/19/21 11:09:00	Y 02/01/21						B
		0	0							No
786	20003	1 1 0	01/19/21 11:17:00	Y 02/01/21						B
		0	0							No
787	20005	2 1 0	01/19/21 11:20:00	Y 02/01/21						B
		0	0							No
788	20007	2 1 0	01/19/21 11:21:00	Y 02/01/21						B
		0	0							No
789	20009	3 1 0	01/19/21 11:29:00	Y 02/01/21						B
		0	0							No
790	20014	1 1 0	01/19/21 11:39:00	Y 02/01/21						B
	Cochise	0	0	4	Sierra Vista					No
791	20017	3 1 0	01/19/21 11:43:00	Y 02/01/21						B
		0	0							No
792	20018	2 1 0	01/19/21 11:43:01	Y 02/01/21						B
		0	0							No
793	20026	3 1 0	01/19/21 12:05:00	Y 02/02/21						B
		0	0							No
794	20027	2 1 0	01/19/21 12:06:00	Y 02/02/21						B
		0	0							No
795	20030	3 1 0	01/19/21 12:30:00	Y 02/02/21						B
		0	0							No
796	20031	1 1 0	01/19/21 12:32:00	Y 02/02/21						B
		0	0							No
797	20032	2 1 0	01/19/21 12:32:01	Y 02/02/21						B
		0	0							No
798	20034	1 1 0	01/19/21 12:50:00	Y 02/02/21						B
		0	0							No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
799	20035	1 1 0	01/19/21 13:05:00	Y 02/02/21							B
		0	0								No
800	20039	3 1 0	01/19/21 13:09:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
801	20028	3 1 0	01/19/21 13:11:00	Y 02/02/21							B
		0	0								No
802	19539	3 1 0	01/19/21 13:15:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
803	20041	2 1 0	01/19/21 13:15:01	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
804	15552	1 1 0	01/19/21 13:28:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
805	20048	1 1 0	01/19/21 13:41:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
806	20049	1 1 0	01/19/21 13:44:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
807	20052	3 1 0	01/19/21 13:49:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
808	20055	1 1 0	01/19/21 13:53:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
809	20057	2 1 0	01/19/21 13:56:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
810	20060	2 1 0	01/19/21 14:01:01	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
811	20061	1 1 0	01/19/21 14:05:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
812	20063	1 1 0	01/19/21 14:09:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
813	20072	3 1 0	01/19/21 14:12:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
814	20073	1 1 0	01/19/21 14:12:01	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
815	20075	3 1 0	01/19/21 14:14:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
816	20077	2 1 0	01/19/21 14:18:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
817	20081	2 1 0	01/19/21 14:23:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
818	20178	1 1 0	01/19/21 14:49:00	Y 02/09/21							B
		0	0								No
819	20087	3 1 0	01/19/21 14:56:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
820	20088	2 1 0	01/19/21 14:57:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
821	20089	2 1 0	01/19/21 15:00:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
822	20091	2 1 0	01/19/21 15:03:01	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
823	20107	1 1 0	01/19/21 15:36:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
824	20108	2 1 0	01/19/21 15:39:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
825	20109	2 1 0	01/19/21 15:40:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
826	20110	2 1 0	01/19/21 15:44:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
827	20096	3 1 0	01/19/21 15:53:01	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
828	20098	3 1 0	01/19/21 15:57:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
829	20099	1 1 0	01/19/21 15:59:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
830	20114	2 1 0	01/19/21 16:07:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
831	20115	3 1 0	01/19/21 16:12:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
832	20119	2 1 0	01/19/21 16:18:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
833	20120	2 1 0	01/19/21 16:25:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
834	20122	3 1 0	01/19/21 16:34:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
835	20123	1 1 0	01/19/21 16:36:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
836	20128	2 1 0	01/19/21 17:08:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
837	20130	1 1 0	01/19/21 17:23:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
838	20132	2 1 0	01/19/21 17:33:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
839	20141	2 1 0	01/19/21 18:27:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
840	20144	2 1 0	01/19/21 18:51:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
841	20145	2 1 0	01/19/21 18:55:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
842	20155	3 1 0	01/19/21 20:23:01	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
843	20157	2 1 0	01/19/21 20:42:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
844	20162	3 1 0	01/19/21 21:23:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
845	20163	2 1 0	01/19/21 21:31:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
846	20166	1 1 0	01/19/21 22:17:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
847	20167	3 1 0	01/19/21 22:19:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
848	20168	2 1 0	01/19/21 23:03:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
849	20171	4 1 0	01/19/21 23:46:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
850	20172	1 1 0	01/19/21 23:47:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
851	20173	2 1 0	01/19/21 23:53:00	Y 02/04/21							B
		0	0								No
852	20179	3 1 0	01/20/21 00:20:00	Y 02/09/21							B
		0	0								No
853	20180	2 1 0	01/20/21 00:26:00	Y 02/09/21							B
		0	0								No
854	20184	2 1 0	01/20/21 01:35:00	Y 02/09/21							B
		0	0								No
855	20185	5 1 0	01/20/21 01:49:00	Y 02/09/21							B
		0	0								No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
856	20188	3 1 0	01/20/21 03:17:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		0	0							No
857	20189	1 1 0	01/20/21 03:25:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		0	0							No
858	20190	4 1 0	01/20/21 04:09:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		0	0							No
859	20193	3 1 0	01/20/21 05:42:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		0	0							No
860	20194	1 1 0	01/20/21 05:52:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		0	0							No
861	20195	1 1 0	01/20/21 06:02:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		0	0							No
862	20197	4 1 0	01/20/21 06:17:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		0	0							No
863	20198	1 1 0	01/20/21 06:18:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		0	0							No
864	20199	3 1 0	01/20/21 06:26:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		0	0							No
865	20200	4 1 0	01/20/21 06:33:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		0	0							No
866	20202	2 1 0	01/20/21 07:02:46	Y 02/09/21						B
		0	0							No
867	20203	3 1 0	01/20/21 07:04:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		0	0							No
868	20209	1 1 0	01/20/21 08:01:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		0	0							No
869	20212	1 1 0	01/20/21 08:40:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		0	0							No
870	20214	2 1 0	01/20/21 09:13:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		0	0							No
871	20219	2 1 0	01/20/21 09:46:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		0	0							No
872	20220	3 1 0	01/20/21 09:49:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		0	0							No
873	20223	3 1 0	01/20/21 09:56:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		0	0							No
874	20224	2 1 0	01/20/21 10:06:00	Y 02/09/21						B
		0	0							No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
875	20227	2 1 0	01/20/21 10:12:01	Y 02/09/21							B
		0	0								No
876	20230	1 1 0	01/20/21 10:26:00	Y 02/09/21							B
		0	0								No
877	20231	2 1 0	01/20/21 10:37:00	Y 02/09/21							B
		0	0								No
878	20237	2 1 0	01/20/21 11:28:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
879	20240	4 1 0	01/20/21 11:55:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
880	20242	2 1 0	01/20/21 12:16:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
881	20244	3 1 0	01/20/21 12:22:01	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
882	20248	1 1 0	01/20/21 13:06:00	Y 02/09/21							B
		0	0								No
883	20251	2 1 0	01/20/21 13:44:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
884	20252	5 1 0	01/20/21 13:57:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
885	20254	1 1 0	01/20/21 14:16:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
886	20255	1 1 0	01/20/21 14:27:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
887	20261	1 1 0	01/20/21 14:56:01	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
888	20262	1 1 0	01/20/21 15:04:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
889	20263	1 1 0	01/20/21 15:15:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
890	20266	2 1 0	01/20/21 15:39:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
891	20268	2 1 0	01/20/21 16:05:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
892	20269	3 1 0	01/20/21 16:09:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
893	20272	2 1 0	01/20/21 17:07:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
894	20276	1 1 0	01/20/21 17:54:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
895	20277	4 1 0	01/20/21 18:05:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
896	20278	1 1 0	01/20/21 18:32:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
897	20281	3 1 0	01/20/21 18:52:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
898	20282	1 1 0	01/20/21 18:54:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
899	20283	2 1 0	01/20/21 19:17:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
900	20284	2 1 0	01/20/21 19:17:01	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
901	20287	2 1 0	01/20/21 20:16:00	Y 02/10/21							B
		0	0								No
902	20290	2 1 0	01/20/21 21:08:00	Y 02/11/21							B
		0	0								No
903	20292	2 1 0	01/20/21 21:57:00	Y 02/11/21							B
		0	0								No
904	20293	4 1 0	01/20/21 22:22:00	Y 02/11/21							B
		0	0								No
905	20294	1 1 0	01/20/21 22:43:00	Y 02/11/21							B
		0	0								No
906	20296	3 1 0	01/20/21 23:35:00	Y 02/11/21							B
		0	0								No
907	20305	2 1 0	01/21/21 03:37:00	Y 02/11/21							B
		0	0								No
908	20308	3 1 0	01/21/21 06:45:00	Y 02/11/21							B
		0	0								No
909	20310	2 1 0	01/21/21 08:23:00	Y 02/11/21							B
		0	0								No
910	20312	2 1 0	01/21/21 09:09:00	Y 02/11/21							B
		0	0								No
911	20314	3 1 0	01/21/21 09:14:00	Y 02/11/21							B
		0	0								No
912	20322	2 1 0	01/21/21 10:50:01	Y 02/11/21							B
		0	0								No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
913	20324	2 1 0	01/21/21 10:55:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
914	20325	1 1 0	01/21/21 11:08:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
915	20327	2 1 0	01/21/21 11:15:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
916	20329	2 1 0	01/21/21 11:26:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
917	20331	2 1 0	01/21/21 11:47:55	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
918	20332	2 1 0	01/21/21 12:00:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
919	20339	1 1 0	01/21/21 13:11:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
920	20348	2 1 0	01/21/21 14:32:30	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
921	20349	2 1 0	01/21/21 14:39:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
922	20351	2 1 0	01/21/21 14:47:01	Y 02/11/21						B
		0	0							No
923	20354	2 1 0	01/21/21 15:28:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
924	20355	1 1 0	01/21/21 16:20:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
925	20357	3 1 0	01/21/21 16:47:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
926	20358	3 1 0	01/21/21 16:49:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
927	20361	3 1 0	01/21/21 17:18:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
928	20362	2 1 0	01/21/21 17:24:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
929	20367	2 1 0	01/21/21 18:02:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
930	20370	3 1 0	01/21/21 19:33:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
931	20371	2 1 0	01/21/21 20:23:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0			D				No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
932	20373	3 1 0	01/21/21 20:43:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
933	20375	2 1 0	01/21/21 22:12:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
934	20377	3 1 0	01/21/21 23:43:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
935	20382	2 1 0	01/22/21 02:28:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
936	20383	3 1 0	01/22/21 03:39:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
937	20384	2 1 0	01/22/21 03:54:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
938	20385	1 1 0	01/22/21 04:49:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
939	20388	4 1 0	01/22/21 06:43:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
940	20390	2 1 0	01/22/21 07:30:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
941	20391	1 1 0	01/22/21 07:40:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
942	20392	2 1 0	01/22/21 07:42:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
943	20394	3 1 0	01/22/21 09:09:00	Y 02/12/21						B
		0	0							No
944	20404	2 1 0	01/22/21 10:08:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
945	20405	2 1 0	01/22/21 10:09:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
946	20406	2 1 0	01/22/21 10:17:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
947	20408	2 1 0	01/22/21 11:09:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
948	20409	3 1 0	01/22/21 11:12:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
949	20410	2 1 0	01/22/21 11:40:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
950	20412	2 1 0	01/22/21 11:45:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

Applicant#		VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
951	20417	2 1 0	01/22/21 13:06:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
952	20418	2 1 0	01/22/21 13:15:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
953	20419	3 1 0	01/22/21 14:22:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
954	20420	1 1 0	01/22/21 14:50:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
955	20423	3 1 0	01/22/21 16:10:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
956	20424	4 1 0	01/22/21 16:13:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
957	20428	1 1 0	01/22/21 18:35:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
958	20430	3 1 0	01/22/21 19:04:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
959	20434	3 1 0	01/22/21 21:03:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
960	20435	3 1 0	01/22/21 21:56:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
961	20436	3 1 0	01/22/21 22:50:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
962	20438	3 1 0	01/23/21 00:00:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
963	20440	2 1 0	01/23/21 00:50:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
964	20441	2 1 0	01/23/21 02:47:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
965	20442	1 1 0	01/23/21 03:20:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
966	20443	1 1 0	01/23/21 06:39:00	Y 02/15/21						B
		0	0							No
967	20446	1 1 0	01/23/21 08:23:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
968	20447	3 1 0	01/23/21 09:19:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
969	20450	1 1 0	01/23/21 10:56:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
970	20451	1 1 0	01/23/21 11:01:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
971	20453	3 1 0	01/23/21 13:03:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
972	20454	2 1 0	01/23/21 13:34:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
973	20455	1 1 0	01/23/21 14:10:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
974	20457	2 1 0	01/23/21 14:49:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
975	20464	1 1 0	01/23/21 19:15:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
976	20468	2 1 0	01/23/21 21:24:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
977	20470	1 1 0	01/23/21 21:44:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
978	20471	1 1 0	01/23/21 22:02:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
979	20477	2 1 0	01/24/21 07:57:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
980	20479	2 1 0	01/24/21 08:44:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
981	20481	3 1 0	01/24/21 09:02:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
982	20483	2 1 0	01/24/21 10:01:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
983	20486	2 1 0	01/24/21 11:02:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
984	20487	2 1 0	01/24/21 11:08:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
985	20488	2 1 0	01/24/21 11:22:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
986	20489	1 1 0	01/24/21 12:02:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
987	20490	2 1 0	01/24/21 13:12:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No
988	20491	3 1 0	01/24/21 13:37:00	Y 02/16/21						B
		0	0							No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
989	20493	3 1 0	01/24/21 14:27:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
990	20494	1 1 0	01/24/21 15:54:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
991	20495	1 1 0	01/24/21 17:05:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
992	20496	3 1 0	01/24/21 17:33:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
993	20497	3 1 0	01/24/21 18:24:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
994	20500	1 1 0	01/24/21 19:39:00	N 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
995	20506	3 1 0	01/25/21 00:41:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
996	20508	2 1 0	01/25/21 06:35:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
997	20509	2 1 0	01/25/21 07:37:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
998	20513	1 1 0	01/25/21 09:16:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
999	20514	3 1 0	01/25/21 09:31:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
1000	20515	4 1 0	01/25/21 09:47:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
1001	20517	3 1 0	01/25/21 10:17:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
1002	20521	3 1 0	01/25/21 11:00:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
1003	20523	4 1 0	01/25/21 11:07:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
1004	20533	3 1 0	01/25/21 12:12:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
1005	20534	1 1 0	01/25/21 12:14:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
1006	20536	1 1 0	01/25/21 12:30:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
1007	20539	1 1 0	01/25/21 12:47:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
1008	20545	2 1 0	01/25/21 13:13:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
1009	20549	3 1 0	01/25/21 14:08:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
1010	20555	3 1 0	01/25/21 14:48:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
1011	20556	2 1 0	01/25/21 15:03:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
1012	20557	2 1 0	01/25/21 15:08:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
1013	20559	1 1 0	01/25/21 15:28:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
1014	20560	1 1 0	01/25/21 15:43:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
1015	20563	3 1 0	01/25/21 16:12:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1016	20567	2 1 0	01/25/21 17:14:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1017	20568	2 1 0	01/25/21 17:26:00	N							B
		0	0								No
1018	17145	3 1 0	01/25/21 17:45:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1019	20569	3 1 0	01/25/21 17:51:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1020	20571	1 1 0	01/25/21 18:02:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1021	20572	2 1 0	01/25/21 18:37:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1022	20575	3 1 0	01/25/21 19:36:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1023	20578	2 1 0	01/25/21 20:51:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1024	20579	2 1 0	01/25/21 21:00:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1025	20580	3 1 0	01/25/21 21:03:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1026	20581	1 1 0	01/25/21 21:54:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
1027	20582	3 1 0	01/25/21 22:28:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1028	20583	2 1 0	01/25/21 22:33:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1029	20584	2 1 0	01/26/21 00:10:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1030	20586	1 1 0	01/26/21 01:47:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1031	20587	1 1 0	01/26/21 03:37:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1032	20588	1 1 0	01/26/21 06:28:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1033	20589	2 1 0	01/26/21 07:01:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1034	20590	3 1 0	01/26/21 07:26:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1035	20591	1 1 0	01/26/21 08:53:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1036	20592	1 1 0	01/26/21 08:56:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
1037	20593	4 1 0	01/26/21 09:39:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1038	20594	2 1 0	01/26/21 09:53:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1039	20596	2 1 0	01/26/21 09:56:01	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1040	20597	3 1 0	01/26/21 10:54:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1041	20598	2 1 0	01/26/21 11:07:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1042	20599	3 1 0	01/26/21 11:08:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1043	20074	3 1 0	01/26/21 11:17:00	Y 02/03/21							B
		0	0								No
1044	20600	2 1 0	01/26/21 11:28:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1045	20602	1 1 0	01/26/21 11:58:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
1046	20604	3 1 0	01/26/21 12:54:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1047	20606	2 1 0	01/26/21 13:25:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1048	20607	3 1 0	01/26/21 13:26:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1049	20609	3 1 0	01/26/21 13:41:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1050	20610	2 1 0	01/26/21 14:25:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1051	20611	1 1 0	01/26/21 15:13:01	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1052	20613	1 1 0	01/26/21 16:13:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1053	20614	1 1 0	01/26/21 16:43:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0			D					No
1054	20615	3 1 0	01/26/21 17:12:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1055	20616	3 1 0	01/26/21 17:18:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1056	20617	3 1 0	01/26/21 17:24:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1057	20618	2 1 0	01/26/21 18:10:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1058	20620	1 1 0	01/26/21 19:36:00	Y 02/16/21							B
		0	0								No
1059	20621	1 1 0	01/26/21 19:36:01	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1060	20623	2 1 0	01/26/21 20:21:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1061	20624	2 1 0	01/26/21 20:26:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1062	20626	2 1 0	01/26/21 22:37:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1063	20628	1 1 0	01/27/21 00:39:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0			D					No
1064	20634	3 1 0	01/27/21 04:23:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
1065	20635	3 1 0	01/27/21 05:50:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		0	0							No
1066	20636	3 1 0	01/27/21 07:34:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		0	0							No
1067	20637	3 1 0	01/27/21 07:41:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		0	0							No
1068	20639	4 1 0	01/27/21 08:47:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		0	0							No
1069	20642	2 1 0	01/27/21 11:36:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		0	0							No
1070	20647	3 1 0	01/27/21 11:56:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		0	0							No
1071	20656	2 1 0	01/27/21 14:47:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		0	0							No
1072	20657	2 1 0	01/27/21 14:49:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		0	0							No
1073	20658	2 1 0	01/27/21 14:56:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		0	0							No
1074	20659	4 1 0	01/27/21 15:01:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		0	0							No
1075	20660	1 1 0	01/27/21 15:11:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		0	0							No
1076	20661	2 1 0	01/27/21 15:17:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		0	0							No
1077	20662	3 1 0	01/27/21 15:22:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		0	0							No
1078	20663	1 1 0	01/27/21 15:27:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		0	0							No
1079	20666	2 1 0	01/27/21 16:25:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		0	0							No
1080	21085	2 1 0	01/27/21 16:46:21	Y 02/26/21						B
		0	0							No
1081	20668	1 1 0	01/27/21 17:11:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		0	0							No
1082	20672	3 1 0	01/27/21 19:35:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		0	0							No
1083	20676	2 1 0	01/27/21 20:40:00	Y 02/17/21						B
		0	0							No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
1084	20677	2 1 0	01/27/21 20:48:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1085	20678	2 1 0	01/27/21 20:57:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1086	20680	2 1 0	01/27/21 21:35:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1087	20682	4 1 0	01/27/21 23:29:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1088	20732	3 1 0	01/28/21 00:01:00	Y 02/18/21							B
		0	0								No
1089	20685	3 1 0	01/28/21 04:21:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1090	20686	3 1 0	01/28/21 05:35:00	Y 02/17/21							B
		0	0								No
1091	20689	2 1 0	01/28/21 07:53:00	Y 02/18/21							B
		0	0								No
1092	20692	2 1 0	01/28/21 09:49:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		0	0								No
1093	20693	2 1 0	01/28/21 10:41:00	Y 02/18/21							B
		0	0								No
1094	20696	3 1 0	01/28/21 12:24:00	Y 02/18/21							B
		0	0								No
1095	20697	3 1 0	01/28/21 12:53:00	Y 02/18/21							B
		0	0								No
1096	20699	2 1 0	01/28/21 13:24:00	Y 02/18/21							B
		0	0								No
1097	20703	1 1 0	01/28/21 13:56:00	Y 02/18/21							B
		0	0								No
1098	20714	1 1 0	01/28/21 15:49:00	Y 02/18/21							B
		0	0								No
1099	20718	4 1 0	01/28/21 17:06:00	Y 02/18/21							B
		0	0								No
1100	20721	2 1 0	01/28/21 17:58:00	Y 02/18/21							B
		0	0								No
1101	20726	2 1 0	01/28/21 21:09:00	Y 02/18/21							B
		0	0								No
1102	20727	2 1 0	01/28/21 21:28:00	Y 02/18/21							B
		0	0								No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
1103	20728	3 1 0	01/28/21 21:30:00	Y 02/18/21						B
		0	0							No
1104	20729	3 1 0	01/28/21 21:32:00	Y 02/18/21						B
		0	0							No
1105	20730	1 1 0	01/28/21 21:35:00	Y 02/18/21						B
		0	0							No
1106	20737	1 1 0	01/29/21 01:22:00	Y 02/18/21						B
		0	0							No
1107	20738	1 1 0	01/29/21 01:24:00	Y 02/18/21						B
		0	0			D				No
1108	20740	4 1 0	01/29/21 05:28:00	Y 02/18/21						B
		0	0							No
1109	20745	2 1 0	01/29/21 11:19:00	Y 02/18/21						B
		0	0							No
1110	20747	3 1 0	01/29/21 12:50:00	Y 02/18/21						B
		0	0							No
1111	20748	3 1 0	01/29/21 13:18:00	Y 02/18/21						B
		0	0							No
1112	20749	1 1 0	01/29/21 13:34:00	N						B
		0	0							No
1113	20754	1 1 0	01/29/21 14:46:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		0	0							No
1114	20755	2 1 0	01/29/21 14:59:01	Y 02/23/21						B
		0	0							No
1115	20756	4 1 0	01/29/21 15:17:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		0	0							No
1116	20768	3 1 0	01/29/21 21:23:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		0	0							No
1117	20769	3 1 0	01/29/21 21:25:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		0	0							No
1118	20770	1 1 0	01/29/21 21:33:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		0	0							No
1119	20772	1 1 0	01/30/21 00:05:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		0	0							No
1120	20773	1 1 0	01/30/21 03:39:00	Y 02/23/21						B
	Cochise	0	0	2	Douglas					No
1121	20775	1 1 0	01/30/21 07:58:00	Y 02/23/21						B
		0	0							No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
1122	20776	2 1 0	01/30/21 08:06:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1123	20777	1 1 0	01/30/21 08:14:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1124	20779	4 1 0	01/30/21 13:09:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1125	20780	3 1 0	01/30/21 13:30:00	Y 03/02/21							B
		0	0								No
1126	20782	1 1 0	01/30/21 14:07:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1127	20784	2 1 0	01/30/21 15:23:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1128	20787	3 1 0	01/30/21 15:55:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1129	20788	1 1 0	01/30/21 16:23:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1130	20791	1 1 0	01/30/21 18:36:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1131	20793	2 1 0	01/30/21 21:06:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1132	20794	2 1 0	01/30/21 21:08:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1133	20796	4 1 0	01/30/21 21:34:01	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1134	20797	2 1 0	01/30/21 22:16:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1135	20800	2 1 0	01/31/21 00:59:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1136	20801	2 1 0	01/31/21 02:46:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1137	20803	3 1 0	01/31/21 06:44:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1138	20805	2 1 0	01/31/21 09:57:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1139	20806	1 1 0	01/31/21 10:09:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1140	20807	1 1 0	01/31/21 10:28:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
1141	20808	2 1 0	01/31/21 11:25:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1142	20811	2 1 0	01/31/21 11:51:00	Y 02/22/21							B
		0	0								No
1143	20812	3 1 0	01/31/21 11:52:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1144	20816	2 1 0	01/31/21 14:35:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1145	20817	2 1 0	01/31/21 14:44:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1146	20820	2 1 0	01/31/21 15:39:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1147	20822	3 1 0	01/31/21 17:53:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1148	20824	2 1 0	01/31/21 18:53:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1149	20825	1 1 0	01/31/21 19:15:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1150	20827	2 1 0	01/31/21 19:40:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1151	20828	3 1 0	01/31/21 19:41:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1152	20830	2 1 0	01/31/21 20:10:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1153	20831	3 1 0	01/31/21 20:29:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1154	20832	2 1 0	01/31/21 20:35:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1155	20834	2 1 0	01/31/21 21:00:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1156	20835	1 1 0	01/31/21 21:03:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1157	20838	4 1 0	01/31/21 22:27:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1158	20839	2 1 0	01/31/21 22:30:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1159	20840	3 1 0	01/31/21 22:45:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
1160	20842	1 1 0	02/01/21 00:52:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0			D					No
1161	20843	2 1 0	02/01/21 01:42:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1162	20847	2 1 0	02/01/21 07:24:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1163	20849	1 1 0	02/01/21 08:18:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1164	20853	2 1 0	02/01/21 08:59:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1165	20854	3 1 0	02/01/21 09:10:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1166	20858	2 1 0	02/01/21 10:02:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1167	20861	2 1 0	02/01/21 10:11:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1168	20864	2 1 0	02/01/21 10:21:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1169	20866	3 1 0	02/01/21 10:24:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1170	20867	2 1 0	02/01/21 10:25:00	Y 02/23/21							B
		0	0								No
1171	20869	1 1 0	02/01/21 10:26:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1172	20871	2 1 0	02/01/21 10:27:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1173	20872	2 1 0	02/01/21 10:27:01	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1174	20875	3 1 0	02/01/21 10:32:01	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1175	20876	2 1 0	02/01/21 10:34:01	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1176	20879	1 1 0	02/01/21 10:37:01	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1177	20881	4 1 0	02/01/21 10:41:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1178	20882	3 1 0	02/01/21 10:41:01	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0			D					No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

Applicant#		VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
1179	20883	3 1 0	02/01/21 10:45:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		0	0							No
1180	20885	3 1 0	02/01/21 10:47:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		0	0							No
1181	20886	3 1 0	02/01/21 10:49:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		0	0							No
1182	20887	2 1 0	02/01/21 10:51:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		0	0							No
1183	20888	1 1 0	02/01/21 10:51:01	Y 02/24/21						B
		0	0							No
1184	20891	1 1 0	02/01/21 10:54:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		0	0							No
1185	20892	2 1 0	02/01/21 10:57:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		0	0							No
1186	20893	3 1 0	02/01/21 10:58:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		0	0							No
1187	20894	3 1 0	02/01/21 11:01:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		0	0							No
1188	20897	4 1 0	02/01/21 11:04:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		0	0							No
1189	20901	1 1 0	02/01/21 11:11:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		0	0							No
1190	20902	1 1 0	02/01/21 11:17:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		0	0			D				No
1191	20904	1 1 0	02/01/21 11:21:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		0	0							No
1192	20905	3 1 0	02/01/21 11:22:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		0	0							No
1193	20906	2 1 0	02/01/21 11:29:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		0	0							No
1194	20907	1 1 0	02/01/21 11:38:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		0	0							No
1195	20909	3 1 0	02/01/21 11:51:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		0	0							No
1196	20911	2 1 0	02/01/21 11:58:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		0	0							No
1197	20912	1 1 0	02/01/21 12:01:00	Y 02/24/21						B
		0	0							No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
1198	20913	2 1 0	02/01/21 12:03:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1199	20914	2 1 0	02/01/21 12:10:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1200	20915	3 1 0	02/01/21 12:12:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1201	20917	2 1 0	02/01/21 12:14:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1202	20918	2 1 0	02/01/21 12:23:00	N							B
		0	0								No
1203	20919	3 1 0	02/01/21 12:24:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1204	20920	2 1 0	02/01/21 12:25:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1205	20923	3 1 0	02/01/21 12:38:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1206	20927	1 1 0	02/01/21 12:41:41	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1207	20932	1 1 0	02/01/21 12:50:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1208	20933	3 1 0	02/01/21 13:01:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1209	20935	3 1 0	02/01/21 13:10:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1210	20937	1 1 0	02/01/21 13:18:22	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1211	20938	1 1 0	02/01/21 13:18:22	Y 03/03/21							B
		0	0			E					No
1212	20940	2 1 0	02/01/21 13:22:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1213	20942	1 1 0	02/01/21 13:42:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1214	20943	3 1 0	02/01/21 13:49:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1215	20944	3 1 0	02/01/21 13:49:01	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1216	20946	2 1 0	02/01/21 14:02:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
1217	20948	3 1 0	02/01/21 14:07:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1218	20949	1 1 0	02/01/21 14:07:01	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1219	20950	3 1 0	02/01/21 14:09:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1220	20954	2 1 0	02/01/21 14:23:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1221	20957	3 1 0	02/01/21 15:08:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1222	20958	2 1 0	02/01/21 15:17:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1223	20962	2 1 0	02/01/21 15:26:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1224	20969	1 1 0	02/01/21 16:03:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1225	20970	3 1 0	02/01/21 16:09:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1226	20981	1 1 0	02/01/21 17:14:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1227	20984	4 1 0	02/01/21 17:22:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1228	20988	3 1 0	02/01/21 18:10:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1229	20989	2 1 0	02/01/21 18:46:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1230	20990	1 1 0	02/01/21 19:32:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1231	18826	3 1 0	02/01/21 20:04:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1232	20992	2 1 0	02/01/21 20:38:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1233	20994	2 1 0	02/01/21 20:56:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1234	20995	3 1 0	02/01/21 21:01:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1235	20997	1 1 0	02/01/21 21:07:00	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
1236	20999	2 1 0	02/01/21 21:18:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		0	0							No
1237	21000	2 1 0	02/01/21 21:18:01	Y 02/25/21						B
		0	0							No
1238	21002	5 1 0	02/01/21 21:52:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		0	0							No
1239	21003	2 1 0	02/01/21 21:59:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		0	0							No
1240	21005	4 1 0	02/01/21 22:06:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		0	0							No
1241	21007	3 1 0	02/01/21 22:22:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		0	0							No
1242	21009	3 1 0	02/01/21 22:36:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		0	0							No
1243	21010	2 1 0	02/01/21 22:48:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		0	0							No
1244	21014	3 1 0	02/02/21 00:25:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		0	0							No
1245	21015	3 1 0	02/02/21 00:51:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		0	0							No
1246	21016	3 1 0	02/02/21 00:55:00	Y 03/03/21						B
		0	0							No
1247	21018	3 1 0	02/02/21 00:57:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		0	0							No
1248	21021	1 1 0	02/02/21 02:07:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		0	0							No
1249	21024	1 1 0	02/02/21 04:37:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		0	0			D				No
1250	21025	3 1 0	02/02/21 05:19:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		0	0							No
1251	21030	1 1 0	02/02/21 08:32:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		0	0							No
1252	21031	2 1 0	02/02/21 08:38:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		0	0							No
1253	21033	3 1 0	02/02/21 08:46:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		0	0							No
1254	21034	1 1 0	02/02/21 09:04:00	Y 02/25/21						B
		0	0							No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date							Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS					H/C Access
1255	21035	3 1 0	02/02/21 09:15:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		0	0								No
1256	21039	2 1 0	02/02/21 09:28:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		0	0								No
1257	19113	2 1 0	02/02/21 09:44:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		0	0								No
1258	21041	1 1 0	02/02/21 09:48:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		0	0								No
1259	21048	3 1 0	02/02/21 10:44:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		0	0								No
1260	21051	2 1 0	02/02/21 11:18:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		0	0								No
1261	21052	3 1 0	02/02/21 11:18:01	Y 02/24/21							B
		0	0								No
1262	21061	3 1 0	02/02/21 11:37:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		0	0								No
1263	21062	2 1 0	02/02/21 11:37:01	Y 02/25/21							B
		0	0								No
1264	21063	4 1 0	02/02/21 11:43:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		0	0								No
1265	21068	1 1 0	02/02/21 11:45:00	Y 02/25/21							B
		0	0								No
1266	21093	3 1 0	02/02/21 12:15:00	Y 02/26/21							B
		0	0								No
1267	21099	3 1 0	02/02/21 13:00:00	Y 03/01/21							B
		0	0								No
1268	21098	2 1 0	02/02/21 13:00:01	Y 03/01/21							B
		0	0								No
1269	21100	3 1 0	02/02/21 13:07:00	Y 03/01/21							B
		0	0								No
1270	21101	3 1 0	02/02/21 13:15:00	Y 03/01/21							B
		0	0								No
1271	21103	3 1 0	02/02/21 13:18:00	Y 03/01/21							B
		0	0								No
1272	21104	2 1 0	02/02/21 13:19:00	Y 03/01/21							B
		0	0								No
1273	21105	1 1 0	02/02/21 13:19:01	Y 03/01/21							B
		0	0								No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
1274	21106	2 1 0	02/02/21 13:24:32	Y 03/01/21						B
		0	0							No
1275	21107	2 1 0	02/02/21 13:24:41	Y 03/01/21						B
		0	0							No
1276	21109	1 1 0	02/02/21 13:31:08	Y 03/01/21						B
		0	0							No
1277	21110	2 1 0	02/02/21 13:32:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		0	0							No
1278	21113	3 1 0	02/02/21 13:43:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		0	0							No
1279	21115	1 1 0	02/02/21 13:53:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		0	0							No
1280	21117	3 1 0	02/02/21 14:24:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		0	0							No
1281	21118	3 1 0	02/02/21 14:26:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		0	0							No
1282	21120	3 1 0	02/02/21 14:40:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		0	0			D				No
1283	21121	2 1 0	02/02/21 14:41:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		0	0							No
1284	21122	2 1 0	02/02/21 14:41:01	Y 03/01/21						B
		0	0							No
1285	21123	1 1 0	02/02/21 14:42:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		0	0							No
1286	21126	1 1 0	02/02/21 14:53:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		0	0							No
1287	21131	3 1 0	02/02/21 15:13:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		0	0							No
1288	21132	3 1 0	02/02/21 15:13:01	Y 03/01/21						B
		0	0							No
1289	21135	1 1 0	02/02/21 15:32:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		0	0							No
1290	21136	3 1 0	02/02/21 15:35:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		0	0							No
1291	21140	2 1 0	02/02/21 15:55:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		0	0							No
1292	21141	2 1 0	02/02/21 16:09:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		0	0							No

5 - Section 8 Waiting List 01.19.2021

Cochise County Housing Authority

Effective Date : 7/7/2021

Section 8

	Applicant#	VS WT Points	Waiting List Date Time	Eligibility Y/N Date						Hsg Type
County	Census Tract	Local Points	B-Range	Region	Locality	EDHNS				H/C Access
1293	21142	1 1 0	02/02/21 16:15:00	Y 03/01/21						B
		0	0							No

Public Comment re Item 3-A: 1318 Fourth Street Affordable Housing Development Team Selection – 10/21/21 Housing Commission meeting

OZ <zurawska@yahoo.com>

Thu 10/21/2021 12:12 PM

To: SmHousing Mailbox <SmHousing.Mailbox@SMGOV.NET>; Melinda Espinoza <Melinda.Espinoza@santamonica.gov>

Cc: renee.buchanan@santamonica.gov <renee.buchanan@santamonica.gov>; Josh Hamilton <Josh.Hamilton@santamonica.gov>; mike.soloff@mto.com <mike.soloff@mto.com>; Richard Hilton <Richard.Hilton@SMGOV.NET>; Carl Hansen <Carl.Hansen@santamonica.gov>; Leonora Camner <Leonora.Camner@santamonica.gov>; Andy Agle <Andy.Agle@santamonica.gov>; James Kemper <James.Kemper@santamonica.gov>

EXTERNAL

Since a lawsuit has been pending since June 15, 2021 against the City regarding the City's plans to demolish Parking Structure 3, isn't it premature or even in bad faith that the City is engaging the selection of a team for the proposed development?

See the enclosed complaint.

Regards,

Olga Zurawska

1 Allan B. Cooper (SBN 60862)
 2 acooper@ecjlaw.com
 3 Elizabeth (Ellia) Thompson (SBN 247315)
 4 ethompson@ecjlaw.com
 5 ERVIN COHEN & JESSUP LLP
 9401 Wilshire Boulevard, Ninth Floor
 4 Beverly Hills, California 90212-2974
 Telephone: (310) 273-6333
 5 Facsimile: (310) 859-2325

6 Attorneys for Petitioner and Plaintiff, SANTA MONICA BAYSIDE OWNERS ASSOCIATION

FILED
 Superior Court of California
 County of Los Angeles

06/15/2021

Sherril R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: M. Mariscal Deputy

8 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
 9 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, SANTA MONICA COURTHOUSE**

11 SANTA MONICA BAYSIDE OWNERS
 12 ASSOCIATION,

13 Petitioner and Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 CITY OF SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA
 16 COASTAL COMMISSION, and DOES 1
 through 10, inclusive,

17 Respondents and Defendants.

Case No. 21SMCP00269

**VERIFIED PETITION FOR WRIT OF
 MANDATE TO SET ASIDE ACTIONS
 TAKEN IN VIOLATION OF THE
 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL
 QUALITY ACT (PUBLIC RESOURCES
 CODE § 21000, *et seq.*); VIOLATION OF
 THE CALIFORNIA COASTAL ACT
 (PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE §30000, *et
 seq.*) AND COMPLAINT FOR
 DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE
 RELIEF**

[Code Civ. Proc., §§ 1094.5, 1085; Pub. Res.
 Code, § 21000, *et seq.*; Code Civ. Proc., §§
 526(a), 1060]

Petitioner and Plaintiff Santa Monica Bayside Owners Association ("Petitioner" or "SMBOA") seeks relief from the unlawful approval of a Coastal Development Permit by the Respondents and Defendants California Coastal Commission ("Commission") and applicant City of Santa Monica ("City") for the demolition of a visitor-serving, six-story public parking garage with 337 parking spaces (Parking Structure #3). This action is brought pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"; Public Resources Code Section 21000 *et seq.*) and the California Coastal Act ("Coastal Act"; Public Resources Code Section 30000 *et seq.*), as Respondents claim an inapplicable statutory exemption from CEQA, did not perform an adequate environmental review of the proposed project, and failed to consider substantial evidence presented by members of the public and Petitioner that this demolition, as approved, will have significant, unmitigated impacts on the environment and the downtown Santa Monica community. Petitioner thus requests a writ of mandate and declaratory and injunctive relief under Code of Civil Procedure Sections 1085 and 1094.5, and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. In an effort to pursue the noble goal of providing more affordable housing, the City of Santa Monica ("City") decided in April 2019 to redevelop one of its downtown properties to accommodate an undefined and unfunded mixed-use project with affordable dwelling units. The problem is that the identified site—a 30,000 square foot parcel at 1318 & 1320 Fourth Street, adjacent to the economic juggernaut of the Third Street Promenade—already contains a six-story, 150,000 square foot concrete public parking structure with 337 vehicular spaces, known as Parking Structure #3. To achieve its ultimate goal, the City apparently feels that it needs to demolish the heavily-used public facility, which has consistently served Santa Monica residents, businesses, and beach-going tourists since 1968.

2. Prudence, good governance, and the law dictate that demolition of such an important and integral site in the City's bustling downtown would occur only AFTER robust public debate and adoption of a plan, including a financial commitment, to execute the replacement project. Sadly and wrongfully, the City chose not to follow that path. Despite the fact that a Request for Proposals issued in April 2019 failed to yield a suitable developer for a mixed-

use project including affordable housing on the site, the City continued to pursue demolition in a vacuum. It hired a civil engineer in July 2019 to prepare demolition plans, and ultimately submitted a complete application to the California Coastal Commission (“Commission”) for the required Coastal Development Permit (“CDP”) in November 2020.

3. However, at no point in its dogged pursuit of demolition did the City conduct any outreach or public hearings specifically to consider whether the residents and businesses of Santa Monica thought demolition of Parking Structure #3 was a good idea. Indeed, there has been no discussion by the City with businesses that rely on this particular parking structure about how the proposed demolition impacts their operations and long-term viability. After enduring nearly 14 months of governmental restrictions due to the COVID-19 pandemic, local businesses continue to face precarious financial circumstances, and property owners regularly confront the difficulty of leasing vacant commercial space (made even more challenging without the prospect of available parking due to the City’s actions).

4. Ignoring the community’s and downtown area businesses’ concerns, the City insisted on framing the proposed project as only about providing affordable housing—without a project having been approved or even determined to be feasible, and in the face of the results of its Request for Proposal’s having provided a strong indication that such a project is **not** feasible. Yet the City sought to secure the Commission’s approval only for demolition, even though that activity and development of the mixed-use affordable housing project are inextricably intertwined; put differently, there was and is no reason or basis to demolish Parking Structure #3 unless and until there is a feasible project ready to replace it. Over the objections of the Petitioner and several residents and business owners, who challenged not only the necessity and urgency of the proposed demolition, but also the project’s inadequate environmental review, the Commission approved the City’s CDP application on May 13, 2021.

5. With no other option, Petitioner filed the instant petition to overturn to the Commission’s unlawful project approval. In particular, Petitioner challenges the City’s approach to satisfying the CDP’s environmental review requirements dictated by the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”) for two reasons: (1) the City has improperly claimed that

6. The truth is that the City has purposefully evaded the basic requirements for environmental review in order to push through an unapproved, speculative, infeasible and undefined mixed-use affordable housing project. Throughout this process, the City has blatantly disregarded the interests and will of the public, including the thousands of residents, businesses, and visitors who regularly rely on Parking Structure #3 to serve as their everyday access to the beach, shops, restaurants, and offices in the bustling downtown area. The City's unnecessary drive to demolish Parking Structure #3 now—without an actual plan, developer, or funding in place for the mixed-use affordable housing project to follow—is premature and would invariably leave an irreparable, gaping hole in the downtown streetscape. Because the City and Commission abused their discretion in approving the proposed demolition, and the decision is not supported by adequate findings, the CDP must be set aside.

7. Petitioner and Plaintiff, SANTA MONICA BAYSIDE OWNERS ASSOCIATION, is a California nonprofit 501c(3) organization duly formed under the laws of the State of California. SMBOA is composed of commercial property owners, business owners, and residents who are concerned about the current state of the downtown area of Santa Monica as well as its future viability. The members of the organization participated in the administrative process before the Commission and submitted written and oral comments to the Commission and the City of Santa Monica during the administrative process. Petitioner's members are residents and taxpayers of the City of Santa Monica and are filing this action as private attorneys general.

8. As residents, property and business owners, and visitors to the Santa Monica coastal area, Petitioner and its members have been injured as a result of the Respondents' actions approving the demolition of Parking Structure #3. Respondents' actions adversely affect the safety, environmental, aesthetic and economic interests of Petitioner and Petitioner's members.

The interests of Petitioner's members have been and will continue to be adversely affected by Respondents' unlawful actions in violation of the California Coastal Act ("Coastal Act"), California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") and the Code of Civil Procedure ("CCP"). The relief sought in this Petition would redress Petitioner and Petitioner's injuries.

9. Respondent and Defendant CITY OF SANTA MONICA (the "City") is the public governmental entity serving the people of the City of Santa Monica.

10. Respondent and Defendant CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION is a regulatory agency of the State of California and the government agency charged by law with administering the provisions of the California Coastal Act, Public Resources Code Section 30000 *et seq.* and regulating the administration of the City of Santa Monica's Local Coastal Program.

11. Petitioner does not know the true names or capacity of the persons or entities sued herein as Does 1 through 5, and therefore sues these respondents by fictitious names. Petitioner will amend the Petition to set forth names and capacity of said respondents along with appropriate charging allegations when the same have been ascertained.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

12. This Court has original jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article VI, Section 10 of the California Constitution and Sections 1085 and 1094.5 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, as well as California Public Resources Code § 21000.

13. Venue is proper pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Sections 394 and 395 in that Respondents are government entities or agents of the City of Santa Monica and the State of California, this matter relates to subject property located within, and discretionary, quasi-judicial, administrative and ministerial actions were decided within this Court's jurisdiction, and this is the area in which some or all of the parties reside.

EXHAUSTION OF REMEDIES

14. Petitioner, as well as other parties, provided written objections and presented its opposition during the only public hearing—the Commission's May 13, 2021 meeting—on the proposed approval of the CDP. Specifically, Petitioner challenged the proposed CDP because the City's application violated CEQA and the California Coastal Act on all grounds raised herein.

Petitioner has exhausted all available administrative remedies, and objections to the demolition have been presented orally and in writing to the Respondents.

15. Petitioner provided the City with notice that it intended to commence this action, in compliance with California Public Resources Code Section 21167.5. A true and correct copy of Petitioner's Notice to the City and its proof of service is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by this reference.

16. Petitioner has complied with the requirements of California Public Resources Code Section 21167.7 and California Code of Civil Procedure Section 388, by mailing a copy of the Petition/Complaint and an accompanying Notice to the Attorney General. Proof of service of the Verified Petition for Writ of Mandate and Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief on the Attorney General is attached hereto as Exhibit B and is incorporated herein by this reference.

17. Petitioner has no adequate remedy at law unless this Court grants the requested writ of mandate requiring Respondents to set aside their approvals of a Coastal Development Permit for the demolition of Parking Structure #3. In the absence of such remedy, Respondents' approval will remain in effect in violation of State law, and Petitioner will suffer irreparable harm because of significant adverse impacts on coastal resources generated by the Project that will not have been properly analyzed under the Coastal Act and CEQA.

18. Petitioner has satisfied each and every exhaustion-of-remedies requirement that must be satisfied in order to maintain this action. In particular:

A. The violations of law challenged in this action were identified for Respondents orally and in writing by Petitioner, as well as representatives for Petitioner and other private citizens prior to the close of the public hearing on the CDP Application, as required by Public Resources Code Section 21177, subdivision (a).

B. Petitioner objected to the CDP's approval prior to the close of the Coastal Commission's public hearing on the CDP Application, as required by Public Resources Code section 21177, subdivision (b).

C. No further appeals were available and Respondents' CDP Approvals are now final.

19. This petition is timely filed in accordance with Public Resources Code section 21167 and Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations Section 15112.

STANDING

20. Petitioner has standing as a party beneficially interested in the issuance of the requested writ of mandate because the demolition of Parking Structure #3, as alleged more specifically herein, will have significant adverse impacts on the Petitioner's members and others represented by Petitioner who live, work, and/or own property in the downtown Santa Monica coastal area, as well as the general public. Petitioner has a beneficial interest in Respondent's fulfillment of all their legal duties, as alleged in this pleading.

STATUTORY SCHEME California Environmental Quality Act

21. The California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), Public Resources Code Section 21000 *et seq.*, establishes a comprehensive scheme to provide long-term protection of the environment and notify the public of a project's potential impacts on the environment. It prescribes review procedures a public agency must follow before approving or carrying out certain projects. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21000 subd. (a).)

22. CEQA must be interpreted in such a manner as to afford the fullest possible protection to the environment within the reasonable scope of the statutory language. Code of Regulations Section 15000-15387 ("State CEQA Guidelines), Section 15378 defines a "Project" as "the whole of an action, which has a potential for resulting in either a direct physical change in the environment, or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment, and that is any of the following:

- (1) An activity directly undertaken by any public agency including but not limited to public works construction and related activities clearing or grading of land, improvements to existing public structures, enactment and amendment of zoning ordinances, and the adoption and amendment of local General Plans or elements thereof pursuant to Government Code Sections 65100-65700.

(2) An activity undertaken by a person which is supported in whole or in part through public agency contracts, grants, subsidies, loans, or other forms of assistance from one or more public agencies.

(3) An activity involving the issuance to a person of a lease, permit, license, certificate, or other entitlement for use by one or more public agencies.”

23. Since the Act only applies to projects of a discretionary, rather than a ministerial character (Pub. Resources Code § 21080), doubts whether a project is discretionary or ministerial should be resolved in favor of a finding that it is discretionary. If a project approval features both ministerial and discretionary elements, the project is deemed discretionary and subject to CEQA review (CEQA Guidelines, § 15268, subd. (d).)

24. CEQA is not merely a procedural statute; it imposes clear and substantive responsibilities on agencies that propose to approve projects, requiring that public agencies not approve projects that harm the environment unless and until all feasible mitigation measures are employed to minimize the harm. (Pub. Resources Code, §§ 21002; 21002.1 subd. (b).)

25. Failure to either comply with the substantive requirements of CEQA or to carry out the full CEQA procedures so that complete information as to a project’s potential or actual impacts is developed and publicly disclosed constitutes a prejudicial abuse of discretion that requires invalidation of the public agency action regardless of whether full compliance would have produced a different result. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21005.)

26. In order to be considered ministerial, a decision must be one that an administrative agency itself is forced to follow pursuant to a standard fixed by a statute or ordinance; it cannot be based upon a standard that the agency exercised its own discretion to create and which it possesses the discretion to modify or ignore. Thus, formal and informal policies established by a city, by which it approves building permits for construction projects, does not convert discretionary decisions involved in the approval process for a major construction (or demolition) project to ministerial ones within the meaning of Pub. Resources Code, § 21080, which provides that CEQA applies only to discretionary, not ministerial, projects.

///

27. A “discretionary” act or decision is one that “requires the exercise of judgment or deliberation when the public agency or body decides to approve or disapprove a particular activity, as distinguished from situations where there has been conformity with applicable statutes, ordinances, or regulations.” (CEQA Guidelines § 15357.)

28. A “ministerial” decision is one “involving little or no personal judgment by the public official as to the wisdom or manner of carrying out the project.” A ministerial act or decision involves only the use of fixed standards or objective measurements, and the public official cannot use personal, subjective judgment in deciding whether or how the project should be carried out. (CEQA Guidelines § 15369.)

29. Agencies may not undertake actions that could have a significant adverse effect on the environment, or limit the choice of alternatives or mitigation measures, before complying with CEQA. (14 Cal. Code Regs., § 15004(b)(2).) The “lead agency,” which is the public agency that has the principal responsibility for carrying out the project, is responsible for conducting an initial study to determine, in consultation with other relevant state agencies, whether an environmental impact report, a negative declaration, or a mitigated negative declaration will be prepared for a project. (Pub. Resources Code, §§ 21067; 21080.1, subd. (a); 21083.3, subd. (a).)

30. The Guidelines require “all phases of project planning, implementation, and operation” to be considered in the Initial Study for a project. (14 Cal. Code Regs., § 15063(a)(1).) CEQA defines a project as “the whole of an action, which has a potential for resulting in either a direct physical change in the environment, or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment.” (14 Cal. Code Regs., § 15378(a).)

31. An EIR must be prepared “[i]f there is substantial evidence, in light of the whole record before the lead agency, that the project may have a significant effect on the environment...” (Pub. Resources Code, § 21080 subd. (d).) That is, an EIR must be prepared “if a lead agency is presented with a fair argument that a project may have a significant effect on the environment ... even though it may also be presented with other substantial evidence that the project will not have a significant effect.” (14 Cal. Code Regs., § 15064(f)(1).)

///

32. Under certain circumstances, the public agency evaluating a proposed project may find that an EIR is not required. In such cases, the agency may adopt a negative declaration (“ND”) or a mitigated negative declaration (“MND”). A negative declaration may be prepared and adopted for a proposed project that “will not have a significant effect on the environment and does not require the preparation of an environmental impact report.” (Pub. Resources Code § 21064.) An MND may be prepared or adopted when “revisions in the project plans ... would avoid the effects or mitigate the effects to a point where clearly no significant effect on the environment would occur” and “there is no substantial evidence in light of the whole record before the public agency that the project, as revised, may have a significant effect on the environment.” (Pub. Resources Code § 21064.5.) If neither of these conditions applies to the project in question, the agency must prepare an EIR.

33. A negative declaration must contain: (1) a description of the project; (2) the location of the project; (3) a proposed finding that the project does not have a significant environmental impact; (4) a copy of the initial study documenting the reasons to support the finding; and (5) mitigation measures, if any, incorporated into the project. (14 Cal. Code Regs., § 15071.)

34. Because informing the public about the potential and actual environmental impacts of a proposed project is a critical function of CEQA, any proposed mitigation measures in the MND must be made available for public review and comment prior to the adoption of the MND. (Pub. Resources Code § 21081.6(c).)

California Coastal Act

35. The California Coastal Act (“Coastal Act”), Public Resources Code § 30000 *et seq.*, specifically states one of its primary goals is to “maximize public access to and along the coast and maximize public recreational opportunities in the coastal zone consistent with the sound resources conservation principles and constitutionally protected rights of private property owners.” (Pub. Resources Code § 30001.5(c).)

36. The Coastal Act also specifies that “Wherever appropriate and feasible, public facilities, including parking areas or facilities, shall be distributed throughout an area so as to

mitigate against the impacts, social and otherwise, of overcrowding or overuse by the public of any single area.” (Pub. Resources Code § 30212.5)

37. As with other Coastal Act policies, the Coastal Commission has discretion to determine adequacy of parking for development in the coastal zone, in determining whether to issue a coastal development permit. (Pub. Resources Code § 30603)

FACTS

38. Since its opening in 1968, Parking Structure #3 at 1318 and 1320 Fourth Street in Santa Monica has been an integral part of the downtown landscape and is vital to the local economy. The 337-space public facility is one of the coastal community’s most heavily used parking structures, providing visitors, residents, and the beach-going public with easy and affordable access to the City’s bustling commercial core and renowned Third Street Promenade. It not only provides the City of Santa Monica with a constant source of revenue (approximately \$750,000.00 annually), but also supplies local businesses, many of which have no (or limited) on-site parking, with essential spaces to directly serve their customers and employees.

39. Parking Structure #3 was also an important part of the redevelopment strategy for downtown Santa Monica in the late 1980s. Originally a two-way driving street, Third Street Promenade was transformed in 1989 into the City’s outdoor, pedestrian-only commercial thoroughfare by relocating parking in newly constructed garage structures directly east and west of the Promenade. Having abundant public parking nearby was one of the motivating factors for the property owners to assess themselves and agree to pay for the renovation of the Promenade. Now, the City unilaterally and indiscriminately seeks to change the successful urban design of the Promenade by removing 337 critical parking spaces.

The City Proposes Demolishing Parking Structure #3 Under the Guise of an Affordable Housing Project

40. Although the six-story, 150,000 square foot parking facility site has been the subject of various, private redevelopment proposals over the years, no formal or explicit action has been taken by the City to demolish the structure itself. The latest proposal, initiated by the City in

late 2018, called for the replacement of Parking Structure #3 with a mixed-use affordable housing project, including permanent supportive housing, and possibly ground-floor commercial uses. In April 2019, the City Council approved release of a Request for Proposals (“RFP”) for the development of affordable housing on the site, and authorized staff to continue to explore similar opportunities on other City-owned properties. The RFP process, which concluded in November 2019, failed to meet its objective, and no developer was selected by the City.

41. Concurrent with the RFP process, on July 23, 2019, the City Council approved an item on the consent calendar¹ to award a \$176,000 contract to Walter P. Moore and Associates, Inc. (the only proposer) to prepare plans, specifications, and cost estimates for the “Parking Structure #3 Demolition Project”. In its staff report, the City acknowledged that the only prior action taken by the City Council was the April 9, 2019 authorization of the affordable housing RFP. At no time did the City publicly authorize, or solicit input on, the independent demolition of Parking Structure #3.

The City Quietly Applies to the California Coastal Commission for a Coastal Development Permit

42. In late April 2020, in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic and after several months of inaction and no public discussion or engagement with the community about Parking Structure #3, the City stealthily submitted an application to the Commission for a Coastal Development Permit (“CDP Application”) to demolish the building. The City’s project description stated plainly: “Demolishing of the existing parking structure, capping all utility lines at the property line, paving the lot or installing crushed rock flush with the adjacent properties, drainage control (if required), chain link fencing around the property”. Specifically, the project included the permanent removal of 337 parking spaces through the demolition of the six-story, 150,000 square foot concrete building (the “Project”).

¹ As is the City’s practice, items on the consent calendar are approved in a single motion by the City Council. The only opportunity for any public discussion on a consent calendar item occurs when a Councilmember “pulls an item” for discussion. This item was not “pulled”, and therefore approved without any comment or debate.

43. As part of its CDP Application, the City submitted the requisite Local Agency Review Form claiming the project does not require a documented CEQA analysis, but rather is subject to a “statutory exemption Section 21080(b)(1) ministerial action”. The City made this bare assertion without offering any supporting narrative or analysis, applicable regulations or ordinances, or documents justifying the statutory exemption.

44. The CDP Application also included a set of undated plans by Walter P. Moore and Associates, Inc., the City’s Contractor, which documented the proposed demolition. The plans also included an Urban Runoff Drainage Plan Worksheet (but only one of the two pages), as required by the City. In response to six preliminary questions, the Contractor acknowledged that the project would have impacts to urban runoff. Per the worksheet, answering yes to any of these questions requires mitigation. Because the proposed Project will result in 30,000 square feet of impervious area, the City is required to mitigate 1,875 cubic feet of runoff. Under the City’s formula, the in lieu fee is calculated as \$106,872.94. This mitigation was neither cited in the City’s Local Agency Review Form for its CEQA analysis, nor mentioned in the Commission’s Staff Report, dated April 23, 2021.

45. On May 26, 2020, Commission staff provided the City with a Notice of Incomplete Application, indicating that “the project appears to be inconsistent with the public access policies of the Coastal Act because no information has been provided to demonstrate that there is sufficient public access/public parking without this structure.” The City had submitted with its original application a copy of the Downtown Parking Program Update from July 21, 2009. Commission staff required the City to prepare and submit a new parking study that included: (1) Existing demand and use of the Parking Structure #3 and other public parking within a 3-block radius for summer and winter, (2) all historical parking surveys for Parking Structure #3, (3) any available historical parking surveys for the surrounding area within 3 blocks of the structure, and (4) a parking analysis of the City’s Coastal Zone demonstrating that the City’s parking demand is adequately met with the proposed demolition and that the demolition of this structure will not adversely impact public access.

The City Submits a Deficient Parking Study With a New Project Scope

46. In response to the Commission's request, the City submitted a parking study ("Parking Study") dated October 9, 2020 by Walker Consultants purporting to analyze the adequacy of the parking supply in downtown Santa Monica and the Coastal Zone if Parking Structure #3 were demolished. In this study, the City represented to the Commission for the first time that it *"plans to demolish PS3 to provide affordable housing, including supportive housing, with possible small scale, ground floor commercial uses."* The Parking Study offered no details, information of analysis about the scope and nature of the anticipated mixed-use affordable housing project (e.g., number and size of dwelling units, type and size of commercial uses, number of parking spaces, etc.). Instead, it speculated, without any articulated basis, that "future development on the site is anticipated to generate nominal parking demand, be self-parked, or both" and made what it called "a reasonable assumption that the commercial space would be locally serving for the local pedestrian traffic and not destination commercial uses that would generate a material number of additional parked cars, if any." The Parking Study was thus deficient on its face.

47. The Parking Study also relied exclusively on data provided to Walker Consultants by the City, including information regarding public parking inventory, occupancy, and utilization between April 2018 and March 2020. The Parking Study concluded that despite the fact that Parking Structure #3 was one of the highest utilized structures of a dozen in the downtown area, the loss of the facility's 337 parking spaces could be adequately absorbed by the other city facilities. In reaching this conclusion, the Parking Study, did not examine or analyze the proximity or accessibility of these other facilities to the users of Parking Structure #3 or the Project's potential impacts to traffic, circulation, or other land use considerations as a result of the reduced parking capacity at this particular location.

The Commission Questions the City's Project Scope and Description

48. Not until November 16, 2020 did the Commission deem the City's CDP Application complete. In fact, even after the Commission staff's determination, it raised questions about the City's deliberate "unbundling" of the proposed demolition from the anticipated mixed-

use affordable housing project. City conveniently did not disclose to the Commission that the RFP process had concluded 12 months earlier, and no developer was actually selected by the City.

49. In response to the Commission's concern about the actual scope of the Project, the City offered the following:

"The parking structure is over 60 years and is at critical point in its serviceable life. Within the next year or two, the structure would require significant capital investment to remain functional and open. Considering that selecting a developer for the housing project and entering into an agreement with them could take 2-3 years, the City was faced with the decision of using its limited capital to demolish the structure, or invest in the capital upgrades. However, knowing that within 2-3 years a developer will begin working on the housing project, investing in the structure did not make financial sense. The City would have spent \$2-3M to keep the structure open for an additional year or so, only to have it torn down by the developer.

Further, the City has undertaken a Request for Proposals process to select a developer for the future affordable housing development intended for the site. The RFP indicated that the demolition of the parking garage would be undertaken by the City and the site would be delivered to the developer as unimproved. Obtaining a 'clean' site is an important aspect of redeveloping the site, as developers need to demonstrate 'site control' when competing for affordable housing financing administered by the State. A site with an existing multi-story parking structure represents too much uncertainty with respect to demolition period and soils testing and therefore constrains the feasibility of redeveloping the site. Additionally, when funding is awarded for a development, most public funding programs (such as the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee program) have strict deadlines for completing construction, which would not be able to accommodate the time period associated with demolition of the existing parking structure, which is estimated to take up to a year. Therefore, decoupling the demolition of the parking structure from the construction of the new affordable housing facilitates the financial feasibility of new development.

1 *After selecting a developer, it's the City's intention that the housing project commence as*
 2 *close to completion of the demolition project as possible."* (emphasis added).

3 50. As noted above, the City misrepresented that its search for a developer of a mixed-
 4 use affordable housing project was current, when in fact its RFP had already been
 5 conducted and no developer had come forward and selected. In fact, at the time it
 6 submitted the CDP Application, the City had no viable, defined mixed-use affordable
 7 housing project, no identified (let alone contracted) developer, no financial commitment
 8 for any proposed housing project, and no demonstrated urgency to proceed with the
 9 proposed demolition.

10 *The Commission Approves CDP Application Over the Objections of Individuals,*
 11 *Residents, and Businesses*

12 51. On May 13, 2021, at its regular monthly meeting, the Commission conducted a
 13 public hearing on the City's CDP Application (Application No. 5-20-0248) to demolish Parking
 14 Structure #3. In addition to the Petitioner, several individuals, Santa Monica residents, and local
 15 business owners voiced their opposition to the demolition of Parking Structure #3 noting, among
 16 other things, that the proposed Project failed to comply with CEQA, was inconsistent with the
 17 Coastal Act, and unfairly and unnecessarily impacted local businesses. The few individuals who
 18 expressed support for the CDP Application only commented on the potential provision of
 19 affordable housing. Despite the overwhelming public opposition, and no discussion of the
 20 apparent deficiencies of the Project's CEQA analysis, the Commission approved the CDP
 21 Application. On June 7, 2021, the City filed a Notice of Exemption with the State's CEQA
 22 Clearinghouse and Office of the Los Angeles County Recorder, noting the exemption pursuant to
 23 Section 21080(b)(1) and Section 15268 because "The project involved demolition of parking
 24 structure #3, which is a ministerial action undertaken by the City."

25 52. Because of the City's deficient Application pursuant to CEQA, and Commission's
 26 improper approval of the CDP Application, Petitioner has no choice but to seek relief by filing the
 27 instant Petition and Complaint. The harm facing Petitioner, as well as other business and property
 28 owners located within close walking distance of Parking Structure #3, employees of those

businesses, and visitors to the Third Street Promenade and downtown coastal area is irreparable and substantial given that the City intends to demolish all 337 spaces immediately with no replacement parking for the businesses and people who rely heavily on it daily. Furthermore, although Petitioner and other Santa Monica residents and business owners stated their concerns regarding key environmental issues - such as air quality concerns from the substantial amounts of dust that will be generated by the demolition; the possible lack of mitigation of other environmental contaminants (one of which is mentioned as probable in the City's Parking Study, but not actually studied); the undeniable effect of traffic congestion in the surrounding area due to the unmitigated, sudden loss of a heavily used parking garage and presumed redirection of traffic to other parking sites; and the lack of any information whatsoever regarding the intended development of the site after Parking Structure #3 is demolished - neither the City nor the Commission bothered to address any of these issues.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

**(Violation of California Environmental Quality Act
Public Resources Code § 21080(b)(1), California Code of Regulations §15268 and §15369 –
Statutory Exemption for Ministerial Action)**

53. Petitioner incorporates herein by reference all the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 52.

54. In California Code of Regulations Section 15000-15387 ("State CEQA Guidelines), Section 15378 defines a "Project" as "the whole of an action, which has a potential for resulting in either a direct physical change in the environment, or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment, and that is any of the following:

(1) An activity directly undertaken by any public agency including but not limited to public works construction and related activities clearing or grading of land, improvements to existing public structures, enactment and amendment of zoning ordinances, and the adoption and amendment of local General Plans or elements thereof pursuant to Government Code Sections 65100-65700.

(2) An activity undertaken by a person which is supported in whole or in part through public agency contracts, grants, subsidies, loans, or other forms of assistance from one or

1 more public agencies.

2 (3) An activity involving the issuance to a person of a lease, permit, license, certificate, or
3 other entitlement for use by one or more public agencies.

4 55. In Public Resources Code Section 21080, CEQA sets forth certain statutory
5 exemptions for projects. In particular, Section 21080(b)(1) provides an exemption for "Ministerial
6 projects proposed to be carried out or approved by public agencies."

7 56. In California Code of Regulations Sections 15000-15387 ("State CEQA
8 Guidelines"), Section 15369 defines "ministerial" as "a governmental decision involving little or
9 no personal judgment by the public official as to the wisdom or manner of carrying out the
10 project...A ministerial act or decision involves only the use of fixed standards or objective
11 measurements, and the public official cannot use personal, subjective judgment in deciding
12 whether or how the project should be carried out. Common examples of ministerial permits
13 include automobile registrations, dog licenses, and marriage licenses."

14 57. Section 15268 further clarifies the nature and scope of ministerial projects:
15 "(a) Ministerial projects are exempt from the requirements of CEQA. The determination of
16 what is "ministerial" can most appropriately be made by the particular public agency
17 involved based upon its analysis of its own laws, and each public agency should make such
18 determination either as a part of its implementing regulations or on a case-by-case basis.
19 (b) In the absence of any discretionary provision contained in the local ordinance or other
20 law establishing the requirements for the permit, license, or other entitlement for use, the
21 following actions shall be presumed to be ministerial:

22 (1) Issuance of building permits.

23 (2) Issuance of business licenses.

24 (3) Approval of final subdivision maps.

25 (4) Approval on individual utility service connections and disconnections.

26 (c) Each public agency should, in its implementing regulations or ordinances, provide an
27 identification or itemization of its projects and actions which are deemed ministerial under
28 the applicable laws and ordinances.

(d) Where a project involves an approval that contains elements of both a ministerial action and a discretionary action, the project will be deemed to be discretionary and will be subject to the requirements of CEQA.”

58. At the agency’s regular meeting on May 13, 2021, the Commission approved the City’s CDP Application, which included a Local Agency Review Form claiming the Project does not require a documented CEQA analysis, but rather is subject to a “statutory exemption Section 21080(b)(1) ministerial action”. The City made this bare assertion without offering any supporting analysis, applicable regulations or ordinances, or documents justifying the statutory exemption. In fact, the City has no approved implementing regulations or ordinances that identify or itemize certain projects or actions as ministerial, let alone the subject (i.e., demolition) of the CDP Application.

59. The activity cited by the City—demolition of Parking Structure #3—unequivocally constitutes a “Project” under CEQA. The proposed public works project involves the complete removal of an existing, 150,000 square foot concrete parking structure and clearing of the 30,000 square foot property, which will have a direct physical change in the environment. Even the City’s anticipated replacement of Parking Structure #3—the construction of an undefined mixed-use affordable housing project with ground-floor commercial uses—represents a “Project” under CEQA, too.

60. As the lead agency initiating the proposed Project, the City undeniably has the ability to plan, design, and execute a demolition project at its own discretion. Indeed, it hired a civil engineer to create demolition plans based on its own specifications and subjective judgment. Because the City could shape the proposed Project in any way—there are no demonstrated fixed standards or objective measurements to be applied—the ministerial statutory exemption is not applicable. Furthermore, the Commission failed to provide any supporting findings, and City did not supply any to support its determination that the proposed Project was eligible as a statutory exemption.

61. Therefore, the City’s use of the statutory exemption under §21081(b)(1) is clearly improper, and the Commission’s approval of the CDP Application and CEQA exemption must be

set aside pursuant to Government Code Section 1094.5.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

**(Violation of California Environmental Quality Act Public Resources Code §21065,
California Code of Regulations §15378 – Piecemealing of Project)**

62. Petitioner incorporates herein by reference all the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 61.

63. In Public Resources Code Section 21065, CEQA defines a “Project” as “any activity which may cause either a direct physical change in the environment, or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment, and which is any of the following:

(a) An activity directly undertaken by any public agency.

(b) An activity undertaken by a person which is supported, in whole or in part, through contracts, grants, subsidies, loans, or other forms of assistance from one or more public agencies.

(c) An activity that involves the issuance to a person of a lease, permit, license, certificate, or other entitlement for use by one or more public agencies.”

64. State CEQA Guidelines §15378(a) reiterates this definition, and notes at the outset that a “Project means the whole of an action, which has a potential for resulting in either a direct physical change in the environment, or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment...”. Accordingly, Piecemealing, or segmenting, a proposed project into smaller parts to avoid a comprehensive environmental review is prohibited under CEQA.

65. The City’s CDP Application initially described the Project as “Demolishing of the existing parking structure, capping all utility lines at the property line, paving the lot or installing crushed rock flush with the adjacent properties, drainage control (if required), chain link fencing around the property”. Later, after the City submitted an updated parking study as required by the Commission staff, the City revealed the actual Project scope by acknowledging that the purpose of the proposed demolition was to clear the site for an anticipated, but undefined and unfunded, mixed-use affordable housing project.

///

66. On its own, the City's proposed demolition of the 6-story, 150,000 square foot concrete structure will unequivocally cause a direct physical change in the environment. Given the fact that the City's true objective is to build an affordable housing development in place of Parking Structure #3, the development is a reasonably foreseeable consequence of the initial demolition, and therefore together constitutes the "whole of the action". Because the actual Project necessarily involves the anticipated, mixed-use affordable housing development, the City's environmental analysis requires proper consideration of its potential impacts as prescribed by CEQA. No such analysis was conducted by either the City or the Commission.

67. Therefore, the City's deliberate unbundling of the demolition from the ultimate development of a mixed-use affordable housing project constitutes "piecemealing" in violation of CEQA §21065 and State CEQA Guidelines §15378, and the Commission's approval of the CDP Application on this basis also violates CEQA and must be set aside pursuant to Government Code Section 1094.5.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
(Injunction Relief)
(Code Civ. Proc., §§ 526, 526a)

68. Petitioner incorporates herein by reference all the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 67.

69. Respondents' failure to comply with CEQA's requirements has caused, and threatens to cause, Petitioner and its members irreparable and substantial harm by permitting the construction of the Project when its approvals do not conform or satisfy the legal requirements. The laws that are violated by the approval of the CDP Application are intended to protect the environment and the City's infrastructure, and to ensure the orderly functioning of the City's land use planning process. So long as the approvals for the demolition of Parking Structure #3 remain in effect, Petitioner and the public at large are injured by the flouting of these laws.

70. Petitioner has no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law, in that unless Respondents are enjoined by this Court to rescind the CDP approval, the demolition of Parking Structure #3 will go forward with unmitigated environmental impacts during construction and after the site has been razed. No amount of money or other legal remedy can adequately

compensate Petitioner and all Santa Monica residents, business owners, and property owners for the irreparable harm that they will suffer from the violations of law described herein.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief)

(Code Civ. Proc., § 1060)

71. Petitioner incorporates herein by reference all the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 70.

72. A dispute has arisen between Petitioner and Respondents, in that Petitioner believes and contends, for the reasons set forth above, that Respondents' actions as set forth above, were unlawful and invalid.

73. A judicial declaration as to the legality of Respondents' actions, as set forth above, is therefore necessary and appropriate to determine the respective rights and duties of the parties.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully pray for judgment as follows:

1. This Court find that, by approving the Coastal Development Permit for the demolition of Parking Structure #3, Respondent has not proceeded in a manner required by law and/or its decision is not supported by substantial evidence;

2. That this Court issue a peremptory writ of mandate commanding Respondent, California Coastal Commission to (a) vacate and set aside its decision made on May 13, 2021 to approve the Coastal Development Permit for the demolition of Parking Structure #3 as well as any additional discretionary or ministerial approvals; and (b) if Respondent Commission again considers approval of the demolition of Parking Structure #3, it does so only in full compliance with the California Coastal Act and CEQA;

3. That this Court issue a peremptory writ of mandate declaring the decision rendered by Respondent City to obtain a CDP for the demolition of Parking Structure #3, and any additional discretionary or ministerial approvals by Respondent City relating to, or dependent upon the same, are null and void and of no force or effect;

///

4. The Respondents Commission and City refrain from granting any additional permits, entitlements, or other approvals related to the demolition of Parking Structure #3, or entering into any contracts related to the proposed demolition or future development of the Parking Structure #3 property, until they have each taken action necessary to bring such approvals into compliance with the Coastal Act and CEQA;

5. That Petitioner be awarded its reasonable costs incurred in this action;

6. That Petitioner be awarded reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to Cal. Code of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5; and

7. For other such legal or equitable relief that the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: June 15, 2021

ERVIN COHEN & JESSUP LLP

Allan B. Cooper

Elizabeth (Ellia) Thompson

By: /s/ Elizabeth (Ellia) Thompson

Elizabeth (Ellia) Thompson

Attorneys for Petitioner and Plaintiff

SANTA MONICA BAYSIDE OWNERS
ASSOCIATION

VERIFICATION


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am a Board Member of the Santa Monica Bayside Owners Association, the Petitioner in the above captioned action. I am also an owner of property in the City of Santa Monica and am a resident of the County of Los Angeles. I have read the foregoing Verified Petition for Writ of Mandate; Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, and know its contents. The matters stated therein are true of my own knowledge, except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 14th day of June, 2021, at Santa Monica, California.



John Alle for
SANTA MONICA BAYSIDE OWNERS
ASSOCIATION

EXHIBIT A

June 15, 2021

Mayor Sue Himmelrich and City Council
CITY OF SANTA MONICA
Santa Monica City Hall
1685 Main Street, Room 209
Santa Monica, CA 90401

Re: **Notice of Intent to Commence CEQA Action**
Santa Monica Bayside Owners Association v. City of Santa Monica; California Coastal Commission

Dear Mayor Himmelrich and Honorable Councilmembers:

This is to inform you, as an agent for the City of Santa Monica (the "City"), that the Santa Monica Bayside Owners Association ("SMBOA") will be filing suit against the City and the California Coastal Commission (the "Commission") today, June 15, 2021, to challenge the May 13, 2021 action of the Commission approving a Coastal Development Permit ("CDP"), and approving a statutory exemption pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") for the demolition of a six-story, 150,000 square-foot parking garage with 337 spaces used for visitor-serving vehicle parking ("Parking Garage #3") that is located at 1318-3120 Fourth Street in Santa Monica.

Please take notice pursuant to Section 21167 of the Public Resources Code as well as Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, that SMBOA intends to include a cause of action under the provisions of CEQA against the City and the Commission. The lawsuit will challenge, among other things, the City's decision to approve the project without preparation of any environmental review whatsoever, despite substantial evidence in the record that the project may have unmitigated impacts to the environment.

Very truly yours,



Ellia M. Thompson

From: Barbara Bryan <barbryan2@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 1:08 PM
To: SmHousing Mailbox <SmHousing.Mailbox@SMGOV.NET>
Subject: Housing Proposal 4th st

EXTERNAL

Dear Commissioners,

I am a former board member of DTSM, Inc. and the long time part owner and operator of the Interactive Café on Broadway just west of the Promenade. Of the 4 proposals that are being considered by the Housing Commission on Thursday, I believe the project proposed by CCSM/Metropolitan Pacific is the best fit for downtown Santa Monica. Here are some of the reasons why.

The CCSM/Metro project is the only development with no SRO/studio units. I believe a unit mix that includes only 1,2,and 3 bedroom units is a superior mix. Single units tend to have a high turnover and this concerns me in a housing project this size.

The ground floor and basement use by CCSM/Metro brings to downtown a much needed daytime recreational use and exciting live concert venue. This will bring a vibrancy to the street and cultural opportunities downtown.

The operator of the venue Brooklyn Bowl has the experience and financial resources to sustain a long term commitment downtown. They have a wonderful combination of bowling and other recreational use and live concerts that range from currant popular music to family fare.

The above are just some of the reasons I believe CCSM/Metropolitan proposal is superior to the others and deserves support from the Housing Commission.

This project just sounds like great fun and a way to bring larger units to families that will help contribute to the stability of downtown.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Barbara Bryan
Interactive Café
215 Broadway
Santa Monica 90401