

Question Report
Report Generated:

8/23/2021 15:58

Topic
Santa Monica Groundwater
Sustainability Plan Stakeholder
Meeting
Question Details

Webinar ID

Actual Start Time

Actual Duration (minutes)

Question

956 1839 7685

8/19/2021 17:19

108

35

#

Question

Asker Name

Asker Email

Answer(s)

#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email	Answer(s)	
1	Hi Lisette . . . I may not be framing this question in a manner that aligns with the language of the report draft. Here is my question: Considering our City of SM as a bounded system (Donella Meadows & Ostrom), what is the "carrying capacity" assumption(s) for this plan?	Arlene Hopkins	arlene.hopkins@gmail.com	live answered	verbally answered
2	Question 2: How (if at all) has the Food-Energy-Water Nexus (FEW) been considered?	Arlene Hopkins	arlene.hopkins@gmail.com	live answered	verbally answered
3	What site can we get the noted documents from?	Patrick Casey	pfcasey@pga.com	live answered	verbally answered
4	Question on "language" (which of course implies a framework) -- Why are we saying "ground water 'production'" instead of, for example, "ground water 'extraction?'" I would like to understand the underlying framework.	Arlene Hopkins	arlene.hopkins@gmail.com	live answered	verbally answered
5	On Evaluation: What evaluation frameworks and methods are being used? So, for example, are you using forward thinking evaluation methods such as preeminent evaluation leader Michael Quinn Patton's Blue Marble Evaluation? I'm in multiple working groups -- IUCN, LA Biodiveristy TF, Etc. Those folks use the language I'm asking. I'm also a long-stanging member of the American Evaluation Assoc -- so that is the source of the evaluation question.	Arlene Hopkins	arlene.hopkins@gmail.com	live answered	verbally answered
6	I received the email announcing the public draft and in that email, September 28 was the end of the public comment period. 1 day later than what's being shown in this presentation. Can we confirm the comment closing period? Thank you.	Mary Ngo	mary.ngo@wildlife.ca.gov	live answered	great question - sept 28th is the new deadline
7	A commenter just asked a question regarding GW extraction vs production. I have a follow-up comment that is related. In several locations in the GSP draft it is noted that City of Santa Monica is the largest producer of GW and the sole producer of drinking water in the basin. It is common that an entity that provides drinking water, whether GW or other source, is considered a drinking water producer. Thus, GSWC, City of LA, County of LA and BH are drinking water producers in the basin.	Toby Moore	tobymoore@gswater.com	live answered	great question - verbally addressed
8	Thank you. Will there be a red-lined version of what will be changed between the public draft and the final GSP?	Mary Ngo	mary.ngo@wildlife.ca.gov	live answered	verbally addressed
9	Is it monitoring 2 acre feet per year? or what? How much is it per day? What about cumulative withdrawal - such as Playa Vista that has numerous extraction wells. Answer: 1,785 gallons per day, assuming 24 hour pumping	Todd Cardiff	todd@tcardifflaw.com	live answered	verbally answered
10	Since Los Angeles obtains only 10% of it's water from ground water, it's exposure to Colorado River cutbacks could force it to increase usage of groundwater. That could impact the entire basin and Santa Monica's sustainability plan. How is this risk being managed? Is water usage between the cities adjudicated?	Marc Verville	ml.verville@verizon.net	live answered	verbally answered
11	It seems the key question is alwyas how stable has our aquifer been in the past and how will it be affected by SM's goal to add 8890 units in the next 8 yearsG EG is water independence by 2032 credible?	Mario Fonda-Bonardi	mario@fbharchitects.com	live answered	verbally answered
12	This is part of the presentation. will we have time for it?	patricia mcpherson	patriciamcpherson1@verizon.net	yes	
13	But, the question is not whether the water is pumped and treated, but what happens to the water after.	Todd Cardiff	todd@tcardifflaw.com	verbally answered	

14 Is the treated Hyperion and other wastewater that is pumped back into the acquifers intended for residential consumption? If so, what is the quality of that water and how is it monitored?	Marc Verville	ml.verville@verizon.net	live answered	good answer
15 LARWQCB water can go to ballona so there is room for discussion and protection of freshwater for groundwater dependent ecosystems	patricia mcpherson	patriciamcpherson1@verizon.net	good point	
16 Recharge the aquifer with the water, once it is treated	Todd Cardiff	todd@tcardifflaw.com	verbally answered	
17 In other Agency meetings I attend, we see people who attend or the Agency publishes a list of attendees that participants can see. I thought I was promised that we would be able to see a list of attendees this time. Is this possible? Jeanette Vosburg, Grassroots Coalition Board Member	Jeanette Vosburg	Jeanette@saveballona.org	live answered	i will post in chat box now
18 Can their presentation be posted on your site or the link sent to the group?	Liz Gosnell	lizkibbeygosnell@gmail.com	live answered	
19 Can you post the slides?	Todd Cardiff	todd@tcardifflaw.com	this entire workshop is being recorded and will be posted on the website.	
20 Great job to all.	Patrick Casey	pfcasey@pga.com	thank you	